



FURTHER SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, A SUBMISSION ON NPDC'S NOTIFIED PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN

Clause 8 of Schedule 1 Resource Management Act 1991

To: New Plymouth District Council
Address: Private Bag 2025, New Plymouth 4342
Email: districtplan@npdc.govt.nz

Further Submitter Details

Name of further submitter: Petroleum Exploration and Production Association of New Zealand

Contact person (if different from above): Joshua O'Rourke

Postal address: PO Box 25259, Featherston Street, Wellington 6011

Email address for service: joshua.orourke@pepanz.com

Phone number: 022 368 0158

The Council will serve all formal documents by email. Where there is no email address provided, the documents will be posted to the postal address stated above.

I am: (please tick relevant box)

- a) A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest.
(In this case, also specify the grounds for saying that you come within this category); or
- b) A person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest of the general public.
(In this case, also explain the grounds for saying that you come within this category); or

PEPANZ represents the New Zealand oil and gas sector, which must comply with the rules in this plan. Our sector faces the direct costs of compliance with relevant regulations, so it is essential that the proposals are workable.

- c) The local authority for the relevant area.

Please state the grounds as to why you come within the category selected above:

Council Hearing (please tick relevant box)

Do you wish to be heard in support of your further submission? Yes No

If others make a similar submission would you consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing? Yes No

Submission

The submission points, support or opposition, reasons and decisions sought are set out in the attached document.

Note: Any attachments to your submission should only be supporting information, not the submission.

Joshua K. O'Rourke

Signature of the person making further submission
or the person authorised to sign on behalf of the
person making further submission

26/08/2020
Date

Name of Further Submitter Petroleum Exploration and Production Association of New Zealand

My specific further submission(s) are as follows:

(Please only insert one further submission point per line. Add further sheets as required)

Definitions

| I support/oppose the submission of: <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i> | The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are: <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i> | | | The reasons for my support / opposition are: <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i> | I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed: <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i> |
|--|---|---------|---------------------------------|--|---|
| Hiringa Energy Limited - Cam Twigley (BTW Company Limited) | 558.1 | Support | Definitions / ENERGY ACTIVITIES | Support the inclusion of 'energy production, storage and distribution activities' in the definition for energy activities as this does not exclude new energy technologies. | Allow whole submission. |
| 487 The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated - Tom Kay, Regional Manager | 487.15 | Oppose | Definitions / MAJOR FACILITIES | Oppose the submission. Linking the definition to only existing major facilities would exclude future major facilities that could otherwise meet definition (i.e. facilities which are regionally or nationally significant, and which contribute to the economic and social wellbeing of the community). The submission, if given effect to, would mean new facilities require a Plan Change to meet the definition of a major facility. | Disallow whole submission. |

| I support/oppose the submission of: <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i> | The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are: <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i> | | | The reasons for my support / opposition are: <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i> | I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed: <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i> |
|--|---|--------|------------------------------------|---|---|
| 487 The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated - Tom Kay, Regional Manager | 487.16 | Oppose | Definitions / MINING | Oppose the submission as the retention of the PDP's definition does not provide for oil and gas activities as rural industry. | Disallow whole submission. |
| 487 The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated - Tom Kay, Regional Manager | 487.17 | Oppose | Definitions / OIL AND GAS ACTIVITY | Supports the definition of oil and gas activities as drafted as it provides clarity when interpreting PDP provisions. | Disallow whole submission. |
| 550 Department of Conservation - Nardia Yozin | 550.25 | Oppose | Definitions / MINING | Oppose the submission as the retention of the PDP's definition does not provide for oil and gas activities as rural industry. | Disallow whole submission. |

| I support/oppose the submission of: <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i> | The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are: <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i> | | | The reasons for my support / opposition are: <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i> | I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed: <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i> |
|--|---|---------|---|--|---|
| 548 Greymouth Petroleum Limited - Tanya Hansen | 548.12 | Support | Definitions / PETROLEUM PRODUCTION | Support the addition of 'associated storage and export (including transport in pipes)' in the definition as these activities are petroleum production processes. | Allow whole submission. |
| 487 The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated - Tom Kay, Regional Manager | 487.19 | Oppose | Definitions / PETROLEUM PRODUCTION | Oppose the submission as extraction is a key part of petroleum production. | Disallow whole submission. |
| 543 Taranaki Energy Watch Incorporated - Sarah Roberts | 543.27 | Oppose | Definitions / New definition / PETROLEUM ACTIVITY RISK AREA | Oppose a new definition for petroleum activity risk area. | Disallow whole submission. |

| I support/oppose the submission of: <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i> | The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are: <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i> | | | The reasons for my support / opposition are: <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i> | I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed: <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i> |
|--|---|--------|--|---|---|
| 543 Taranaki Energy Watch Incorporated - Sarah Roberts | 543.26 | Oppose | Definitions / New definition / PETROLEUM ACTIVITY RISK CONTOUR | Oppose a new definition for petroleum activity risk area. | Disallow whole submission. |
| 543 Taranaki Energy Watch Incorporated - Sarah Roberts | 543.18 | Oppose | Definitions / New definition / UNACCEPTABLE RISK | Oppose a new definition for unacceptable risk. | Disallow whole submission. |

ENGY - Energy

| I support/oppose the submission of: <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i> | The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are: <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i> | | | The reasons for my support / opposition are: <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i> | I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed: <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i> |
|--|---|--------|------|---|---|
| Ngāti Rāhiri Hapū o Te Atiawa (Taranaki) Society Inc - Keith Holswich | 484.23 | Oppose | ENGY | Support engagement with tangata whenua but consider that the requirement for engagement is already covered through the Kaupapa Māori framework and the Tangata Whenua chapter of the PDP. | Disallow whole submission |
| Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga - Caroline Rachlin | 522.24 | Oppose | ENGY | Support engagement with tangata whenua but consider that the requirement for engagement is already covered through the Kaupapa Māori framework and the Tangata Whenua chapter of the PDP. | Disallow whole submission |

| I support/oppose the submission of: <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i> | The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are: <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i> | | | The reasons for my support / opposition are: <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i> | I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed: <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i> |
|--|---|--------|---------------------------|---|---|
| Department of Conservation - Nardia Yoizin | 550.43 | Oppose | ENGY / Policies | <p>Consider DOC's relief is unnecessary because ENGY-P5 already seeks to avoid activities that have significant adverse effects on identified features or sensitive activities.</p> <p>In respect of petroleum prospecting, we supports the PDP framework under ENGY-P1 and ENGY-P2 (allowing petroleum prospecting in all zones excluding seismic surveys using explosives and allowing seismic surveys in using explosives in the Major Facility Zone and Rural Production Zone provided adverse effects are appropriately managed).</p> <p>Activities should be able to be assessed on their merits, and petroleum activities are well-understood and able to be managed through conditions (or declined where appropriate). Following the King Salmon case, avoid effectively means a prohibition and this is overly restrictive.</p> | Disallow whole submission. |
| Department of Conservation - Nardia Yoizin | 550.47 | Oppose | ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P1 | <p>Consider DOC's relief is unnecessary because ENGY-P5 already seeks to avoid activities that have significant adverse effects on identified features or sensitive activities.</p> <p>In respect of petroleum prospecting, we supports the PDP framework under ENGY-P1 and ENGY-P2 (allowing petroleum prospecting in all zones excluding seismic</p> | Disallow whole submission. |

| I support/oppose the submission of: <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i> | The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are: <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i> | | | The reasons for my support / opposition are: <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i> | I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed: <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i> |
|--|---|--------|---------------------------|---|---|
| | | | | <p>surveys using explosives and allowing seismic surveys in using explosives in the Major Facility Zone and Rural Production Zone provided adverse effects are appropriately managed).</p> <p>Activities should be able to be assessed on their merits, and petroleum activities are well-understood and able to be managed through conditions (or declined where appropriate). Following the King Salmon case, avoid effectively means a prohibition and this is overly restrictive.</p> | |
| Department of Conservation - Nardia Yozin | 550.46 | Oppose | ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P4 | <p>Consider DOC's relief is unnecessary because ENGY-P5 already seeks to avoid activities that have significant adverse effects on identified features or sensitive activities.</p> <p>In respect of petroleum prospecting, we supports the PDP framework under ENGY-P1 and ENGY-P2 (allowing petroleum prospecting in all zones excluding seismic surveys using explosives and allowing seismic surveys in using explosives in the Major Facility Zone and Rural Production Zone provided adverse effects are appropriately managed).</p> <p>Activities should be able to be assessed on their merits, and petroleum activities are well-understood and able to be managed through conditions (or declined where</p> | Disallow whole submission. |

| I support/oppose the submission of: <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i> | The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are: <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i> | | | The reasons for my support / opposition are: <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i> | I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed: <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i> |
|--|---|--------|---------------------------|--|---|
| | | | | <p>appropriate). Following the King Salmon case, avoid effectively means a prohibition and this is overly restrictive.</p> | |
| Ngāti Maru - Anaru Marshall | 533.90 | Oppose | ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P5 | <p>Oppose the submission point to delete 'significant' as the adverse effects threshold. Avoid is considered appropriate policy direction to manage 'significant' adverse effects. We consider ENGY-P7-P9 provides a suitable policy framework to appropriately avoid, remedy or mitigate potential adverse effects that are not significant.</p> <p>We do not oppose listing identified features or sensitive activities providing a sound process is used.</p> | <p>Disallow submission in part.</p> <p>Retain the 'avoidance of significant adverse effects' as the policy directive.</p> |

| I support/oppose the submission of: <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i> | The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are: <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i> | | | The reasons for my support / opposition are: <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i> | I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed: <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i> |
|--|---|--------|---------------------------|--|---|
| Pukerangiora Hapū - Anaru White | 515.14 | Oppose | ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P5 | <p>Oppose deletion of 'significant' as the adverse effects threshold. Avoid is considered appropriate policy direction to manage 'significant' adverse effects. We consider ENGY-P7-P9 provide a suitable policy framework to appropriately avoid, remedy or mitigate potential adverse effects that are not significant.</p> <p>We do not oppose listing identified features or sensitive activities providing a sound process is used.</p> | <p>Disallow submission in part.</p> <p>Retain the 'avoidance of significant adverse effects' as the policy directive.</p> |
| Te Kāhui o Taranaki Trust - Wharehoka Wano | 534.134 | Oppose | ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P5 | <p>Oppose deletion of 'significant' as the adverse effects threshold. Avoid is considered appropriate policy direction to manage 'significant' adverse effects. We consider ENGY-P7-P9 provide a suitable policy framework to appropriately avoid, remedy or mitigate potential adverse effects that are not significant.</p> <p>We do not oppose listing identified features or sensitive activities providing a sound process is used.</p> | <p>Disallow submission in part.</p> <p>Retain the 'avoidance of significant adverse effects' as the policy directive.</p> |

| I support/oppose the submission of: <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i> | The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are: <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i> | | | The reasons for my support / opposition are: <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i> | I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed: <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i> |
|--|---|--------|---------------------------|--|---|
| Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren | 459.44 | Oppose | ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P5 | <p>Oppose deletion of 'significant' as the adverse effects threshold. Avoid is considered appropriate policy direction to manage 'significant' adverse effects. We consider ENGY-P7-P9 provide a suitable policy framework to appropriately avoid, remedy or mitigate potential adverse effects that are not significant.</p> <p>We do not oppose listing identified features or sensitive activities providing a sound process is used.</p> | <p>Disallow submission in part.</p> <p>Retain the 'avoidance of significant adverse effects' as the policy directive.</p> |
| Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga - Marlene Benson | 520.15 | Oppose | ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P5 | <p>Oppose deletion of 'significant' as the adverse effects threshold. Avoid is considered appropriate policy direction to manage 'significant' adverse effects. We consider ENGY-P7-P9 provide a suitable policy framework to appropriately avoid, remedy or mitigate potential adverse effects that are not significant.</p> <p>We do not oppose listing identified features or sensitive activities providing a sound process is used.</p> | <p>Disallow submission in part.</p> <p>Retain the 'avoidance of significant adverse effects' as the policy directive.</p> |

| I support/oppose the submission of: <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i> | The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are: <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i> | | | The reasons for my support / opposition are: <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i> | I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed: <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i> |
|--|---|--------|---------------------------|--|---|
| Department of Conservation - Nardia Yozin | 550.45 | Oppose | ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P6 | The ENGY policy framework already provides for recognition of sensitive areas. | Disallow whole submission. |
| Ngāti Maru - Anaru Marshall | 533.86 | Oppose | ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P7 | <p>Oppose a 100m separation distance as an arbitrary distance. Any separation distance requirements should be prescribed through the rule framework. Reference to 'adequate setback' is considered appropriate in the policy framework.</p> <p>We do not oppose listing identified features or sensitive activities providing a sound process is used.</p> | <p>Disallow submission in part.</p> <p>Retain 'adequate setback' as the policy directive rather than a prescribed distance.</p> |
| Te Kāhui o Taranaki Trust - Wharehoka Wano | 534.129 | Oppose | ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P7 | <p>Oppose a 100m separation distance as an arbitrary distance. Any separation distance requirements should be prescribed through the rule framework. Reference to 'adequate setback' is considered appropriate in the policy framework.</p> <p>We do not oppose listing identified features or sensitive activities providing a sound process is used.</p> | <p>Disallow submission in part.</p> <p>Retain 'adequate setback' as the policy directive rather than a prescribed distance.</p> |

| I support/oppose the submission of: <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i> | The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are: <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i> | | | The reasons for my support / opposition are: <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i> | I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed: <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i> |
|--|---|--------|---------------------------|--|---|
| Te Kāhui o Taranaki Trust - Wharehoka Wano | 534.137 | Oppose | ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P7 | <p>Oppose a 100m separation distance as an arbitrary distance. Any separation distance requirements should be prescribed through the rule framework. Reference to 'adequate setback' is considered appropriate in the policy framework.</p> <p>We do not oppose listing identified features or sensitive activities providing a sound process is used.</p> | <p>Disallow submission in part.</p> <p>Retain 'adequate setback' as the policy directive rather than a prescribed distance.</p> |
| Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren | 459.54 | Oppose | ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P7 | <p>Oppose a 500m separation distance as an arbitrary distance. Any separation distance requirements should be prescribed through the rule framework. Reference to 'adequate setback' is considered appropriate in the policy framework.</p> <p>We do not oppose listing identified features or sensitive activities providing a sound process is used.</p> | <p>Disallow submission in part.</p> <p>Retain 'adequate setback' as the policy directive rather than a prescribed distance.</p> |
| Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga - Marlene Benson | 520.20 | Oppose | ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P7 | <p>Oppose a 100m separation distance as an arbitrary distance. Any separation distance requirements should be prescribed through the rule framework. Reference to 'adequate setback' is considered appropriate in the policy framework.</p> <p>We do not oppose listing identified features or sensitive activities providing a sound process is used.</p> | <p>Disallow submission in part.</p> <p>Retain 'adequate setback' as the policy directive rather than a prescribed distance.</p> |

| I support/oppose the submission of: <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i> | The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are: <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i> | | | The reasons for my support / opposition are: <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i> | I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed: <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i> |
|--|---|--------|---------------------------|--|---|
| Ngāti Maru - Anaru Marshall | 533.81 | Oppose | ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P8 | <p>Oppose a 100m separation distance as an arbitrary distance. Any separation distance requirements should be prescribed through the rule framework. Reference to 'adequate setback' is considered appropriate in the policy framework.</p> <p>We do not oppose listing identified features or sensitive activities providing a sound process is used.</p> | <p>Disallow submission in part.</p> <p>Retain 'adequate setback' as the policy directive rather than a prescribed distance.</p> |
| Te Kāhui o Taranaki Trust - Wharehoka Wano | 534.128 | Oppose | ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P8 | <p>Oppose a 100m separation distance as an arbitrary distance. Any separation distance requirements should be prescribed through the rule framework. Reference to 'adequate setback' is considered appropriate in the policy framework.</p> <p>We do not oppose listing identified features or sensitive activities providing a sound process is used.</p> | <p>Disallow submission in part.</p> <p>Retain 'adequate setback' as the policy directive rather than a prescribed distance.</p> |
| Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren | 459.50 | Oppose | ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P8 | <p>Oppose a 100m separation distance as an arbitrary distance. Any separation distance requirements should be prescribed through the rule framework. Reference to 'adequate setback' is considered appropriate in the policy framework.</p> <p>We do not oppose listing identified features or sensitive activities providing a sound process is used.</p> | <p>Disallow submission in part.</p> <p>Retain 'adequate setback' as the policy directive rather than a prescribed distance.</p> |

| I support/oppose the submission of: <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i> | The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are: <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i> | | | The reasons for my support / opposition are: <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i> | I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed: <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i> |
|--|---|--------|----------------------------|--|---|
| Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga - Marlene Benson | 520.21 | Oppose | ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P8 | <p>Oppose a 100m separation distance as an arbitrary distance. Any separation distance requirements should be prescribed through the rule framework. Reference to 'adequate setback' is considered appropriate in the policy framework.</p> <p>We do not oppose listing identified features or sensitive activities providing a sound process is used.</p> | <p>Disallow submission in part.</p> <p>Retain 'adequate setback' as the policy directive rather than a prescribed distance.</p> |
| Taranaki Energy Watch Incorporated - Sarah Roberts | 543.16 | Oppose | ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P10 | Any requirement for bonds etc should be provided through other regimes such as the Crown Minerals Act and not through the PDP. | Disallow whole submission. |
| .Department of Conservation - Nardia Yozin | 550.40 | Oppose | ENGY / Rules / ENGY-R1 | Support petroleum prospecting, excluding seismic surveys using explosives as a permitted activity subject to compliance with underlying zone Effects Standards. | Disallow whole submission. |

HAZS - Hazardous Substances

| I support/oppose the submission of: <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i> | The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are: <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i> | | | The reasons for my support / opposition are: <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i> | I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed: <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i> |
|--|---|--------|-----------------------------|---|---|
| Taranaki Energy Watch Incorporated - Sarah Roberts | 543.20 | Oppose | HAZS / Objectives / HAZS-O2 | HAZS-O1 already includes an objective directive to consider risks to the environment and human health. | Disallow whole submission. |
| Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren | 459.106 | Oppose | HAZS / Policies / HAZS-P6 | Oppose a 500m setback for significant hazardous facilities from identified features as arbitrary and unreasonable. | Disallow whole submission. |
| Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren | 459.94 | Oppose | HAZS / Policies / HAZS-P8 | Oppose a 500m setback for significant hazardous facilities from identified features as arbitrary and unreasonable. | Disallow whole submission. |
| The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated - Tom Kay, Regional Manager | 487.25 | Oppose | HAZS / Rules / HAZS-R8 | HAZS-R5 (Significant hazardous facilities within significant natural areas) already sets non-complying activity status for significant hazardous facilities within significant natural areas. | Disallow whole submission. |
| Taranaki Energy Watch Incorporated - Sarah Roberts | 543.23 | Oppose | HAZS / Rules / New rule | Oppose an amendment to the HAZS policies to include a separate section on Petroleum Exploration and Petroleum Production activities. | Disallow whole submission. |

CE - Coastal Environment

| I support/oppose the submission of: <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i> | The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are: <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i> | | | The reasons for my support / opposition are: <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i> | I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed: <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i> |
|--|---|--------|---------------------|---|---|
| Climate Justice Taranaki Incorporated - Catherine Cheung | 311.67 | Oppose | CE / Rules / CE-R17 | Opposes prohibited activity status for petroleum exploration and petroleum | Disallow whole submission. |

| I support/oppose the submission of: <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i> | The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are: <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i> | | | The reasons for my support / opposition are: <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i> | I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed: <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i> |
|--|---|--------|---------------------|---|---|
| | | | | production in the coastal environment as unreasonable and unnecessary. Activities should be able to be assessed on their merits, and petroleum activities are well-understood and able to be managed through conditions (or declined where appropriate). Following the King Salmon case, avoid effectively means a prohibition and this is overly restrictive. | |
| Department of Conservation - Nardia Yozin | 550.106 | Oppose | CE / Rules / CE-R17 | Ooppose non-complying activity status for petroleum exploration and production in the Major Facilities Zone as too restrictive. | Disallow submission in part. |

NOISE - Noise

| I support/oppose the submission of: <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i> | The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are: <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i> | | | The reasons for my support / opposition are: <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i> | I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed: <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i> |
|--|---|---------|--------------------------|---|---|
| Greymouth Petroleum Limited - Tanya Hansen | 548.85 | Support | NOISE / Rules / NOISE-S1 | Support the use of the notional boundary as the noise measurement point in rural areas. | Allow whole submission. |
| Marshall Day Acoustics - Damian Ellerton | 176.1a | Support | NOISE / Rules / NOISE-S1 | Support the use of the notional boundary as the noise measurement point in rural areas. | Allow whole submission. |
| Omata Tank Farm Operators - Cam | 466.22 | Support | NOISE / Rules / NOISE-S1 | Support the use of the notional boundary as the noise measurement point in rural areas. | Allow whole submission. |

| I support/oppose the submission of: <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i> | The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are: <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i> | | | The reasons for my support / opposition are: <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i> | I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed: <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i> |
|--|---|---------|--------------------------|---|---|
| Twigley (BTW Company Limited) | | | | | |
| Todd Energy Limited - Nik Pyselman | 511.17 | Support | NOISE / Rules / NOISE-S1 | Support the use of the notional boundary as the noise measurement point and the night time noise limit of 45dB Laeq in rural areas. | Allow submission in whole. |
| Todd Generation Limited - Stephen Quinn | 521.41 | Support | NOISE / Rules / NOISE-S1 | Support the use of the notional boundary as the noise measurement point and the night time noise limit of 45dB Laeq in rural areas. | Allow submission in whole. |

MFZ - Major Facilities Zone

| I support/oppose the submission of: <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i> | The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are: <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i> | | | The reasons for my support / opposition are: <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i> | I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed: <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i> |
|--|---|---------|------------|--|---|
| Greymouth Petroleum Ltd | 548.97 | Support | MFZ -O1-O3 | Objectives as drafted recognise the important contribution major facilities make to the economic and social wellbeing of the community | Whole submission is allowed |

| I support/oppose the submission of: <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i> | The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are: <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i> | | | The reasons for my support / opposition are: <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i> | I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed: <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i> |
|--|---|---------|-------------|--|---|
| Omata Tank Farm Operators | 466.2 | Support | MFZ – O1-O4 | Objectives as drafted recognise the important contribution major facilities make to the economic and social wellbeing of the community | Whole submission is allowed |
| Climate Justice Taranaki | 311.70 | Oppose | MFZ – O1 | Oppose removal of 'social wellbeing' as the Major Facility Zones activities contribute positively to social and economic wellbeing. | Part of the submission is disallowed |
| The Oil Companies | 551.154 | Support | MFZ – O1 | Objectives as drafted recognise the important contribution major facilities make to the economic and social wellbeing of the community | Whole submission is allowed |
| Climate Justice Taranaki | 311.95 | Oppose | MFZ-O3 | Oppose removal of 'social wellbeing' as the Major Facility Zones activities contribute positively to social and economic wellbeing. | Whole submission is disallowed |

RPROZ - Rural Production Zone

| I support/oppose the submission of: <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i> | The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are: <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i> | | | The reasons for my support / opposition are: <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i> | I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed: <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i> |
|--|---|---------|---------------------|--|---|
| Climate Justice Taranaki | 311.55 | Oppose | RPROZ | Oil and gas activities are typically located within the Rural Production Zone and make an important contribution to social and economic wellbeing. | Whole submission is disallowed |
| Aggregate and Quarry Association of NZ | 477.22 | Support | RPROZ/New Objective | The district's mineral resources should be recognised and provided for as they provide social and economic benefits. | Whole submission is allowed |
| Climate Justice Taranaki | 311.57 | Oppose | RPROZ-05 | Disagree that the objective should include a direction to phase certain mainstay industries out. | Whole submission is disallowed |
| Todd Generation Ltd | 521.47 | Support | RPROZ-05 | Energy activities should be added to the objective as a key production and extraction activity that occurs in the zone. | Whole submission is allowed |

| I support/oppose the submission of: <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i> | The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are: <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i> | | | The reasons for my support / opposition are: <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i> | I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed: <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i> |
|--|---|-----------------|--------------------|---|---|
| Te Runanga o Ngati Mutunga | 520.188 | Oppose | RPROZ – New Policy | Consider the policy is unnecessary as the matters raised are already largely addressed by RPROZ-P6. | Whole submission is disallowed |
| Climate Justice Taranaki | 311.59 | Oppose | RPROZ-P2 | Mining is an important activity, is predominantly located in the Rural Production Zone and can be managed within the zone. | Whole submission is disallowed |
| Greymouth Petroleum Ltd | 548.99 | Support in part | RPROZ-P2 | Agree that oil and gas activities should be on the list of potentially compatible activities but if Energy Activities were included this would address the submission point. | Part of submission is allowed |
| Todd Generation Ltd | 521.45 | Support | RPROZ-P2 | Energy Activities should be added to the policy (which would include petroleum exploration and petroleum production) to recognise they are predominantly located within the Rural Production Zone and are potentially compatible activities within the Rural Production Zone. | Whole submission is allowed |

| I support/oppose the submission of: <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i> | The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are: <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i> | | | The reasons for my support / opposition are: <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i> | I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed: <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i> |
|--|---|--------|----------|---|---|
| Climate Justice Taranaki | 311.60 | Oppose | RPROZ-03 | Disagree that intensive indoor animal farming, mining, petroleum prospecting, petroleum exploration, petroleum production and waste disposal are incompatible activities within the Rural Production Zone as by their very nature this is the zone they are, and have to be, located in. They have a proven history that they can be compatible within this zone. | Whole submission is disallowed |