

## FURTHER SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, A SUBMISSION ON NPDC'S NOTIFIED PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN

Clause 8 of Schedule 1 Resource Management Act 1991

**To:** New Plymouth District Council  
**Address:** Private Bag 2025, New Plymouth 4342  
**Email:** districtplan@npdc.govt.nz

### **Further Submitter Details**

Name of further submitter: Lynette and Wayne Jury

Contact person (if different from above): Darelle Martin – BTW Company Limited (agent on behalf of submitter)

Postal address: PO Box 551, New Plymouth 4340

Email address for service: darelle.martin@btw.nz

Phone number: 027 205 0301

*The Council will serve all formal documents by email. Where there is no email address provided, the documents will be posted to the postal address stated above.*

### **I am:** (please tick relevant box)

- a) A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest.   
(In this case, also specify the grounds for saying that you come within this category); or
- b) A person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest of the general public.   
(In this case, also explain the grounds for saying that you come within this category); or
- c) The local authority for the relevant area.

Please state the grounds as to why you come within the category selected above:

Submissions on the Proposed District Plan have a direct impact on the property at 813 Mangorei Road owned by Lynette and Wayne Jury.

### **Council Hearing** (please tick relevant box)

Do you wish to be heard in support of your further submission? Yes  No

If others make a similar submission would you consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing? Yes  No

### **Submission**

The submission points, support or opposition, reasons and decisions sought are set out in the attached document.

**Note:** Any attachments to your submission should only be supporting information, not the submission.



Signature of the person making further submission  
or the person authorised to sign on behalf of the  
person making further submission

25/08/2020  
Date

**Name of Further Submitter: Lynette and Wayne Jury**

My specific further submission(s) are as follows:

*(Please only insert one further submission point per line. Add further sheets as required)*

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
297 Heritage Taranaki Inc - Ivan Bruce 33 Scott St Moturoa NP 0413 itmustbesointeresting@xtra.co.nz	Sub No. / Point No.  297.216	Support / Oppose  Oppose in its entirety	Provision  SCHED3 / Archaeological Sites or Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori / New  And  Any relevant provisions of the Historic Heritage Chapter.	<p>The submitter opposes the inclusion of the dwelling, any other item or the whole site at 813 Mangorei Road within Schedule 3 of the Proposed District Plan (PDP).</p> <p>NPDC has undertaken review of heritage buildings to determine which buildings are significant and are to be listed in the PDP. NPDC did not recommend that this dwelling be listed as a heritage building or archaeological site within the PDP.</p> <p>Lynette and Wayne Jury had not been consulted by Heritage Taranaki about the significant changes proposed to their property through its inclusion as an Archaeological Site within the PDP. This has not enabled a reasonable time period to consider all of the Historic Heritage provisions in the PDP and the implications for the submitters' future plans for use and enjoyment of their property.</p> <p>The submitter is also concerned about the implications on their neighbours' property rights given there are rules in the PDP which relate to works within 50m for verified extents, and potentially +/- 200m for unverified extents of archaeological</p>	<p>Disallow in full.</p> <p>If Council allows submission point 297.216, Lynette and Wayne Jury seek to be consulted in any consequential provisions including objectives, policies and rules.</p>

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
				<p>sites. The submitter questions whether their neighbours have been consulted on the proposed changes and have been provided a chance to make a submission?</p> <p>The submitter also questions the need to protect built archaeological sites through the PDP when pre-1900 buildings already receive protection under the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014. The submitter questions what Heritage Taranaki are trying to achieve by including built archaeological sites in the PDP.</p> <p>The submitter considers a better way to manage the building would be in accordance with HH-P18 through supporting landowners to manage, maintain and preserve archaeological sites via the non-regulatory methods outlined in the policy.</p> <p>No information has been submitted by Heritage Taranaki that confirms the dwelling at 813 Mangorei Road, is of significant heritage value (as outlined in HH-P1, which noted the requirement for 'significant heritage buildings to be included in the schedule.</p>	

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
317 Hamish Crimp 93 Pendarves Street New Plymouth 4310 hamishcrimp@gmail.com	Sub No. / Point No.  317.30	Support / Oppose  Oppose	Provision  SCHED4 / Notable Trees	<p>Lynette and Wayne Jury opposes the inclusion of the Araucaria Heterophyla (Norfolk Island Pine) at 813 Mangorei Road, New Plymouth (Lot 1 DP 475724) in the Schedule 4 (Notable Trees) of the Proposed District Plan.</p> <p>NPDC have completed a thorough assessment of all significant trees in the district and had confirmed that this specific tree was not of significant value to list in the notified PDP.</p> <p>The submitter has not been consulted by Hamish Crimp about the significant changes proposed to their property through the inclusion of the tree as a Notable Tree within the PDP. This has not enabled a reasonable time period to consider all of the Notable Trees provisions in the PDP and the implications for the submitters' future plans for use and enjoyment of their property.</p> <p>The submitter is also concerned about the implications on their neighbours' property rights given there are rules in the PDP which relate to works within the root protection zones of Notable Trees. The submitter questions whether their neighbours have been consulted on the proposed changes and have been provided a chance to make a submission?</p>	<p>Disallow in full.</p> <p>If Council allows submission point 317.30, Lynette and Wayne Jury seek to be consulted in any consequential provisions including objectives, policies and rules.</p>

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
	Sub No. / Point No.	Support / Oppose Support	Provision Planning Maps	Support the PDP maps as notified 23 September 2019.	Disallow the inclusion of any Archaeological Site or Notable Tree items on any future amended planning maps of the District Plan.

**Note to Further Submitter**

This further submission on the Proposed District Plan must be received by the New Plymouth District Council by **5pm on Tuesday 25 August 2020.**

To get your further submission to us, either:

Post to: New Plymouth District Council, Reply Paid DX, DX Box NX10026, New Plymouth.

Attention: District Planning Team

Deliver to: Civic Centre, Liardet Street, New Plymouth  
or to library and service centres at Bell Block, Inglewood or Waitara

Email to: [districtplan@npdc.govt.nz](mailto:districtplan@npdc.govt.nz)

Visit our website: [newplymouthnz.com/eplan](http://newplymouthnz.com/eplan)

A copy of your further submission MUST be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the local authority. Contact details for all submitters can be found on our Proposed Plan page at [newplymouthnz.com/eplan](http://newplymouthnz.com/eplan).

Please note all information provided in your submission, including your personal information, will be made publicly available.

Your submission (or part of it) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of it):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.