



# FURTHER SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, A SUBMISSION ON NPDC'S NOTIFIED PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN

Clause 8 of Schedule 1 Resource Management Act 1991

**To:** New Plymouth District Council  
**Address:** Private Bag 2025, New Plymouth 4342  
**Email:** districtplan@npdc.govt.nz

### **Further Submitter Details**

Name of further submitter: Methanex NZ.....  
Contact person (if different from above)Juliet Larkin .....  
Postal address: 409 Main North Road, Motunui .....  
Email address for service: jlarkin@methanex.com .....  
Phone number: 0277078774.....

*The Council will serve all formal documents by email. Where there is no email address provided, the documents will be posted to the postal address stated above.*

### **I am:** (please tick relevant box)

- a) A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest.   
*(In this case, also specify the grounds for saying that you come within this category);* or
- b) A person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest of the general public.   
*(In this case, also explain the grounds for saying that you come within this category);* or
- c) The local authority for the relevant area.

Please state the grounds as to why you come within the category selected above:

---

---

---

### **Council Hearing** (please tick relevant box)

Do you wish to be heard in support of your further submission? Yes  No

If others make a similar submission would you consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing? Yes  No

### **Submission**

The submission points, support or opposition, reasons and decisions sought are set out in the attached document.

**Note:** Any attachments to your submission should only be supporting information, not the submission.

*Juliet Larkin*

Signature of the person making further submission  
or the person authorised to sign on behalf of the  
person making further submission

25/8/20 \_\_\_\_\_  
Date



**Name of Further Submitter** \_\_\_\_\_

My specific further submission(s) are as follows: See Attached table  
(Please only insert one further submission point per line. Add further sheets as required)

**Note to Further Submitter**

This further submission on the Proposed District Plan must be received by the New Plymouth District Council by **5pm on Tuesday 25 August 2020.**

To get your further submission to us, either:

Post to: New Plymouth District Council, Reply Paid DX, DX Box NX10026, New Plymouth.

Attention: District Planning Team

Deliver to: Civic Centre, Liardet Street, New Plymouth  
or to library and service centres at Bell Block, Inglewood or Waitara

Email to: [districtplan@npdc.govt.nz](mailto:districtplan@npdc.govt.nz)

Visit our website: [newplymouthnz.com/eplan](http://newplymouthnz.com/eplan)

A copy of your further submission MUST be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the local authority. Contact details for all submitters can be found on our Proposed Plan page at [newplymouthnz.com/eplan](http://newplymouthnz.com/eplan).

Please note all information provided in your submission, including your personal information, will be made publicly available.

Your submission (or part of it) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of it):

- it is frivolous or vexatious;
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case;
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further;
- it contains offensive language;
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Submitter	Submission point ref.	Provision	Relief Sought by Submitter	Methanex Support / Oppose	Reason	Relief Sought by Methanex
Kathleen Western on behalf of J & K Western	471.1	Planning Maps / Noise Control Boundary	Retain previous noise control boundary for Methanex Motunui	Oppose	Methanex seeks that the extent of the notified noise control boundary be retained, with the amendments to the rule framework proposed by Methanex in its primary submission.	Reject submission
Kathleen Western on behalf of J & K Western	471.2	Planning Maps / Risk Contours	1. Amend mapped extent of the Risk Management Contour, to be measured from the actual hazard and not from the plant boundary, and 2. Clarify the hazards to the submitter's property.	Support in part	Methanex seeks that the risk management contours be included in the District Plan (as they are currently not shown within the plan) as per Methanex's primary submission.	Accept in part
Kerry Graeme Rowe	428.2	MFZ/Zoning	Amend the extent of the hazard boundary to be calculated from the actual hazard, not additional to the plant boundary.	Support in part	Methanex seeks that the risk management contours be included in the District Plan (as they are currently not shown within the plan) as per Methanex's primary submission.	Accept in part
Kerry Graeme Rowe	428.1	NOISE / Rules / NOISE-S4	Oppose Standard NOISE-S4(3) (Site specific noise rules) because the proposed Methanex Motunui noise boundary will allow for the site to generate more noise.	Oppose	Methanex seeks that the extent of the notified noise control boundary be retained, with the amendments to the rule framework proposed by Methanex in its primary submission.	Reject submission
Graeme and Lesley Rowe	433.2	MFZ/Zoning	Oppose the amendments to the Hazards Zone which now include the Car Park. When the Plant was built the Car Park and Offices were out of the Hazards zone.  1. Amend the extent of the Hazard Zone to be from the actual hazard, not the Plant boundary. 2. Clarify hazards to the submitter and submitters property	Support in part	Methanex seeks that the risk management contours be included in the District Plan (as they are currently not shown within the plan), with the amendments proposed by Methanex in its primary submission	Accept in part
Graeme and Lesley Rowe	433.1	NOISE / Rules / NOISE-S4	Oppose Standard NOISE-S4(3) (Site specific noise rules) because the proposed Methanex Motunui noise boundary restricts development on the property.  The noise conditions are an absolute "farce". Are you telling us that we live in the most "unstable conditions" as Mr Hedley reports every "monitoring".	Oppose	Methanex seeks that the extent of the notified noise control boundary be retained, with the amendments to the rule framework proposed by Methanex in its primary submission.	Reject submission

Submitter	Submission point ref.	Provision	Relief Sought by Submitter	Methanex Support / Oppose	Reason	Relief Sought by Methanex
Ngāti Rāhiri Hapū o Te Atiawa (Taranaki) Society Inc - Keith Holswich	503.12	NOISE / Objectives / NOISE-O3	Oppose in part Objective NOISE-O3 (Noise sensitive activities and reverse sensitivity) as the proposed noise contour for the Motunui Major Facility Zone extends over hapū land; the costs that may fall upon the hapū to comply with reverse sensitivity requirements. Noise emitters should be required to mitigate noise instead.	Oppose	Methanex seeks that the extent of the notified noise control boundary be retained, with the amendments to the rule framework proposed by Methanex in its primary submission.	Reject submission
Ngāti Rāhiri Hapū o Te Atiawa (Taranaki) Society Inc - Keith Holswich	503.13	NOISE / Rules / NOISE-S4	Oppose the Motunui Major Facility Zone noise contour over hapū land because there has been no consultation nor explanation with hapū as to why lands a subject to the control.  Rezone hapū lands to be outside the Motunui Major Facility Zone noise contour.	Oppose	Methanex seeks that the extent of the notified noise control boundary be retained, with the amendments to the rule framework proposed by Methanex in its primary submission.	Reject submission
New Plymouth District Council - Juliet Johnson	582.66	MFZ / PREC4 - Figure 91 - Methanex Motunui Precinct	Considers that PREC4-Methanex Motunui should be clarified as the precinct boundary is incorrect and covers a smaller area than that shown.  Amend the PREC4 - Figure 91 - Methanex Motunui boundary to cover the area shown in Orange in the original submission.	Support	Methanex agrees that the boundary is incorrectly shown in the plan and should be reduced to the area originally submitted by Methanex	Accept
New Plymouth District Council - Juliet Johnson	582.67	MFZ / PREC5 - Figure 92 - Methanex Waitara Valley	Considers that PREC5-Methanex Waitara Valley should be clarified as the precinct boundary is incorrect and covers a smaller area than that shown.  Amend the PREC5 - Figure 92 - Methanex Waitara Valley boundary to cover the area shown in Orange in the original submission.	Support	Methanex agrees that the boundary is incorrectly shown in the plan and should be reduced to the area originally submitted by Methanex	Accept