



FURTHER SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, A SUBMISSION ON NPDC'S NOTIFIED PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN

Clause 8 of Schedule 1 Resource Management Act 1991

To: New Plymouth District Council
Address: Private Bag 2025, New Plymouth 4342
Email: districtplan@npdc.govt.nz

Further Submitter Details

Name of further submitter: Bruce Forrest
Contact person (if different from above) Cam Twigley
Postal address: PO Box 551, New Plymouth 4340
Email address for service: cam.twigley@btw.nz
Phone number: 0274544886

The Council will serve all formal documents by email. Where there is no email address provided, the documents will be posted to the postal address stated above.

I am: (please tick relevant box)

- a) A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest.
(In this case, also specify the grounds for saying that you come within this category); or
- b) A person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest of the general public.
(In this case, also explain the grounds for saying that you come within this category); or
- c) The local authority for the relevant area.

Please state the grounds as to why you come within the category selected above:

- The Heritage Taranaki submission relates directly to a property that is owned by Bruce Forrest. If the submission point is allowed it would significantly impact Bruce's private property rights.

Council Hearing (please tick relevant box)

Do you wish to be heard in support of your further submission? Yes No

If others make a similar submission would you consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing? Yes No

Submission

The submission points, support or opposition, reasons and decisions sought are set out in the attached document.

Note: Any attachments to your submission should only be supporting information, not the submission.

25/08/2020

Signature of the person making further submission or the person authorised to sign on behalf of the person making further submission

Date



Name of Further Submitter: Bruce Forrest

My specific further submission(s) are as follows:

(Please only insert one further submission point per line. Add further sheets as required)

I support/oppose the submission of: <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are: <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			The reasons for my support / opposition are: <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed: <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Entire submission from: Submission number 297 Heritage Taranaki Inc - Ivan Bruce 33 Scott Street, Motoroa, New Plymouth 0413	Sub No. / Point No. Entire Submission	Support / Oppose Oppose	Provision Historic Heritage Chapter – all provisions	<p>The submitter opposes the inclusion of 419 Frankley Road, New Plymouth within Schedule 1 of the Proposed Plan. The submitter has not had time to review or comment on all heritage provisions in the Proposed District Plan (PDP) and submits in opposition to all provisions in this regard.</p> <p>The submitter has not been consulted by Heritage Taranaki about the significant changes proposed to their property. This has not enabled a reasonable time period to consider all of the Historic Heritage provisions in the PDP and the implications for the submitter’s future plans for use and enjoyment of their property.</p>	Oppose that the building (419 Frankley Road, New Plymouth) be listed as a Schedule 1 building in the PDP.

I support/oppose the submission of: <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are: <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			The reasons for my support / opposition are: <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed: <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Submission number 297 Heritage Taranaki Inc - Ivan Bruce 33 Scott Street, Motoroa, New Plymouth 0413	297.71	Oppose	SCHED1 / Heritage Buildings and Items	<p>The building does not meet the criteria as set out in the proposed objectives and policies. The submitter's position is consistent with NPDC's decision to not list the building in SCED1 in the notified PDP.</p> <p>The following assessment of heritage values has been completed, which supports the building not being listed in SCHED1:</p> <p><i>Historical:</i> The building is not considered to represent important themes or aspects relating to the history of New Zealand or of the city, district or region which can teach us about the past.</p> <p><i>Importance to Community:</i> The building has no particular current importance to the community. The submitter incorrectly interprets the criteria of 'importance to the community' by indicating what it was historically used for.</p> <p><i>Architecture and Construction Features:</i> The building is not considered to be a particularly outstanding representation of early 1900's architecture. The building is not particularly innovative in terms of design, construction or use, being a</p>	Oppose that the building (419 Frankley Road, New Plymouth) be listed as a Schedule 1 building in the PDP.

I support/oppose the submission of: <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are: <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			The reasons for my support / opposition are: <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed: <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
				<p>timber framed building with weatherboard cladding.</p> <p><i>Setting and Context:</i> The building is visible from Frankley Road but hidden from all other boundaries by dense vegetation. The surrounding area is subject to significant infill development which has separated the site from related buildings like the current Frankley School and the former Frankley School Residence. For this reason, there is little historic continuity.</p> <p><i>Rarity, representativeness and Integrity:</i> While the building generally exists in its unmodified form, the current use is for a dwelling. For this reason, there have been a number of internal alterations that detract from the overall heritage value of the building which was formerly used as a school.</p>	

Note to Further Submitter

This further submission on the Proposed District Plan must be received by the New Plymouth District Council by **5pm on Tuesday 25 August 2020.**

To get your further submission to us, either:

Post to: New Plymouth District Council, Reply Paid DX, DX Box NX10026, New Plymouth.

Attention: District Planning Team

Deliver to: Civic Centre, Liardet Street, New Plymouth
or to library and service centres at Bell Block, Inglewood or Waitara

Email to: districtplan@npdc.govt.nz

Visit our website: newplymouthnz.com/eplan

A copy of your further submission MUST be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the local authority. Contact details for all submitters can be found on our Proposed Plan page at newplymouthnz.com/eplan.

Please note all information provided in your submission, including your personal information, will be made publicly available.

Your submission (or part of it) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of it):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.