



## FURTHER SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, A SUBMISSION ON NPDC'S NOTIFIED PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN

Clause 8 of Schedule 1 Resource Management Act 1991

**To:** New Plymouth District Council  
**Address:** Private Bag 2025, New Plymouth 4342  
**Email:** districtplan@npdc.govt.nz

### **Further Submitter Details**

Name of further submitter: Rodney Hosking and Rachel Church

Contact person (if different from above) Cam Twigley

Postal address: BTW Company Ltd

Email address for service: cam.twigley@btw.nz

Phone number: 0274544886

*The Council will serve all formal documents by email. Where there is no email address provided, the documents will be posted to the postal address stated above.*

### **I am:** (please tick relevant box)

- a) A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest.   
(In this case, also specify the grounds for saying that you come within this category); or
- b) A person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest of the general public.   
(In this case, also explain the grounds for saying that you come within this category); or
- c) The local authority for the relevant area.

Please state the grounds as to why you come within the category selected above:

The Heritage Taranaki submission if allowed would have significant implications for the submitters private property rights.

### **Council Hearing** (please tick relevant box)

Do you wish to be heard in support of your further submission? Yes  No

If others make a similar submission would you consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing? Yes  No

### **Submission**

The submission points, support or opposition, reasons and decisions sought are set out in the attached document.

**Note:** Any attachments to your submission should only be supporting information, not the submission.

Signature of the person making further submission

19/08/2020  
Date

or the person authorised to sign on behalf of the person making further submission

**Name of Further Submitter Rodney Hosking and Rachel Church**

My specific further submission(s) are as follows:

*(Please only insert one further submission point per line. Add further sheets as required)*

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Heritage Taranaki	Entire submission	Oppose	All Historic Heritage provisions and inclusion of Hosking House in Schedule 3.	<p>The submitter has not been consulted by Heritage Taranaki about the significant changes proposed to their property. This has not enabled a reasonable time period to consider all of the Historic Heritage provisions in the Proposed Plan and the implications for the submitters future plans for use and enjoyment of their entire property.</p> <p>The submitter is also concerned about the implications on their neighbours' property rights given there are rules in the Proposed Plan which relate to works within 50m of archaeological sites. The submitter questions whether their neighbours have been consulted on the proposed changes and have been provided a chance to make a submission?</p> <p>The submitter also questions the need to protect built archaeological sites through the District Plan when they already receive protection under the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act i.e. an authority is required to modify an archaeological site. The submitter questions what Heritage Taranaki are trying to achieve by including</p>	Disallow submission that Hosking House should be included in Schedule 3. The submitter reserves their right to make submissions at a hearing on all of the Historic Heritage provisions should the proposal remain to include Hosking House within Schedule 3.

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
				<p>built archaeological sites in the Proposed Plan.</p> <p>The submitter considers a better way to manage the building and other buildings in the District which were constructed pre 1900 would be in accordance with HH-P18 through supporting landowners to manage, maintain and preserve archaeological sites via the non-regulatory methods outlined in the policy.</p>	

### Note to Further Submitter

This further submission on the Proposed District Plan must be received by the New Plymouth District Council by **5pm on Tuesday 25 August 2020**.

To get your further submission to us, either:

Post to: New Plymouth District Council, Reply Paid DX, DX Box NX10026, New Plymouth.

Attention: District Planning Team

Deliver to: Civic Centre, Liardet Street, New Plymouth

or to library and service centres at Bell Block, Inglewood or Waitara

Email to: [districtplan@npdc.govt.nz](mailto:districtplan@npdc.govt.nz)

Visit our website: [newplymouthnz.com/eplan](http://newplymouthnz.com/eplan)

A copy of your further submission MUST be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the local authority. Contact details for all submitters can be found on our Proposed Plan page at [newplymouthnz.com/eplan](http://newplymouthnz.com/eplan).

Please note all information provided in your submission, including your personal information, will be made publicly available.

Your submission (or part of it) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of it):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:

- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.