



FURTHER SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, A SUBMISSION ON NPDC'S NOTIFIED PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN

Clause 8 of Schedule 1 Resource Management Act 1991

To: New Plymouth District Council
Address: Private Bag 2025, New Plymouth 4342
Email: districtplan@npdc.govt.nz



Further Submitter Details

Name of further submitter: David + Anne Honeyfield
Contact person (if different from above)
Postal address: 5 Hunter Place, Merrilands NP
Email address for service: dahoneyfield@gmail.com
Phone number: 0211 658 748

The Council will serve all formal documents by email. Where there is no email address provided, the documents will be posted to the postal address stated above.

I am: (please tick relevant box)

- a) A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest.
b) A person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest of the general public.
c) The local authority for the relevant area.

Please state the grounds as to why you come within the category selected above:

We are in SNA 574.

Council Hearing (please tick relevant box)

Do you wish to be heard in support of your further submission? Yes [checked] No [ ]
If others make a similar submission would you consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing? Yes [checked] No [ ]

Submission

The submission points, support or opposition, reasons and decisions sought are set out in the attached document.

Note: Any attachments to your submission should only be supporting information, not the submission.

Signature of the person making further submission or the person authorised to sign on behalf of the person making further submission

25-08-2020
Date



Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_\_\_

My specific further submission(s) are as follows:  
 (Please only insert one further submission point per line. Add further sheets as required)

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
<p>Please refer to earlier submission</p>	Sub No. / Point No.	Support / Oppose	Provision.		
	Sub No. / Point No.	Support / Oppose	Provision		

## NPDC E PLAN 25<sup>th</sup> Aug 2020 (Honeyfield House Meeting)

NPDC has 8.9% indigenous vegetation WHY? It was all cut down by 1845 by the first settlers, fire wood to keep warm, building houses and to protect the new settlers from attacks from the natives.

A good E Plan start, but this is where you should have been 12 months ago when you put this scheme out for public consultation the first time.

NPDC is trying to cover too much in one document.

Please split in up into the areas that are under review.

- 1 Significant Indigenous vegetation in rural area.
- 2 Significant Natural vegetation and Fauna in Rural areas.
- 3 Significant Wet Lands in Rural areas.
- 4 Significant Coastal areas in Rural and Urban areas.
- 5 Significant Indigenous and Fauna areas in Urban areas
- 6 Significant Natural areas non indigenous in Urban areas.

Council owned natural green areas and Dept Conservation forested land should be included in this review as SNA areas and be brought into this E Plan.

If you don't put it in you are discriminating against private land owners.

The boundaries of the urban SNAs need to be revised, excluding present gardens.

The E Plan should also include trees on urban property, Height restrictions, leaf problems, shade problems and boundary restrictions put on the heights of trees be similar to the current building shadow restrictions.

All trees less than 50 years should be excluded. All trees that are non-native or not indigenous should be excluded.

The rules on SNAs in Urban areas need to be much clearer and defined and not be left up to a legal judge to decide.

*SNA boundary needs to be changed to not include our garden.*

*D J Hughes*