



FURTHER SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, A SUBMISSION ON NPDC'S NOTIFIED PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN

Clause 8 of Schedule 1 Resource Management Act 1991

To: New Plymouth District Council
Address: Private Bag 2025, New Plymouth 4342
Email: districtplan@npdc.govt.nz

Further Submitter Details

Name of further submitter: Coreen & Scott Wilson
Contact person (if different from above)
Postal address: 18 Rennell Street, Frankleigh Park, New Plymouth 4310
Email address for service: scorlee@xtra.co.nz
Phone number: 021 127 8339 or (06) 753 4188

The Council will serve all formal documents by email. Where there is no email address provided, the documents will be posted to the postal address stated above.

I am: (please tick relevant box)

- a) A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest.
b) A person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest of the general public.
c) The local authority for the relevant area.

Please state the grounds as to why you come within the category selected above:

We currently serve in the church as First Reader, President, Clerk, Librarian and Board members.

Council Hearing (please tick relevant box)

Do you wish to be heard in support of your further submission? Yes [x] No []

If others make a similar submission would you consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing? Yes [x] No []

Submission

The submission points, support or opposition, reasons and decisions sought are set out in the attached document.

Note: Any attachments to your submission should only be supporting information, not the submission.

Handwritten signature of the person making further submission

23/8/20
Date



My specific further submission(s) are as follows:
 (Please only insert one further submission point per line. Add further sheets as required)

I support/oppose the submission of: <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are: <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			The reasons for my support/ opposition are: <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed: <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
297.69 Ivan Bruce 33 Scott Street Moturoa New Plymouth 4310	Sub No. / Point No. 297.69	Support / Oppose Oppose	Provision SCHED1 / Heritage Buildings and Items	Opposes the proposal of listing the Christian Science Church as SCHED1 because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • As owners / stewards we have no financial ability to bear the burden of responsibility, cost and limitation on future use and management of the building that a heritage listing will bring. • A great deal of the building’s original character is diminished. It has been significantly altered and added to internally and externally. eg spire removed, original frontage removed, internal re-arrangements, doors removed, building added at rear and addition to front. • Much maintenance has been deferred through lack of funds. • Relative to the “importance to the community” criteria, it is highly likely such a change will eventually force the members to give up the building, after nearly 100 years of being used for the purpose the architect designed it for. • Listing of the building will considerably impact future options. Any sale price will be drastically impacted, reducing the opportunity for the church to re- 	Do not include the Christian Science church (81 Courtenay St) in SCHED1. Allow the owners/stewards to make decisions about its future without this far-reaching restriction. The owner/ steward is the original builder of the structure so understands what is important for its members. All members belong to the Church Board and unified in this response.

I support/oppose the submission of: <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are: <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			The reasons for my support- / opposition are: <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed: <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
				establish itself in the community if it must vacate. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Architecturally the building is not attractive that a passionate buyer would remodel keeping its current form. Occupancy rates are a factor in whether a building requires strengthening. Such a new owner will invariably increase the occupancy rate from current, which likely means serious strengthening costs would be required. 	

Note to Further Submitter

This further submission on the Proposed District Plan must be received by the New Plymouth District Council by **5pm on Tuesday 25 August 2020**.

To get your further submission to us, either:

- Post to: New Plymouth District Council, Reply Paid DX, DX Box NX10026, New Plymouth.
Attention: District Planning Team
- Deliver to: Civic Centre, Liardet Street, New Plymouth
or to library and service centres at Bell Block, Inglewood or Waitara
- Email to: districtplan@npdc.govt.nz
- Visit our website: newplymouthnz.com/eplan

A copy of your further submission MUST be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the local authority. Contact details for all submitters can be found on our Proposed Plan page at newplymouthnz.com/eplan.

Please note all information provided in your submission, including your personal information, will be made publicly available.

Your submission (or part of it) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of it):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:

- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.