



FURTHER SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, A SUBMISSION ON NPDC'S NOTIFIED PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN

Clause 8 of Schedule 1 Resource Management Act 1991

To: New Plymouth District Council
Address: Private Bag 2025, New Plymouth 4342
Email: districtplan@npdc.govt.nz

Further Submitter Details

Name of further submitter: M & H Allen

Contact person (if different from above).....

Postal address: 198 Phenev Road, Omata

Email address for service: hayley.hinton@gmail.com

Phone number:

The Council will serve all formal documents by email. Where there is no email address provided, the documents will be posted to the postal address stated above.

I am: (please tick relevant box)

- a) A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest.
(In this case, also specify the grounds for saying that you come within this category); or
- b) A person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest of the general public.
(In this case, also explain the grounds for saying that you come within this category); or
- c) The local authority for the relevant area.

Please state the grounds as to why you come within the category selected above:

Landowner

Council Hearing *(please tick relevant box)*

Do you wish to be heard in support of your further submission? Yes No

If others make a similar submission would you consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing? Yes No

Submission

The submission points, support or opposition, reasons and decisions sought are set out in the attached document.

Note: *Any attachments to your submission should only be supporting information, not the submission.*



Signature of the person making further submission
or the person authorised to sign on behalf of the
person making further submission

25/8/2020_ _____
Date

Name of Further Submitter **M & H Allen** _____

My specific further submission(s) are as follows:
(Please only insert one further submission point per line. Add further sheets as required)

I support/oppose the submission of: <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are: <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			The reasons for my support / opposition are: <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed: <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Heritage Taranaki	Sub No. / Point No. 297.185	Support / Oppose Oppose	Provision SCHED3	See Attached	Reject inclusion of 198 Pheneys Rd into Schedule 3

I support/oppose the submission of: <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are: <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			The reasons for my support / opposition are: <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed: <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>

Note to Further Submitter

This further submission on the Proposed District Plan must be received by the New Plymouth District Council by **5pm on Tuesday 25 August 2020**.

To get your further submission to us, either:

Post to: New Plymouth District Council, Reply Paid DX, DX Box NX10026, New Plymouth.

Attention: District Planning Team

Deliver to: Civic Centre, Liardet Street, New Plymouth
or to library and service centres at Bell Block, Inglewood or Waitara

Email to: districtplan@npdc.govt.nz

Visit our website: newplymouthnz.com/eplan

A copy of your further submission MUST be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the local authority. Contact details for all submitters can be found on our Proposed Plan page at newplymouthnz.com/eplan.

Please note all information provided in your submission, including your personal information, will be made publicly available.

Your submission (or part of it) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of it):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

M & H Allen
198 Phenev Rd Omata
24/8/2020

Sarah Edwards
District Plan Coordinator
District Plan Team
New Plymouth District Council Liardet St
Private Bag 2025 | New Plymouth 4342

Submission on the Proposed District Plan affecting 198 Phenev Rd
sub number .29 7.185 consideration of adding the Curtis homestead at 198 Phenev Road to Schedule 3 denoting this household of significant cultural interest.

I can confirm that Matthew and Hayley Allen are the current owners of 198 Phenev Road where the Curtis homestead is located.

I note the submission by Heritage New Zealand and their application included includes documentation available through the PukeAriki Museum which our family provided many years ago.

As pointed out this home was purchased and shifted away from its original historical site near the Omata stockade in 1977. It was saved from demolition by Dr Allen's sister Charlotte Schmitz and substantially renovated.

The house transferred into our ownership in 1984 and has undergone considerable renovation including two extensions, new veranda, roofing and other repairs and maintenance.

A new carport and laundry has also been added to the rear of the property.

Therefore we oppose this house the Curtis Homestead being placed under schedule three. As it has been shifted from its original site and substantially modified. The original cottage occupies only 42% of the current total floor plan.

I will point out that we have maintained the architecture of the household extensions to fit with the original cottage. Our continuing renovations have been tasteful and respectful of the original architecture saving the original structure in its entirety.

We have no plans to substantially modify the building to distract from its character neither internally nor externally. We have had to incur heavier costs to maintain its original structural integrity during modifications particularly to the rear of the house. Further renovations are required especially to the ageing kitchen, electrical and plumbing installations and some of the original south facing walls where guttering and weatherboards need replacing.

From time to time we do receive visitors to the property because of its historical interest. These have included past members of previous residents including members of the original Curtis family. We have been always open to such approaches and will continue to do so in the future.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide this submission.

Matthew & Hayley Allen