

My name is Graeme Mitchell.

I live on Dixon Street, Oakura. (25 YEARS)

My submission opposed the proposed plan change PPC48.

While I understand and accept that development is required in communities from time to time, I wish to use this hearing to question the appropriateness of the proposed plan change, which comprises some 399 sections.

I question how this change could be deemed appropriate, given there is already sufficient supply of zoned and allocated land in Oakura to meet projected demand in the short, medium and long term.

I also question how the proposed plan change could be deemed appropriate given that "managed growth" was a recurring theme from community feedback in:

- The Kaitake Community Plan 2017
- The Oakura Community Engagement Project 2014/2016
- The Oakura Structure Plan 2006

In my opinion, the magnitude of the proposed plan change seems completely at odds with "managed growth". I WOULD ALSO LIKE TO STRESS THAT I OPPOSE AN "APPROVAL IN PART" WITH REGARD TO THE PROPOSED CHANGE. I AM NOT CONFIDENT A PARTIAL APPROVAL WOULD STILL TRULY REFLECT THE MANAGED GROWTH AS REQUESTED BY THE COMMUNITY.

~~It is not in the interests of the community that a "partial approval" be under consideration. I wish to ask the commission to ensure that any partial approval still truly reflects the managed growth as requested by the community.~~

I do worry that the applicant is asking for the stars, and may be given the moon.....

I THEREFORE REQUEST THAT PPC48 BE REJECTED IN ITS ENTIRETY.

Robert “Brian” Clark Vincenza Clark Submission

Wairau Rezoning

Good afternoon. My name is Brian Clark. My wife, two daughters and I moved to Oakura village 12 years ago. I am a surfboard shaper and manufacturer. I'm a current member of the local board rider's club and a former committee member. My youngest daughter attended Oakura School. My wife is the librarian at Oakura Library for the last 11 years. We moved from California and found the lifestyle we were seeking here in our small village of Oakura.

- I am not a NIMBY or against growth and development in our community but want it to be undertaken in a manner that follows the community's vision of future growth. Coming from San Clemente I've seen the results of want rampant growth without consideration to maintaining the local community's character. That's why we left.
- **Capitalist** ideals evolve one main structure... return on investment, but at what cost. You cannot return to the past once the Jeannie has been let out of the bottle.
- **Statement of Evidence of the Kaitake Community Board**

I stand behind the KCB. It shows that community needs will be met, through pre established long-term community plans (Kaitake Community Plan), district and regional plans

- I am concerned about the lack of clarity in much of this application.
- **The Council Technical Assessment**
Waters, Landscape, Traffic all echo the same theme in all the Summaries poorly resourced facts if any at all from the applicant.
- Therefore, I wholeheartedly reject this proposed plan in its entirety.