



FURTHER SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, A SUBMISSION ON NPDC'S NOTIFIED PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN

Clause 8 of Schedule 1 Resource Management Act 1991

To: New Plymouth District Council
Address: Private Bag 2025, New Plymouth 4342
Email: districtplan@npdc.govt.nz

Further Submitter Details

Name of further submitter: Bach Break.....
Contact person (if different from above) Jo Bourke.....
Postal address: 477A/6 Devon Street East, Strandon New Plymouth.....
Email address for service: jo@bachbreak.com
Phone number: 0279448621

The Council will serve all formal documents by email. Where there is no email address provided, the documents will be posted to the postal address stated above.

I am: (please tick relevant box)

- a) A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest.
(In this case, also specify the grounds for saying that you come within this category); or
- b) A person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest of the general public.
(In this case, also explain the grounds for saying that you come within this category); or
- c) The local authority for the relevant area.

Please state the grounds as to why you come within the category selected above:

STRA Management Company representing my clients and reporting back to the sector with information.

Council Hearing (please tick relevant box)

Do you wish to be heard in support of your further submission? Yes No

If others make a similar submission would you consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing? Yes No

Submission

The submission points, support or opposition, reasons and decisions sought are set out in the attached document.

Note: Any attachments to your submission should only be supporting information, not the submission.

22/08/2020

Signature of the person making further submission
or the person authorised to sign on behalf of the
person making further submission

Date

Name of Further Submitter Bach Break

My specific further submission(s) are as follows:

(Please only insert one further submission point per line. Add further sheets as required)

I support/oppose the submission of: <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are: <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			The reasons for my support / opposition are: <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed: <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Louise Trevena Downing Airbnb Bed & Breakfast Association Taranaki Property Investors Association	Sub No. / Point No. 316.4 314.1 495.1	Support / Oppose Support Support Support	Provision Provision	<p>Overwhelming opposition to the Visitors Accommodation rule. The public has spoken and NPDC should respond accordingly.</p> <p>Lack of evidence of perceived environmental impacts (noise, traffic and amenity effects) At the time of submissions three complaints had been received by NPDC, two for the same property, all issues where around parking and not noise complaints.</p> <p>Lack of consultation with affected Industry sectors and Councillors.</p>	<p>Delete rule GRZ-R6 and all other Visitors Accommodation rules in their entirety.</p> <p>Endorse the work currently being undertaken by MBIE in conjunction with Industry sectors to develop a New Zealand wide approach.</p> <p>We are advocating for a central government lead approach to STRA that includes a compulsory registration, a regulatory environment that is far for all participants, data sharing and a mandatory code of conduct.</p>
Book a Bach NZ – Eacham Curry Comber Consultancy	Sub No. / Point No. 180.1	Support / Oppose Support Support	Provision Provision	<p>The proposed rules will be difficult to manage, monitor and enforce.</p> <p>Night caps serve to damage STRA and does not achieve equity across the accommodation industry, nor does it address perceived environmental issues. Rather blunt edged regulations proposed by the motel industry to regulate STRA out of the Industry.</p>	<p>This will address any perceived environmental impacts.</p> <p>This framework would provide the basis for consistent application of a national framework at a Territorial Authority level for planning and compliance purposes.</p>

I support/oppose the submission of: <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are: <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			The reasons for my support / opposition are: <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed: <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
	Sub No. / Point No.	Support / Oppose	Provision		
<p>Plus, approximately 95 others opposing rules</p> <p>Plus 3,000 petition signatures from the public against the proposed changes</p>		<p>Support</p> <p>Support</p>			
Adam Parker	150.1	Oppose		Reference to no regulation, tax purposes and trade competition factors	<p>Disallow all facts that are not related to environmental impacts or proposed rules. You cannot make a submission about a proposed plan; plan change or variation on trade competition factors. Statements that are unfounded and frivolous.</p>
Deb Tawa	#131	Oppose		Reference to no regulation, tax purposes and trade competition factors	<p>Disallow all facts that are not related to environmental impacts or proposed rules. You cannot make a submission about a proposed plan; plan change or variation on trade competition factors. Statements that are unfounded and frivolous.</p>
Paul Watson	264.1	Oppose		Reference trade competition factors, quality, and health & safety matters.	<p>Disallow all facts that are not related to environmental impacts or proposed rules. You cannot make a submission about a proposed plan; plan change or variation</p>

I support/oppose the submission of: <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are: <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			The reasons for my support / opposition are: <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed: <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Stephen Honeyfield	12.1			Should have selected opposed.	<p style="text-align: right;">on trade competition factors. Statements that are unfounded and frivolous.</p> <p>Change to oppose not support.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>(for electronic form, add a new line below, press Tab)</i></p>

Note to Further Submitter

This further submission on the Proposed District Plan must be received by the New Plymouth District Council by **5pm on Tuesday 25 August 2020.**

To get your further submission to us, either:

Post to: New Plymouth District Council, Reply Paid DX, DX Box NX10026, New Plymouth.

Attention: District Planning Team

Deliver to: Civic Centre, Liardet Street, New Plymouth
or to library and service centres at Bell Block, Inglewood or Waitara

Email to: districtplan@npdc.govt.nz

Visit our website: newplymouthnz.com/eplan

A copy of your further submission MUST be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the local authority. Contact details for all submitters can be found on our Proposed Plan page at newplymouthnz.com/eplan.

Please note all information provided in your submission, including your personal information, will be made publicly available.

Your submission (or part of it) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of it):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.