



FURTHER SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, A SUBMISSION ON NPDC'S NOTIFIED PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN

Clause 8 of Schedule 1 Resource Management Act 1991

To: New Plymouth District Council
Address: Private Bag 2025, New Plymouth 4342
Email: districtplan@npdc.govt.nz

Further Submitter Details

Name of further submitter: Rodney Paul
Contact person (if different from above) Care of: Hamish Walker (BTW Company Limited)
Postal address: PO Box 551, New Plymouth 4340
Email address for service: hamish.walker@btw.nz
Phone number: 06 759 5040, 0272270482

The Council will serve all formal documents by email. Where there is no email address provided, the documents will be posted to the postal address stated above.

I am: (please tick relevant box)

- a) A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest.
(In this case, also specify the grounds for saying that you come within this category); or
- b) A person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest of the general public.
(In this case, also explain the grounds for saying that you come within this category); or
- c) The local authority for the relevant area.

Please state the grounds as to why you come within the category selected above:

Rodney Paul (The Submitter) represents a person who has an interest in the proposal greater than the interest of the general public. The submitters property at 45 Grey Street, Waitara has received a submission by Heritage Taranaki Incorporated who seek to include the dwelling in Schedule 3 of the of the Proposed District Plan (PDP) – Schedule of Archaeological Sites or Sites and Areas of Significance to Maori.

The submitter opposes all provisions of the submission received by Heritage Taranaki Inc (submitter 297). The submitter is concerned with the implications the submissions may have on his private property rights. The submitter also refutes the validity of the heritage assessment received in support of the original submission.

Council Hearing (please tick relevant box)

Do you wish to be heard in support of your further submission? Yes No

If others make a similar submission would you consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing? Yes No

Submission

The submission points, support or opposition, reasons and decisions sought are set out in the attached document.

Note: Any attachments to your submission should only be supporting information, not the submission.

Handwritten signature

Signature of the person making further submission
or the person authorised to sign on behalf of the
person making further submission

24/08/2020
Date

Name of Further Submitter - Rodney Paul

My specific further submission(s) are as follows:

(Please only insert one further submission point per line. Add further sheets as required)

I support/oppose the submission of: <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are: <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			The reasons for my support / opposition are: <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed: <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Submitter 297 – Heritage Taranaki Inc – Ivan Bruce	Sub No. / Point No. Entire Submission Particularly 297.244	Support / Oppose Oppose in Full	Provision - SCHED3 / Archaeologi cal Sites or Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori / New – and any related provisions in the PDP.	<p>The submitter opposes the inclusion of the dwelling at 45 Grey Street, Waitara within Schedule 3 of the Proposed District Plan (PDP).</p> <p>NPDC have completed a thorough assessment of all heritage buildings in the district and had not listed this building in the notified PDP. This position is supported. No information has been submitted by Heritage Taranaki that confirms the dwelling at 45 Grey Street, is of significant heritage value (as outlined in HH-P1, which noted the requirement for 'significant' heritage buildings to be included in the schedule.</p> <p>The submitter has not been consulted by Heritage Taranaki about the significant changes proposed to their property. This has not enabled a reasonable time period to consider all of the Historic Heritage provisions in the Proposed Plan and the implications for the submitter's future plans for use and enjoyment of their property.</p> <p>The submitter refutes that the building was constructed pre-1900s and believes that the building was more likely constructed between 1910 and 1915.</p>	Disallow the submission in full.

I support/oppose the submission of: <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are: <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			The reasons for my support / opposition are: <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed: <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
				<p>Furthermore, the submitter notes that the Historic Heritage section of the PDP defines an archaeological site as: <i>An archaeological site is any place in New Zealand (including buildings, structures or shipwrecks) that was associated with pre-1900 human activity, where there is evidence relating to the history of New Zealand that can be investigated using archaeological methods.</i> The submitter therefore argues that the building does not meet the criteria for an archaeological site.</p> <p>The building has remained in the submitter's family for over 70 years and records/historical evidence indicate that the submission from Heritage Taranaki is incorrect.</p> <p>The submitter is also concerned about the implications for their property and their neighbours' property rights given there are rules in the PDP which relate to activities (earthworks, erection of structures, additions and relocations) within 50m of archaeological sites. The submitter questions whether their neighbours have been consulted on the proposed changes and have been provided a chance to make a submission?</p>	

Note to Further Submitter

This further submission on the Proposed District Plan must be received by the New Plymouth District Council by **5pm on Tuesday 25 August 2020**.

To get your further submission to us, either:

Post to: New Plymouth District Council, Reply Paid DX, DX Box NX10026, New Plymouth.

Attention: District Planning Team

Deliver to: Civic Centre, Liardet Street, New Plymouth
or to library and service centres at Bell Block, Inglewood or Waitara

Email to: districtplan@npdc.govt.nz

Visit our website: newplymouthnz.com/eplan

A copy of your further submission MUST be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the local authority. Contact details for all submitters can be found on our Proposed Plan page at newplymouthnz.com/eplan.

Please note all information provided in your submission, including your personal information, will be made publicly available.

Your submission (or part of it) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of it):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.