



FURTHER SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, A SUBMISSION ON NPDC'S NOTIFIED PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN

Clause 8 of Schedule 1 Resource Management Act 1991

To: New Plymouth District Council
Address: Private Bag 2025, New Plymouth 4342
Email: districtplan@npdc.govt.nz

Further Submitter Details

Name of further submitter: Jenny Goddard, for Taranaki Anglican Trust Board
Contact person (if different from above)
Postal address: 17 Frank Wilson Terrace, New Plymouth
Email address for service: jenny@taranakicathedral.org.nz
Phone number:

The Council will serve all formal documents by email. Where there is no email address provided, the documents will be posted to the postal address stated above.

I am: (please tick relevant box)

- a) A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest.
b) A person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest of the general public.
c) The local authority for the relevant area.

Please state the grounds as to why you come within the category selected above:

Taranaki Anglican Trust Board is the Owner of the properties to which this submission refers. My submission is on behalf of Taranaki Anglican Trust Board

Council Hearing (please tick relevant box)

Do you wish to be heard in support of your further submission? Yes X No
If others make a similar submission would you consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing? Yes X No

Submission

The submission points, support or opposition, reasons and decisions sought are set out in the attached document.

Note: Any attachments to your submission should only be supporting information, not the submission.

Signature of the person making further submission Date 21/08/2020
or the person authorised to sign on behalf of the person making further submission

Name of Further Submitter Jenny Goddard

My specific further submission(s) are as follows:

*(Please only insert one further submission point per line. Add further sheets as required)*

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
297 Heritage Taranaki Inc Ivan Bruce 33 Scott St, New Plymouth	Sub No. / Point No. <b>211</b>	Support / Oppose <b>Oppose</b>	Provision SCH3 Archaeological Site	See Original submission #124 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Architecturally the few original windows (east side) and gable roof form are not rare or good examples of their type. Many early timber church buildings repeat this Gothic Revival style, e.g. St Pauls Anglican Church in Okato. There the interior adds to the experience of the architecture but that is not the case here where the interior has had multiple alterations and is unrecognisable as Gothic Revival.</li> <li>● Listing of the building will impact the only two options for the community centre: 1. Divestment of the property to release funds to safeguard the Cathedral. 2. Repurposing/redevelopment (potentially in partnership with others) of the property because its uses are no longer relevant or will be replicated on the Cathedral site.</li> <li>● Architecturally this is not an attractive building that an enthusiastic buyer will repurpose while maintaining its current form. The large flat site with great visibility, just outside of the pedestrian area with high traffic numbers is very attractive for its redevelopment potential but a listing</li> </ul>	Disallow in whole

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
				<p>will restrict new development adjacent to the heritage building. The zoning supports vehicle orientated commercial development. The uncertainties, costs and delays a purchaser would face should they alter or demolish the building to ensure a return on investment will impact the saleable value. The owner is already financially stretched attempting to earthquake strengthen a nationally significant building.</p> <p>the Owner opposes listing of this building in SCH 1 and for those same reasons it opposes listing in SCH 3.- The financial burden on the Owner of listings in either schedule is untenable.</p>	
297 Heritage Taranaki Inc Ivan Bruce 33 Scott St, New Plymouth	Sub No. / Point No. 211	Support / Oppose <b>Oppose</b>	Provision SCH3 Archeological Site	Further more: Part of this building described as "Community Centre" by the submitter is a pre 1900 but not the building it is attached to. Council has referred to the submission in correspondence to the Owner as relating to Blg !D 137 St Marys Peace Memorial Hall. That building is not pre 1900 and is not the building shown in submitters application (photo). Placing a SCH3 designation on a building when there is confusion over which building, would be a monstrous injustice for the Owner.	Disallow in whole

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
297 Heritage Taranaki Inc Ivan Bruce 33 Scott St, New Plymouth	Sub No. / Point No. 212	Support / Oppose Oppose	Provision SCh3 Archaeological site	Vicarage - The building pre dates 1900. The protection afforded by an Archaeological Authority and SCH1 of the Proposed Plan is adequate in relation to the building.	<p style="text-align: right;">Disallow in whole</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>(for electronic form, add a new line below, press Tab)</i></p>
297 Heritage Taranaki Inc Ivan Bruce 33 Scott St, New Plymouth	Sub No. / Point No. 175	Support / Oppose <b>Oppose</b>	Provision SCH3 Archeological Site	Cathedral - Parts of the building pre date 1900. The protection afforded by an Archaeological Authority and SCH1 and SCH 2 is adequate in relation to the building.  I note that there is already a listing A229 relating to graveyard.	<p style="text-align: right;">Disallow in whole</p>

### Note to Further Submitter

This further submission on the Proposed District Plan must be received by the New Plymouth District Council by **5pm on Tuesday 25 August 2020**.

To get your further submission to us, either:

Post to: New Plymouth District Council, Reply Paid DX, DX Box NX10026, New Plymouth.

Attention: District Planning Team

Deliver to: Civic Centre, Liardet Street, New Plymouth  
 or to library and service centres at Bell Block, Inglewood or Waitara

Email to: [districtplan@npdc.govt.nz](mailto:districtplan@npdc.govt.nz)

Visit our website: [newplymouthnz.com/eplan](http://newplymouthnz.com/eplan)

A copy of your further submission MUST be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the local authority. Contact details for all submitters can be found on our Proposed Plan page at [newplymouthnz.com/eplan](http://newplymouthnz.com/eplan).

Please note all information provided in your submission, including your personal information, will be made publicly available.

Your submission (or part of it) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of it):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.