

## FURTHER SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, A SUBMISSION ON NPDC'S NOTIFIED PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN

Clause 8 of Schedule 1 Resource Management Act 1991

**To:** New Plymouth District Council  
**Address:** Private Bag 2025, New Plymouth 4342  
**Email:** districtplan@npdc.govt.nz

### **Further Submitter Details**

Name of further submitter: OMV NZ Ltd

Contact person (if different from above) Cam Twigley

Postal address: BTW Company Ltd

Email address for service: cam.twigley@btw.nz

Phone number: 0274544886

*The Council will serve all formal documents by email. Where there is no email address provided, the documents will be posted to the postal address stated above.*

**I am:** (please tick relevant box)

- a) A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest.   
(In this case, also specify the grounds for saying that you come within this category); or
- b) A person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest of the general public.   
(In this case, also explain the grounds for saying that you come within this category); or
- c) The local authority for the relevant area.

Please state the grounds as to why you come within the category selected above:

**Council Hearing** (please tick relevant box)

Do you wish to be heard in support of your further submission? Yes  No

If others make a similar submission would you consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing? Yes  No

### **Submission**

The submission points, support or opposition, reasons and decisions sought are set out in the attached document.

**Note:** Any attachments to your submission should only be supporting information, not the submission.



\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature of the person making further submission  
or the person authorised to sign on behalf of the  
person making further submission

25/08/2020  
Date

**Name of Further Submitter: OMV NZ Ltd**

My specific further submission(s) are as follows:

*(Please only insert one further submission point per line. Add further sheets as required)*

**Definitions**

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Hiringa Energy Limited - Cam Twigley (BTW Company Limited)	558.1	Support	Definitions / ENERGY ACTIVITIES	Support the inclusion of 'energy production, storage and distribution activities' in the definition for energy activities to recognise emerging technologies for other sources of energy.	Allow whole submission.
487 The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated - Tom Kay, Regional Manager	487.15	Oppose	Definitions / MAJOR FACILITIES	Opposes the submission as tying the definition to currently existing major facilities would exclude future major facilities that could otherwise meet the intent of the definition (i.e. facilities which are regionally or nationally significant, and which contribute to the economic and social wellbeing of the community). New facilities would require a plan change to meet the definition of a major facility.	Disallow whole submission.
550 Department of Conservation - Nardia Yozin	550.25	Oppose	Definitions / MINING	OMV opposes the submission as the retention of the definition as drafted effectively removes acknowledgement of oil and gas activities as rural industry in the Proposed District Plan (PDP).	Disallow whole submission.

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
487 The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated - Tom Kay, Regional Manager	487.16	Oppose	Definitions / MINING	OMV opposes the submission as any support for the oil and gas activity exclusions effectively removes acknowledgement of oil and gas activities as rural industry in the Proposed District Plan (PDP).	Disallow whole submission.
487 The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated - Tom Kay, Regional Manager	487.17	Oppose	Definitions / OIL AND GAS ACTIVITY	OMV support the definition of oil and gas activities as drafted as it provides clarity when interpreting PDP provisions.  It is noted that the definition is used on numerous occasions within the PDP (rather than the single occasion cited by the submitter).	Disallow whole submission.
548 Greymouth Petroleum Limited - Tanya Hansen	548.12	Support	Definitions / PETROLEUM PRODUCTION	OMV support the addition of 'associated storage and export (including transport in pipes)' in the definition as activities inherent in petroleum production processes.	Allow whole submission.

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
487 The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated - Tom Kay, Regional Manager	487.19	Oppose	Definitions / PETROLEUM PRODUCTION	OMV opposes the submission as extraction is a key activity in petroleum production.	Disallow whole submission.
543 Taranaki Energy Watch Incorporated - Sarah Roberts	543.27	Oppose	Definitions / New definition / PETROLEUM ACTIVITY RISK AREA	OMV opposes a new definition for petroleum activity risk area.	Disallow whole submission.
543 Taranaki Energy Watch Incorporated - Sarah Roberts	543.26	Oppose	Definitions / New definition / PETROLEUM ACTIVITY RISK CONTOUR	OMV opposes a new definition for petroleum activity risk area.	Disallow whole submission.

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
543 Taranaki Energy Watch Incorporated - Sarah Roberts	543.18	Oppose	Definitions / New definition / UNACCEPT ABLE RISK	OMV opposes a new definition for unacceptable risk.	Disallow whole submission.

### **ENGY - Energy**

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Ngāti Rāhiri Hapū o Te Atiawa (Taranaki) Society Inc - Keith Holswich	484.23	Oppose	ENGY	Ngāti Rāhiri considers that the ENGY Chapter should be clarified as appears there is no requirement for consultation with Tangata Whenua is required in this section.  OMV support engagement with tangata whenua but consider that the requirement for engagement with tangata whenua is well covered through the Kaupapa Māori framework and the Tangata Whenua chapter of the Proposed Plan	Disallow whole submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga - Caroline Rachlin	522.24	Oppose	ENGY	<p>Oppose ENGY Chapter as notified as it does not afford appropriate recognition and protection of heritage and cultural values.</p> <p>OMV support the recognition and protection of heritage and cultural values but consider these matters are well covered in the Historic and Cultural Values sections of the Proposed Plan</p>	Disallow whole submission
Climate Justice Taranaki Incorporated - Catherine Cheung	311.34	Support	ENGY / Objectives / New objective	OMV support the submission in part to provide for recognition of energy activities that support community resilience.	Allow submission in part to provide for recognition of energy activities that support community resilience.
Department of Conservation - Nardia Yozin	550.43	Oppose	ENGY / Policies	<p>DOC seek new policy to:</p> <p><i>Avoid petroleum exploration, petroleum prospecting and petroleum production activities within areas containing significant indigenous biodiversity, outstanding natural landscapes, outstanding natural features and outstanding natural character.</i></p>	Disallow whole submission.

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
				<p>OMV considers the new policy to be unnecessary in respect of petroleum exploration and petroleum production as ENGY-P5 already seeks to avoid activities that have significant adverse effects on identified features or sensitive activities.</p> <p>In respect of petroleum prospecting, OMV supports the PDP framework under ENGY-P1 and ENGY-P2 (allowing petroleum prospecting in all zones excluding seismic surveys using explosives and allowing seismic surveys in using explosives in the Major Facility Zone and Rural Production Zone provided adverse effects are appropriately managed).</p>	
Climate Justice Taranaki Incorporated - Catherine Cheung	311.35	Oppose	ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P1	OMV oppose the submission as it is unclear what changes the submitter requires.	Disallow whole submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Department of Conservation - Nardia Yozin	550.47	Oppose	ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P1	OMV considers a new policy avoiding petroleum prospecting to be inappropriate. OMV supports the PDP framework under ENGY-P1 and ENGY-P2 (allowing petroleum prospecting in all zones excluding seismic surveys using explosives and allowing seismic surveys in using explosives in the Major Facility Zone and Rural Production Zone provided adverse effects are appropriately managed).	Disallow whole submission.
Department of Conservation - Nardia Yozin	550.46	Oppose	ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P4	OMV considers a new policy to be unnecessary in respect of petroleum exploration and petroleum production as ENGY-P5 already seeks to avoid activities that have significant adverse effects on identified features or sensitive activities.	Disallow whole submission.
Ngāti Maru - Anaru Marshall	533.90	Oppose	ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P5	OMV oppose the submission point to delete 'significant' as the adverse effects threshold. Avoid is considered appropriate policy direction to manage 'significant' adverse effects. OMV considers ENGY-P7-P9 provide a suitable policy framework to appropriately avoid, remedy or mitigate potential adverse effects that are not significant.	Disallow submission in part.  Retain the 'avoidance of significant adverse effects' as the policy directive.



<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
				OMV does not oppose listing agreed to identified features or sensitive activities for clarity purposes.	
Pukerangiora Hapū - Anaru White	515.14	Oppose	ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P5	<p>OMV oppose the submission point to delete 'significant' as the adverse effects threshold. Avoid is considered appropriate policy direction to manage 'significant' adverse effects. OMV considers ENGY-P7-P9 provide a suitable policy framework to appropriately avoid, remedy or mitigate potential adverse effects that are not significant.</p> <p>OMV does not oppose listing agreed to identified features or sensitive activities for clarity purposes.</p>	<p>Disallow submission in part.</p> <p>Retain the 'avoidance of significant adverse effects' as the policy directive.</p>
Te Kāhui o Taranaki Trust - Wharehoka Wano	534.134	Oppose	ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P5	<p>OMV oppose the submission point to delete 'significant' as the adverse effects threshold. Avoid is considered appropriate policy direction to manage 'significant' adverse effects. OMV considers ENGY-P7-P9 provide a suitable policy framework to appropriately avoid, remedy or mitigate potential adverse effects that are not significant.</p> <p>OMV does not oppose listing agreed to identified features or sensitive activities for clarity purposes.</p>	<p>Disallow submission in part.</p> <p>Retain the 'avoidance of significant adverse effects' as the policy directive.</p>

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.44	Oppose	ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P5	<p>OMV oppose the submission point to delete 'significant' as the adverse effects threshold. Avoid is considered appropriate policy direction to manage 'significant' adverse effects. OMV considers ENGY-P7-P9 provide a suitable policy framework to appropriately avoid, remedy or mitigate potential adverse effects that are not significant.</p> <p>OMV does not oppose listing identified features or sensitive activities for clarity purposes.</p>	<p>Disallow submission in part.</p> <p>Retain the 'avoidance of significant adverse effects' as the policy directive.</p>
Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga - Marlene Benson	520.15	Oppose	ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P5	<p>OMV oppose the submission point to delete 'significant' as the adverse effects threshold. Avoid is considered appropriate policy direction to manage 'significant' adverse effects. OMV considers ENGY-P7-P9 provide a suitable policy framework to appropriately avoid, remedy or mitigate potential adverse effects that are not significant.</p> <p>OMV does not oppose listing identified features or sensitive activities for clarity purposes.</p>	<p>Disallow submission in part.</p> <p>Retain the 'avoidance of significant adverse effects' as the policy directive.</p>

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Department of Conservation - Nardia Yozin	550.45	Oppose	ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P6	OMV does not consider a new policy necessary as the ENGY policy framework already provides for recognition of sensitive areas.	Disallow whole submission.
Ngāti Maru - Anaru Marshall	533.83	Support	ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P7	OMV support Tangata Whenua engagement with respect to mitigation measures and opportunities to incorporate Mātauranga Māori when managing petroleum exploration and petroleum production activities.	Allow whole submission.
Ngāti Maru - Anaru Marshall	533.86	Oppose	ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P7	<p>OMV opposes a 100m separation distance as an arbitrary distance. Any separation distance requirements should be prescribed through the rule framework. Reference to 'adequate setback' is considered appropriate in the policy framework.</p> <p>OMV does not oppose listing identified features or sensitive activities for clarity purposes.</p>	<p>Disallow submission in part.</p> <p>Retain 'adequate setback' as the policy directive rather than a prescribed distance.</p>

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Te Kāhui o Taranaki Trust - Wharehoka Wano	534.129	Oppose	ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P7	<p>OMV opposes a 100m separation distance as an arbitrary distance. Any separation distance requirements should be prescribed through the rule framework. Reference to 'adequate setback' is considered appropriate in the policy framework.</p> <p>OMV does not oppose listing identified features or sensitive activities for clarity purposes.</p>	<p>Disallow submission in part.</p> <p>Retain 'adequate setback' as the policy directive rather than a prescribed distance.</p>
Te Kāhui o Taranaki Trust - Wharehoka Wano	534.137	Oppose	ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P7	<p>OMV opposes a 100m separation distance as an arbitrary distance. Any separation distance requirements should be prescribed through the rule framework. Reference to 'adequate setback' is considered appropriate in the policy framework.</p> <p>OMV does not oppose listing identified features or sensitive activities for clarity purposes.</p>	<p>Disallow submission in part.</p> <p>Retain 'adequate setback' as the policy directive rather than a prescribed distance.</p>
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.46	Support	ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P7	OMV support Tangata Whenua engagement with respect to mitigation measures and opportunities to incorporate Mātauranga Māori when managing petroleum exploration and petroleum production activities.	Allow whole submission.

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.54	Oppose	ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P7	<p>OMV opposes a 500m separation distance as an arbitrary distance. Any separation distance requirements should be prescribed through the rule framework. Reference to 'adequate setback' is considered appropriate in the policy framework.</p> <p>OMV does not oppose listing identified features or sensitive activities for clarity purposes.</p>	<p>Disallow submission in part.</p> <p>Retain 'adequate setback' as the policy directive rather than a prescribed distance.</p>
Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga - Marlene Benson	520.17	Support	ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P7	OMV support Tangata Whenua engagement with respect to mitigation measures and opportunities to incorporate Mātauranga Māori when managing petroleum exploration and petroleum production activities.	Allow whole submission.
Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga - Marlene Benson	520.20	Oppose	ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P7	<p>OMV opposes a 100m separation distance as an arbitrary distance. Any separation distance requirements should be prescribed through the rule framework. Reference to 'adequate setback' is considered appropriate in the policy framework.</p> <p>OMV does not oppose listing identified features or sensitive activities for clarity purposes.</p>	<p>Disallow submission in part.</p> <p>Retain 'adequate setback' as the policy directive rather than a prescribed distance.</p>

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Todd Energy Limited - Nik Pyselman	511.6	Support	ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P7	OMV agrees with the submission point that the requirement to internalise effects in ENGY-P7 is not consistent with purpose and principles of the RMA. OMV supports policy recognition that brownfield development can reduce potential cumulative effects <u>and more efficiently manage natural and physical resources</u>	Allow whole submission.
Department of Conservation - Nardia Yozin	550.50	Oppose	ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P8	OMV disagrees with the submission point that ENGY-P8 does not provide for s6 RMA matters. OMV considers ENGY-P8 should be read in conjunction with ENGY-P1-P11, which as a policy framework recognise and provide for matters of national importance.	Disallow whole submission.
Ngāti Maru - Anaru Marshall	533.81	Oppose	ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P8	<p>OMV opposes a 100m separation distance as an arbitrary distance. Any separation distance requirements should be prescribed through the rule framework. Reference to 'adequate setback' is considered appropriate in the policy framework.</p> <p>OMV does not oppose listing identified features or sensitive activities for clarity purposes.</p>	<p>Disallow submission in part.</p> <p>Retain 'adequate setback' as the policy directive rather than a prescribed distance.</p>

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Ngāti Rāhiri Hapū o Te Atiawa (Taranaki) Society Inc - Keith Holswich	503.34	Support	ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P8	OMV supports an amendment to ENGY-P8(5) to include active engagement with Tangata Whenua	Allow whole submission.
Te Kāhui o Taranaki Trust - Wharehoka Wano	534.128	Oppose	ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P8	<p>OMV opposes a 100m separation distance as an arbitrary distance. Any separation distance requirements should be prescribed through the rule framework. Reference to 'adequate setback' is considered appropriate in the policy framework.</p> <p>OMV does not oppose listing identified features or sensitive activities for clarity purposes.</p>	<p>Disallow submission in part.</p> <p>Retain 'adequate setback' as the policy directive rather than a prescribed distance.</p>
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.50	Oppose	ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P8	<p>OMV opposes a 500m separation distance as an arbitrary distance. Any separation distance requirements should be prescribed through the rule framework. Reference to 'adequate setback' is considered appropriate in the policy framework.</p> <p>OMV does not oppose listing identified features or sensitive activities for clarity purposes.</p>	<p>Disallow submission in part.</p> <p>Retain 'adequate setback' as the policy directive rather than a prescribed distance.</p>

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga - Marlene Benson	520.21	Oppose	ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P8	<p>OMV opposes a 100m separation distance as an arbitrary distance. Any separation distance requirements should be prescribed through the rule framework. Reference to 'adequate setback' is considered appropriate in the policy framework.</p> <p>OMV does not oppose listing identified features or sensitive activities for clarity purposes.</p>	<p>Disallow submission in part.</p> <p>Retain 'adequate setback' as the policy directive rather than a prescribed distance.</p>
Pukerangiora Hapū - Anaru White	515.8	Oppose	ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P9	<p>ENGY-P9 provides recognition that surface location for oil and gas activities is more often than not constrained by the subsurface location and/or accessibility of the resource as well as constraints at the surface. OMV supports the retention of the general policy intent under ENGY-P9 to provide for an appropriate framework to suitably avoid, remediate and/or mitigate adverse effects for constrained sites. Pukerangiora submit that the whole policy should be deleted.</p> <p>OMV considers the policy does have merit and should be retained subject to the amendments sought in their original submission</p>	Disallow whole submission.



<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.51	Oppose	ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P9	<p>ENGY-P9 provides recognition that surface location for oil and gas activities is more often than not constrained by the subsurface location and/or accessibility of the resource as well as constraints at the surface. OMV supports the retention of the general policy intent under ENGY-P9 to provide for an appropriate framework to suitably avoid, remediate and/or mitigate adverse effects for constrained sites.</p> <p>OMV considers the policy does have merit and should be retained subject to the amendments sought in their original submission</p>	Disallow whole submission.
Taranaki Energy Watch Incorporated - Sarah Roberts	543.16	Oppose	ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P10	OMV considers any requirement to provide a bond for remediation purposes should be enabled through other legislation and not the District Plan.	Disallow whole submission.
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.53	Oppose in part	ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P10	OMV supports engagement with Tangata Whenua and would support an amendment to ENGY-P10 to include the requirement to consult with Tangata Whenua when remediating sites.	Disallow submission in part.
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.49	Support	ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P11	OMV supports an amendment to ENGY-P11 to require that design and construction (in addition to location) be a relevant matter in minimising conflict and/or reverse sensitivity effects.	Allow whole submission.
Department of Conservation - Nardia Yozin	550.40	Oppose	ENGY / Rules / ENGY-R1	OMV supports petroleum prospecting, excluding seismic surveys using explosives as a permitted activity subject to	Disallow whole submission.

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
				compliance with underlying zone Effects Standards.	
New Plymouth District Council - Juliet Johnson	582.5	Oppose	ENGY / Rules / ENGY-R1	OMV maintains that the words 'using explosives' are necessary as the use of explosives is only one method of undertaking a seismic survey.	Disallow whole submission.
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.70	Oppose	ENGY / Rules / ENGY-R1	OMV opposes the submission as it is unclear what changes the submitter requires.	Disallow whole submission.
Climate Justice Taranaki Incorporated - Catherine Cheung	311.21a	Oppose	ENGY / Rules / ENGY-R3	OMV opposes the submission as it is unclear what changes the submitter requires.	Disallow whole submission.
Climate Justice Taranaki Incorporated - Catherine Cheung	311.2a	Oppose	ENGY / Rules / ENGY-R3	OMV opposes the submission as it is unclear what changes the submitter requires.	Disallow whole submission.
Department of Conservation - Nardia Yozin	550.44	Oppose	ENGY / Rules / ENGY-R3	OMV supports the rule framework under ENGY-R3 and ENGY-R4 as providing for s6 RMA matters.	Disallow whole submission.
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.74a	Oppose	ENGY / Rules / ENGY-R3	OMV opposes the submission as it is unclear how the deletion of ENGY-R3 in its entirety would affect the relevant rule framework.	Disallow whole submission.
Climate Justice Taranaki Incorporated - Catherine Cheung	311.21b	Oppose	ENGY / Rules / ENGY-R4	OMV opposes the submission as it is unclear what changes the submitter requires.	Disallow whole submission.
Climate Justice Taranaki Incorporated - Catherine Cheung	311.2b	Oppose	ENGY / Rules / ENGY-R4	OMV opposes the submission as it is unclear what changes the submitter requires.	Disallow whole submission.
Department of Conservation - Nardia Yozin	550.51	Oppose	ENGY / Rules / ENGY-R4	OMV supports the rule framework under ENGY-R3 and ENGY-R4 to suitably provide for the location of petroleum exploration and petroleum production relative to sensitive areas.	Disallow whole submission.

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.74b	Oppose	ENGY / Rules / ENGY-R4	OMV opposes the submission as it is unclear how the deletion of ENGY-R3 in its entirety would affect the relevant rule framework.	Disallow whole submission.
New Plymouth District Council - Juliet Johnson	582.6	Oppose	ENGY / Rules / ENGY-S5	OMV maintains that the words 'using explosives' are necessary as the use of explosives is only one method of undertaking a seismic survey.	Disallow whole submission.
Ngāti Maru - Anaru Marshall	533.113	Oppose	ENGY / Rules / ENGY-S5	OMV oppose the submission as rules in the SASM chapter already control land disturbance and earthwork activities.	Disallow whole submission.
Te Kāhui o Taranaki Trust - Wharehoka Wano	534.136	Oppose	ENGY / Rules / ENGY-S5	OMV oppose the submission as rules in the SASM chapter already control land disturbance and earthwork activities.	Disallow whole submission.
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.104	Oppose	ENGY / Rules / ENGY-S5	OMV oppose the submission as rules in the SASM chapter already control land disturbance and earthwork activities. OMV oppose the 500m setback standard as unreasonable.	Disallow whole submission.
Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga - Marlene Benson	520.37	Oppose	ENGY / Rules / ENGY-S5	OMV oppose the submission as rules in the SASM chapter already control land disturbance and earthwork activities.	Disallow whole submission.
Todd Energy Limited - Nik Pyselman	511.10b	Support	ENGY / Rules / New rule	OMV supports the addition of a new rule to provide for the operation, repair, maintenance and upgrade of energy activities as a permitted activity subject to meeting permitted activity standards.	Allow whole submission.

## **NU - NETWORK UTILITIES**

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Climate Justice Taranaki Incorporated - Catherine Cheung	311.28	Oppose	NU	OMV potentially support the submission in part, however it is unclear what changes the submitter requires. OMV therefore oppose the submission and reserve the right to comment on changes.	Disallow whole submission.
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga - Caroline Rachlin	522.17	Oppose	NU	OMV oppose the submission as the NU chapter overview clearly states that in addition to the NU chapter and the underlying zone chapter, a number of Part 2: District-wide Matters chapters also contain provisions that may be relevant for network utilities, including the Historic Heritage chapter and the Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori Chapter.	Disallow whole submission.
Kāinga Ora - Homes and Communities - Dr Claire Kirman	563.221	Oppose	NU	OMV oppose the submission as it is unclear what changes the submitter requires.	Disallow whole submission.
Ngāti Maru - Anaru Marshall	533.36	Oppose	NU	OMV oppose the submission as the NU chapter overview clearly states that in addition to the NU chapter and the underlying zone chapter, a number of Part 2: District-wide Matters chapters also contain provisions that may be relevant for network utilities, including the following chapters: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Historic Heritage</li> <li>• Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori</li> <li>• Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity</li> <li>• Natural Features and Landscapes</li> <li>• Waterbodies</li> </ul>	Disallow whole submission.

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Subdivision (to include NZS 4404:2010 Land Development and Subdivision Infrastructure and Land Development and Subdivision Infrastructure Standard Local Amendments Version 3).</li> </ul>	
Ngāti Rāhiri Hapū o Te Atiawa (Taranaki) Society Inc - Keith Holswich	503.41	Oppose	NU	OMV oppose the submission as the NU chapter overview clearly states that in addition to the NU chapter and the underlying zone chapter, a number of Part 2: District-wide Matters chapters also contain provisions that may be relevant for network utilities, including the following chapters: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Historic Heritage</li> <li>Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori</li> <li>Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity</li> <li>Natural Features and Landscapes</li> <li>Waterbodies.</li> </ul>	Disallow whole submission.
Te Kāhui o Taranaki Trust - Wharehoka Wano	534.9	Oppose	NU	OMV oppose the submission as the NU chapter overview clearly states that in addition to the NU chapter and the underlying zone chapter, a number of Part 2: District-wide Matters chapters also contain provisions that may be relevant for network utilities, including the following chapters: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Historic Heritage</li> <li>Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori</li> <li>Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity</li> <li>Natural Features and Landscapes</li> <li>Waterbodies</li> <li>Coastal Environment</li> </ul>	Disallow whole submission.

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Subdivision (to include NZS 4404:2010 Land Development and Subdivision Infrastructure and Land Development and Subdivision Infrastructure Standard Local Amendments Version 3).</li> </ul>	
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.105	Oppose	NU	<p>OMV oppose the submission as the NU chapter overview clearly states that in addition to the NU chapter and the underlying zone chapter, a number of Part 2: District-wide Matters chapters also contain provisions that may be relevant for network utilities, including the following chapters:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Historic Heritage</li> <li>Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori</li> <li>Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity</li> <li>Natural Features and Landscapes</li> <li>Waterbodies</li> <li>Subdivision (to include NZS 4404:2010 Land Development and Subdivision Infrastructure and Land Development and Subdivision Infrastructure Standard Local Amendments Version 3).</li> </ul>	Disallow whole submission.
Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga - Marlene Benson	520.31	Oppose	NU	<p>OMV opposes the submission in part as the NU chapter overview clearly states that in addition to the NU chapter and the underlying zone chapter, a number of Part 2: District-wide Matters chapters also contain provisions that may be relevant for network utilities, including the following chapters:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Historic Heritage</li> </ul>	Disallow submission in part to retain the existing chapter integration with Part 2: District-wide Matters chapters.

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori</li> <li>• Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity</li> <li>• Natural Features and Landscapes</li> <li>• Waterbodies</li> <li>• Coastal Environment</li> <li>• Subdivision (to include NZS 4404:2010 Land Development and Subdivision Infrastructure and Land Development and Subdivision Infrastructure Standard Local Amendments Version 3).</li> </ul> <p>OMV does not oppose a requirement for septic tank owners to prove that they are maintaining their septic tanks.</p>	
Climate Justice Taranaki Incorporated - Catherine Cheung	311.8	Oppose	NU / Objectives / NU-O1	OMV considers the additional reference to 'emergencies such as extreme weather events' is unnecessary as the inclusion of resilience in the objective inherently provides for consideration of such matters.	Disallow whole submission.
Ngāti Maru - Anaru Marshall	533.17	Oppose	NU / Objectives / NU-O1	OMV considers the addition unnecessary as NU-02 inherently provides for the submission point, requiring that adverse effects are avoided, remedied or mitigated.	Disallow whole submission.
Te Kāhui o Taranaki Trust - Wharehoka Wano	534.10	Oppose	NU / Objectives / NU-O1	OMV considers the addition unnecessary as NU-02 inherently provides for the submission point, requiring that adverse effects are avoided, remedied or mitigated.	Disallow whole submission.
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.107	Oppose	NU / Objectives / NU-O1	OMV considers the addition unnecessary as NU-02 inherently provides for the submission point, requiring that adverse effects are avoided, remedied or mitigated.	Disallow whole submission.

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga - Marlene Benson	520.41	Oppose	NU / Objectives / NU-O1	OMV considers the addition unnecessary as NU-02 inherently provides for the submission point, requiring that adverse effects are avoided, remedied or mitigated.	Disallow whole submission.
Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga - Marlene Benson	520.40	Oppose	NU / Objectives / NU-O2	OMV considers NU-02 provides appropriate direction as an objective. The inclusion of specific reference to different schedules in the PDP is considered unnecessary.	Disallow whole submission.
Federated Farmers Taranaki - Mark Hooper, Provincial President	564.72	Oppose	NU / Objectives / NU-O3	<p>The proposed amendments to NU-03 (deletion of 'upgrading' and replacing 'other activities with 'new inappropriate activities') do not provide suitable recognition of the critical role of network utility activities.</p> <p>With regard to the alternative objective proposed, limiting the objective intent to sensitive activities does not capture the range of activities that could potentially constrain or compromise network utilities, noting the definition as follows:</p> <p><i>Sensitive Activities:</i>  <i>means the use of land and/or buildings for:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>living activities;</i></li> <li>• <i>educational facilities;</i></li> <li>• <i>community facilities;</i></li> <li>• <i>major healthcare activities; and/or</i></li> <li>• <i>visitor accommodation.</i></li> </ul>	Disallow whole submission. _
Horticulture New Zealand - Jordyn Landers	457.27	Oppose	NU / Objectives / NU-O3	The proposed addition to NU-03 (not constraining or compromising network utilities 'to the extent reasonably possible') does not provide suitable recognition of the critical role of network utility activities.	Disallow whole submission.



<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Kāinga Ora - Homes and Communities - Dr Claire Kirman	563.179	Support in part	NU / Objectives / NU-O3	The proposed addition to NU-03 (not 'unreasonably' constraining or compromising network utilities) does not provide suitable recognition of the critical role of network utility activities	Disallow whole submission.
Chorus New Zealand Limited - Andrew Kantor and Tom Anderson	547.27	Support	NU / Overview	OMV supports the amendment to provide clarity to plan users.	Allow whole submission.
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited - Graeme McCarrison and Tom Anderson	567.27	Support	NU / Overview	OMV supports the amendment to provide clarity to plan users.	Allow whole submission.
Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning Limited)	565.34	Support	NU / Overview	OMV supports the intent of the submission - to provide clarity to plan users. OMV considers the amendment proposed by Chorus New Zealand Limited (amongst others) to achieve the intent of the Transpower submission but to be more succinct than the amendments proposed.	Allow submission in part.  Amend the NU Chapter Overview consistent with the amendment as proposed by Chorus New Zealand Limited (547.27).
Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning Limited)	565.35	Support	NU / Overview	OMV supports the intent of the submission - to provide clarity to plan users. OMV considers the amendment proposed by Chorus New Zealand Limited (amongst others) to achieve the intent of the Transpower submission but to be more succinct than the amendments proposed.	Allow submission in part.  Amend the NU Chapter Overview consistent with the amendment as proposed by Chorus New Zealand Limited (547.27).
Two Degrees Networks Limited - Ben Blakemore and Tom Anderson	569.27	Support	NU / Overview	OMV supports the amendment to provide clarity to plan users.	Allow whole submission.
Vodafone New Zealand Limited -	552.28	Support	NU / Overview	OMV supports the amendment to provide clarity to plan users.	Allow whole submission.

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Colin Clune and Tom Anderson					
Chorus New Zealand Limited - Andrew Kantor and Tom Anderson	547.28	Support	NU / Overview / Cross references to other relevant District plan provisions	OMV supports the amendment to provide clarity to plan users.	Allow whole submission.
Powerco Limited - Cheryl Cleary	568.20	Support	NU / Overview / Cross references to other relevant District Plan provisions	OMV supports the intent of the submission - to provide clarity to plan users. OMV considers the amendment proposed by Chorus New Zealand Limited (amongst others) to achieve the intent of the Powerco submission but to be more succinct than the amendments proposed.	Allow submission in part.  Amend the NU Chapter Overview consistent with the amendment as proposed by Chorus New Zealand Limited (547.28).
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited - Graeme McCarrison and Tom Anderson	567.28	Support	NU / Overview / Cross references to other relevant District plan provisions	OMV supports the amendment to provide clarity to plan users.	Allow whole submission.
Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning Limited)	565.33	Support in part	NU / Overview / Cross references to other relevant	OMV supports the intent of the submission - to provide clarity to plan users. OMV considers the amendment proposed by Chorus New Zealand Limited (amongst others) to achieve the intent of the Transpower submission but to be more succinct than the amendments proposed.	Allow submission in part.  Amend the NU Chapter Overview consistent with the amendment as proposed by Chorus New Zealand Limited (547.28).

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
			District Plan provisions		
Two Degrees Networks Limited - Ben Blakemore and Tom Anderson	569.28	Support	NU / Overview / Cross references to other relevant District plan provisions	OMV supports the amendment to provide clarity to plan users.	Allow whole submission.
Vodafone New Zealand Limited - Colin Clune and Tom Anderson	552.29	Support	NU / Overview / Cross references to other relevant District plan provisions	OMV supports the amendment to provide clarity to plan users.	Allow whole submission.
Federated Farmers Taranaki - Mark Hooper, Provincial President	564.71	Oppose	NU / Policies / NU-P1	The proposed amendments to NU-03 (the deletion of 'development' and 'upgrading' from the list of network utility activities allowed) do not provide suitable recognition of the critical role of network utility activities.	Disallow whole submission.
Department of Conservation - Nardia Yoizin	550.52	Oppose	NU / Policies / NU-P4	OMV consider the specific addition of indigenous biodiversity, natural landscapes, natural features and natural character in NU-P4 as unnecessary as these matters are inherently included in NU-P4.	Disallow whole submission.
Department of Conservation - Nardia Yoizin	550.59	Oppose	NU / Policies / NU-P5	OMV consider the specific addition of indigenous biodiversity, natural landscapes, natural features and natural character in	Disallow whole submission.

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
				NU-P5 as unnecessary as these matters are inherently included in NU-P5.	
Greymouth Petroleum Limited - Tanya Hansen	548.45	Support	NU / Policies / NU-P7	OMV supports the addition of liquid petroleum transmission pipelines to NU-P7 to manage adverse effects on network utilities.	Allow whole submission.
Powerco Limited - Cheryl Cleary	568.35	Support	NU / Policies / NU-P7	OMV supports the amendments to manage effects on network utilities but considers 'new' should be retained when referring to sensitive activities for clarity purposes.	Allow submission in part but retain reference to 'new' sensitive activities.
Greymouth Petroleum Limited - Tanya Hansen	548.51	Support	NU / Policies / NU-P8	OMV supports the amendment to NU-P8 to avoid reverse sensitivity effects on network utilities.	Allow whole submission.
Kāinga Ora - Homes and Communities - Dr Claire Kirman	563.209	Oppose	NU / Policies / NU-P8	The submission point relates to National Grid matters only but seeks the deletion of NU-P8 in its entirety. OMV supports the inclusion of NU-P8 for the protection of network utilities and therefore opposes the submission point.	Disallow whole submission.
Powerco Limited - Cheryl Cleary	568.36	Support	NU / Policies / NU-P8	OMV supports the amendment to NU-P8 in part to avoid reverse sensitivity effects on network utilities. OMV considers the policy direction should be to avoid reverse sensitivity effects (not 'avoid or minimise') and 'new' should be retained when referring to sensitive activities for clarity purposes.	Allow submission in part to amend NU-P8 to avoid reverse sensitivity effects (not 'avoid or minimise') but to retain reference to 'new' sensitive activities.
Radio New Zealand Limited (RNZ) - Ben Williams	545.25	Support	NU / Policies / NU-P8	OMV supports the amendment to NU-P8 to avoid reverse sensitivity effects on network utilities.	Allow whole submission.
Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt	565.55	Support	NU / Policies / NU-P8	OMV supports clarification of NU-P8 as reverse sensitivity effects are not just limited to sensitive activities	Allow whole submission.

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Resource Management Planning Limited)					
Ngāti Maru - Anaru Marshall	533.10	Oppose	NU / Rules / Activities Rules	The restricted matters of discretion under NU-R1 to NU-R41 include 'the matters set out in NU-P4 and NU-P5' (managing adverse effects of network utilities). Listing specific features, interests and values is not considered necessary.	Disallow whole submission.
Te Kāhui o Taranaki Trust - Wharehoka Wano	534.18	Oppose	NU / Rules / Activities Rules	The restricted matters of discretion under NU-R1 to NU-R41 include 'the matters set out in NU-P4 and NU-P5' (managing adverse effects of network utilities). Listing specific features, interests and values is not considered necessary.	Disallow whole submission.
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.110	Oppose	NU / Rules / Activities Rules	The restricted matters of discretion under NU-R1 to NU-R41 include 'the matters set out in NU-P4 and NU-P5' (managing adverse effects of network utilities). Listing specific features, interests and values is not considered necessary.	Disallow whole submission.
Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga - Marlene Benson	520.43	Oppose	NU / Rules / Activities Rules	OMV does not support the proposed changes to the NU rule framework.	Disallow whole submission.
Greymouth Petroleum Limited - Tanya Hansen	548.48	Support	NU / Rules / NU-R2	OMV support the submission in part in that the six-month timeframe for the removal of structures no longer in use NU-R2(1) is considered an unreasonable requirement.	Allow the submission in part to provide for a practical rule framework for network utility structures no longer in use.
KiwiRail Holdings Limited - Pam Butler	514.28	Support	NU / Rules / NU-R2	OMV support the submission in part in that the six-month timeframe for the removal of structures no longer in use NU-R2(1) is considered an unreasonable requirement.	Allow the submission in part to provide for a practical rule framework for network utility structures no longer in use.

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Methanex New Zealand Limited - Juliet Larkin	509.2	Support	NU / Rules / NU-R2	OMV support the submission in part in that the six-month timeframe for the removal of structures no longer in use NU-R2(1) is considered an unreasonable requirement.	Allow the submission in part to provide for a practical rule framework for network utility structures no longer in use.
Powerco Limited - Cheryl Cleary	568.40	Support	NU / Rules / NU-R2	OMV support the submission in part in that the six-month timeframe for the removal of structures no longer in use NU-R2(1) is considered an unreasonable requirement.	Allow the submission in part to provide for a practical rule framework for network utility structures no longer in use.
The Oil Companies (Z Energy, Mobil Oil New Zealand, BP Oil New Zealand) - Matthew Thode (4Sight Consulting)	551.38	Support	NU / Rules / NU-R2	OMV support the submission in part in that the six-month timeframe for the removal of structures no longer in use NU-R2(1) is considered an unreasonable requirement.	Allow the submission in part to provide for a practical rule framework for network utility structures no longer in use.
Greymouth Petroleum Limited - Tanya Hansen	548.49	Support	NU / Rules / NU-R19	OMV support the submission in part in that the six-month timeframe for the removal of structures no longer in use NU-R19(4) is considered an unreasonable requirement.	Allow the submission in part to provide for a practical rule framework for network utility structures no longer in use.
Methanex New Zealand Limited - Juliet Larkin	509.7	Support	NU / Rules / NU-R19	OMV support the submission in part in that the six-month timeframe for the removal of structures no longer in use NU-R19(4) is considered an unreasonable requirement.	Allow the submission in part to provide for a practical rule framework for network utility structures no longer in use.
Powerco Limited - Cheryl Cleary	568.59	Support	NU / Rules / NU-R19	OMV support the submission in part in that the six-month timeframe for the removal of structures no longer in use NU-R19(4) is considered an unreasonable requirement.	Allow the submission in part to provide for a practical rule framework for network utility structures no longer in use.
The Oil Companies (Z Energy, Mobil Oil New Zealand, BP Oil New Zealand) - Matthew Thode (4Sight Consulting)	551.40	Support	NU / Rules / NU-R19	OMV support the submission in part in that the six-month timeframe for the removal of structures no longer in use NU-R19(4) is considered an unreasonable requirement.	Allow the submission in part to provide for a practical rule framework for network utility structures no longer in use.

## **HAZS - Hazardous Substances**

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Climate Justice Taranaki Incorporated - Catherine Cheung	311.72	Oppose	HAZS	OMV oppose the submission as it is unclear what changes the submitter requires.	Disallow whole submission.
Port Taranaki Limited - Guy Roper	525.32	Support in part	HAZS	OMV support the intent of the submission in that greater refinement of the HAZS chapter provisions are required to provide a workable framework.	Allow submission in part.
Taranaki Energy Watch Incorporated - Sarah Roberts	543.15	Oppose	HAZS	OMV supports retaining the provisions for the seismic surveys using explosives within the Energy chapter.	Disallow whole submission.
Taranaki Energy Watch Incorporated - Sarah Roberts	543.29	Oppose	HAZS	OMV oppose the submission as it is unclear what changes the submitter requires.	Disallow whole submission.
Taranaki Energy Watch Incorporated - Sarah Roberts	543.10b	Support in part	HAZS / Objectives	OMV oppose the submission as it is unclear what changes the submitter requires.	Disallow whole submission.
Taranaki Energy Watch Incorporated - Sarah Roberts	543.20	Oppose	HAZS / Objectives / HAZS-O2	OMV does not consider the amendment to HAZS-02 (to include consideration of risks to the environment and human health) to be necessary as HAZS-O1 already includes an objective directive to consider risks to the environment and human health.	Disallow whole submission.
Federated Farmers Taranaki - Mark Hooper, Provincial President	564.131	Support	HAZS / Objectives / HAZS-O3	OMV supports the submission point to refer to 'new' sensitive activities for clarity.	Allow whole submission.
Taranaki Energy Watch Incorporated - Sarah Roberts	543.10a	Oppose in Part	HAZS / Overview	Oppose the submission to the overview, the whole HAZS Chapter and any consequential amendments because it is unclear what changes the submitter requires and how these would affect OMV.	Disallow part submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Todd Energy Limited - Nik Pyselman	511.15	Support in part	HAZS / Overview	Agree with adding clarification to the overview around use and interpretation of the non-District Plan Layer.	Allow part of submission
Taranaki Energy Watch Incorporated - Sarah Roberts	543.10c	Oppose	HAZS / Policies	OMV oppose the submission as it is unclear what changes the submitter requires.	Disallow whole submission.
Taranaki Energy Watch Incorporated - Sarah Roberts	543.30	Oppose	HAZS / Policies / HAZS-P1	OMV oppose the submission as it is unclear what changes the submitter requires. OMV does not support the requirement for a Quantitative Risk Assessment for Significant Hazardous Facilities based on the proposed definition.	Disallow whole submission.
The Oil Companies (Z Energy, Mobil Oil New Zealand, BP Oil New Zealand) - Matthew Thode (4Sight Consulting)	551.75	Support in part	HAZS / Policies / HAZS-P1	OMV supports the submission in part and agree that quantitative risk assessment may not necessarily be warranted for all facilities. Other risk assessment methods may be more appropriate for specific facilities.	Allow submission in part to provide for an appropriate risk assessment framework. -
Climate Justice Taranaki Incorporated - Catherine Cheung	311.73	Support in part	HAZS / Policies / HAZS-P6	OMV supports the intent of the submission - to regularly review and update natural hazard areas. However, OMV consider such policy directive should be contained within the Natural Hazards chapter.	Allow part of submission.
Greymouth Petroleum Limited - Tanya Hansen	548.53	Oppose in part	HAZS / Policies / HAZS-P6	OMV opposes the submission in part as it is unclear as to the full extent of the changes the submitter requires to the policy.	Disallow the submission in part.
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.106	Oppose	HAZS / Policies / HAZS-P6	OMV opposes a 500m setback for significant hazardous facilities from identified features as unreasonable and unjustified.	Disallow whole submission.
The Oil Companies (Z Energy, Mobil Oil New Zealand, BP Oil New	551.74	Support in part	HAZS / Policies / HAZS-P6	Agree that the changes proposed to relate the policy to 'new' significant hazardous facilities is a sensible addition.	Allow submission in part



<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Zealand) - Matthew Thode (4Sight Consulting)					
Greymouth Petroleum Limited - Tanya Hansen	548.52	Oppose in part	HAZS / Policies / HAZS-P7	OMV opposes the submission in part as it is unclear as to the full extent of the changes the submitter requires to the policy.	Disallow the submission in part.
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.97	Oppose	HAZS / Policies / HAZS-P7	While OMV support the development of marae and papakāinga housing they would not like to see a policy that enabled these facilities to be established within risk contours of existing significant hazardous facilities and are unclear on what changes the submitter seeks to the policy.	Disallow whole submission
Te Kāhui o Taranaki Trust - Wharehoka Wano	534.29	Support	HAZS / Policies / HAZS-P8	Agree with addition to policy to have regard to any advice received from tangata whenua.	Allow whole submission
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.94	Oppose	HAZS / Policies / HAZS-P8	OMV opposes a 500m setback for significant hazardous facilities from identified features as unreasonable and unnecessary.	Disallow whole submission.
Taranaki Energy Watch Incorporated - Sarah Roberts	543.22	Oppose in part	HAZS / Policies / New policy	While OMV support NPDC having regard to the Environment Court decision the submitter's proposed policies appear to largely duplicate policies in the Proposed Plan.	Disallow in part
Todd Energy Limited - Nik Pyselman	511.19	Support	HAZS / Policies / New policy	OMV supports the non-District Plan layer as a flexible mechanism for managing and communicating risk and supports a new policy enabling the layer to be updated.	Allow whole submission.
Climate Justice Taranaki Incorporated - Catherine Cheung	311.63	Oppose	HAZS / Rules	OMV opposes the submission as it is unclear what changes the submitter requires.	Disallow whole submission.

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Climate Justice Taranaki Incorporated - Catherine Cheung	311.68	Oppose	HAZS / Rules	OMV opposes the submission as it is unclear what changes the submitter requires.	Disallow whole submission.
Climate Justice Taranaki Incorporated - Catherine Cheung	311.76	Oppose	HAZS / Rules	OMV opposes the submission as it is unclear what changes the submitter requires.	Disallow whole submission.
Taranaki Energy Watch Incorporated - Sarah Roberts	543.10d	Oppose	HAZS / Rules	OMV opposes the submission as it is unclear what changes the submitter requires.	Disallow whole submission.
Ted Wells	156.1b	Oppose	HAZS / Rules / Activities Rules	OMV oppose setting the acceptable hazard risk of any activity in the District Plan to 1x10 <sup>-7</sup> or higher.	Disallow whole submission.
Greymouth Petroleum Limited - Tanya Hansen	548.61	Oppose in part	HAZS / Rules / HAZS-R1	OMV opposes the submission in part as it is unclear as to the full extent of the changes the submitter requires.	Disallow the submission in part.
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.82	Oppose	HAZS / Rules / HAZS-R1	OMV opposes the addition of consideration of effects on historic heritage, SASM and other features as a matter under HAZS-R1(2) as these matters are addressed through the relevant overlay chapters.	Disallow whole submission.
Greymouth Petroleum Limited - Tanya Hansen	548.57	Oppose	HAZS / Rules / HAZS-R11	OMV opposes the submission in part as it is unclear as to the full extent of the changes the submitter requires.	Disallow the submission in part.
Greymouth Petroleum Limited - Tanya Hansen	548.62	Oppose	HAZS / Rules / HAZS-R12	OMV opposes the submission in part as it is unclear as to the full extent of the changes the submitter requires.	Disallow the submission in part.
Landpro Limited - Kathryn Hooper	475.21	Oppose	HAZS / Rules / HAZS-R12	OMV supports HAZS-R12 and the Non-District Plan Layer as an appropriate mechanism for managing and communicating risk.	Disallow whole submission.

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Seaport Land Company Limited - Kathryn Hooper (Landpro Limited)	536.5	Oppose	HAZS / Rules / HAZS-R12	OMV supports HAZS-R12 and the Non-District Plan Layer as an appropriate mechanism for managing and communicating risk.	Disallow whole submission.
Taranaki Energy Watch Incorporated - Sarah Roberts	543.25	Oppose	HAZS / Rules / HAZS-R12	OMV supports HAZS-R12 and the Non-District Plan Layer as an appropriate mechanism for managing and communicating risk.	Disallow whole submission.
Climate Justice Taranaki Incorporated - Catherine Cheung	311.74	Oppose	HAZS / Rules / HAZS-R2	OMV opposes the submission as it is unclear what changes the submitter requires.	Disallow whole submission.
Greymouth Petroleum Limited - Tanya Hansen	548.64	Oppose	HAZS / Rules / HAZS-R2	OMV opposes the submission in part as it is unclear as to the full extent of the changes the submitter requires.	Disallow the submission in part.
The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated - Tom Kay, Regional Manager	487.25	Oppose	HAZS / Rules / HAZS-R8	HAZS-R5 (Significant hazardous facilities within significant natural areas) already sets non-complying activity status for significant hazardous facilities within significant natural areas.	Disallow whole submission.
First Gas Limited - Zane Wood	309.8	Support in part	HAZS / Rules / New rule	OMV support the intent of the submission to provide for an appropriate safety setback for the use of explosives in proximity to the Gas Transmission Network. However, OMV considers that a 100m setback requirement may not necessarily be practical or reasonable in all situations.	Allow submission in part.
Taranaki Energy Watch Incorporated - Sarah Roberts	543.23	Oppose	HAZS / Rules / New rule	OMV oppose an amendment to the HAZS policies to include a separate section on Petroleum Exploration and Petroleum Production activities.	Disallow whole submission.

## **CE - Coastal Environment**

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Climate Justice Taranaki Incorporated - Catherine Cheung	311.67	Oppose	CE / Rules / CE-R17	OMV opposes prohibited activity status for petroleum exploration and petroleum production in the coastal environment as unreasonable and unnecessary.	Disallow whole submission.
Department of Conservation - Nardia Yoizin	550.106	Oppose	CE / Rules / CE-R17	OMV opposes non-complying activity status for petroleum exploration and production in the Major Facilities Zone as too restrictive.	Disallow submission in part.
Greymouth Petroleum Limited - Tanya Hansen	548.74	Support in part	CE / Rules / CE-R17	OMV supports discretionary activity status for petroleum exploration and production in the Major Facilities Zone	Allow submission in part.

## **NOISE - Noise**

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Bro Devon Limited - Jeremy Brophy (BTW Company Limited)	579.33	Support	NOISE / Objectives / NOISE-O3	OMV support an amendment to NOISE-O3 to 'avoid' reverse sensitivity effects instead of 'minimise'.	Allow whole submission.
Gordon-Stables Industries Limited - Jeremy Brophy (BTW Company Limited)	580.27	Support	NOISE / Objectives / NOISE-O3	OMV support an amendment to NOISE-O3 to 'avoid' reverse sensitivity effects instead of 'minimise'.	Allow whole submission.
Greymouth Petroleum Limited - Tanya Hansen	548.84	Support	NOISE / Objectives / NOISE-O3	OMV support an amendment to NOISE-O3 to 'avoid' reverse sensitivity effects instead of 'minimise'.	Allow whole submission.

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Ian Humphrey - Jeremy Brophy (BTW Company Limited)	578.29	Support	NOISE / Objectives / NOISE-O3	OMV support an amendment to NOISE-O3 to 'avoid' reverse sensitivity effects instead of 'minimise'.	Allow whole submission.
KiwiRail Holdings Limited - Pam Butler	514.60	Support	NOISE / Objectives / NOISE-O3	OMV support an amendment to NOISE-O3 to include 'additions to existing activities'.	Allow whole submission.
Marsden Machinery Limited - Jeremy Brophy (BTW Company Limited)	577.33	Support	NOISE / Objectives / NOISE-O3	OMV support an amendment to NOISE-O3 to 'avoid' reverse sensitivity effects instead of 'minimise'.	Allow whole submission.
Ngāti Rāhiri Hapū o Te Atiawa (Taranaki) Society Inc - Keith Holswich	503.12	Oppose	NOISE / Objectives / NOISE-O3	When balanced with NOISE-O1 and NOISE-O2 (requiring noise generating activities to appropriately manage noise generation and effects), OMV considers NOISE-O3 to be appropriate to manage reverse sensitivity effects in relation to new noise sensitive activities.	Disallow whole submission.
Waka Kotahi New Zealand Transport Agency - Mike Wood (Principal Planner)	566.86	Support	NOISE / Objectives / NOISE-O3	OMV support an amendment to NOISE-O3 to include 'additions to existing activities'.	Allow whole submission.
Greymouth Petroleum Limited - Tanya Hansen	548.85	Support	NOISE / Rules / NOISE-S1	OMV support the use of the notional boundary as the noise measurement point in rural areas.	Allow whole submission.
Horticulture New Zealand - Jordyn Landers	457.39	Oppose in part	NOISE / Rules / NOISE-S1	OMV oppose the submission in part as it is unclear what the noise standard and measurement point would be for the Rural Production Zone.	Disallow submission in part.
Marshall Day Acoustics - Damian Ellerton	176.1a	Support	NOISE / Rules / NOISE-S1	OMV support the use of the notional boundary as the noise measurement point in rural areas.	Allow whole submission.

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Omata Tank Farm Operators - Cam Twigley (BTW Company Limited)	466.22	Support	NOISE / Rules / NOISE-S1	OMV support the use of the notional boundary as the noise measurement point in rural areas.	Allow whole submission.
Petroleum Exploration and Production Association of NZ Inc - Joshua O'Rourke	539.11	Support	NOISE / Rules / NOISE-S1	OMV support the use of the notional boundary as the noise measurement point and the night time noise limit of 45dB Laeq in rural areas.	Allow whole submission.
Petroleum Exploration and Production Association of NZ Inc - Joshua O'Rourke	539.13	Support	NOISE / Rules / NOISE-S1	OMV support the use of the notional boundary as the noise measurement point in rural areas.	Allow submission in whole.
Petroleum Exploration and Production Association of NZ Inc - Joshua O'Rourke	539.3	Support	NOISE / Rules / NOISE-S1	OMV support the use of the notional boundary as the noise measurement point in rural areas.	Allow submission in whole.
Southern Cross Hospitals Limited - Jeremy Brophy (BTW Company Limited)	571.34	Support	NOISE / Rules / NOISE-S1	OMV support an amendment to NOISE-S1 to exclude emergency response activity from maximum noise level standards.	<u>Allow submission in whole.</u>
Todd Energy Limited - Nik Pyselman	511.17	Support	NOISE / Rules / NOISE-S1	OMV support the use of the notional boundary as the noise measurement point and the night time noise limit of 45dB Laeq in rural areas.	Allow submission in whole.
Todd Generation Limited - Stephen Quinn	521.41	Support	NOISE / Rules / NOISE-S1	OMV support the use of the notional boundary as the noise measurement point and the night time noise limit of 45dB Laeq in rural areas.	Allow submission in whole.

## **MFZ - Major Facilities Zone**

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Greymouth Petroleum Ltd	548.97	Support	MFZ -O1-O3	Agree that Objectives as drafted recognise the important contribution major facilities make to the economic and social wellbeing of the community	Whole submission is allowed
Omata Tank Farm Operators	466.2	Support	MFZ – O1-O4	Agree that Objectives as drafted recognise the important contribution major facilities make to the economic and social wellbeing of the community	Whole submission is allowed
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust	459.350b	Oppose	MFZ Objectives	It is unclear what consequential changes the submitter wants and how they might impact on OMV	Whole submission is disallowed
Todd Generation Ltd	521.34	Support	MFZ Objectives	Agree that Objectives as drafted recognise the important contribution major facilities make to the economic and social wellbeing of the community	Whole submission is allowed
Climate Justice Taranaki	311.70	Oppose	MFZ – O1	Do not support removal of 'social wellbeing' as the economic contributions the activities within Major Facility Zones contribute have a positive impact on the social wellbeing of the nation, region and district as well.	Part of the submission is disallowed

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
The Oil Companies	551.154	Support	MFZ – O1	Agree that Objectives as drafted recognise the important contribution major facilities make to the economic and social wellbeing of the community	Whole submission is allowed
Climate Justice Taranaki	311.95	Oppose	MFZ-O3	Do not support removal of 'social wellbeing' as the economic contributions the activities within Major Facility Zones contribute have a positive impact on the social wellbeing of the nation, region and district as well. The harmful effects on human health stated by the submitter are unsubstantiated.	Whole submission is disallowed
Omata Tank Farm Operators	466.23 – 466.31	Support	MFZ-P1 – P9	Agree that the policies acknowledge that activities within Major Facility Zones are key national and regional infrastructure and important with many being important contributors to the NZ energy industry.	Whole submission is allowed
Ngati Rahiri Hapū o Te Atiawa	503.22	Oppose in part	MFZ-P5	While it is agreed that the management of odour is an important matter, OMV do not support the addition of the effects of smell to the policy. Odour emissions are the jurisdiction of the regional council and there is no justification for containing all and any effects within the boundaries of a Major Facility Zone particularly where there are existing consents in place that allow for effects outside the boundaries of the site.	Part of submission is disallowed



<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
PEPANZ	539.17	Support	MFZ-P6	Support deleting point 7 of policy as the MFZ's are typically hazardous facilities that should not be required to provide public access to the coast and/or any waterbodies.	Part of submission is allowed
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust	459.350c	Oppose	MFZ-P6	It is unclear what consequential changes the submitter wants and how they might impact on OMV.	Whole submission is disallowed
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust	459.350d	Oppose	MFZ-P7	It is unclear what consequential changes the submitter wants and how they might impact on OMV.	Whole submission is disallowed
The Oil Companies	551.164	Support	MFZ-P8	Agree that reverse sensitivity effects should be avoided rather than minimised given the importance and significance of the activities within the Major Facility Zones	Whole submission is allowed
NPDC	582.68	Oppose	MFZ/PREC8 – Figure 95 - Omata	No detail provided on what changes to the Precinct Plan are proposed and how this change might affect OMV's interests in the Omata Tank Farm.	Whole submission is disallowed

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Omata Tank Farm Operators	466.19	Support	MFZ/PREC8 – Figure 95 - Omata	Agree that amendments to the PREC8 – Figure 95 are appropriate and necessary	Whole submission is allowed
Omata Tank Farm Operators	466.3 – 466.4	Support	MFZ-R1 and MFZ-R2	Agree that rules are appropriate	Whole submission is allowed
Omata Tank Farm Operators	466.6 – 466.16	Support	MFZ-R4 – MFZ-R12	Agree that rules are appropriate	Whole submission is allowed
Methanex	509.6	Support	MFZ-R3	Agree that addition to the rule will provide clarity for the removal of structures in all cases as a permitted activity	Whole submission is allowed
The Oil Companies	551.169	Support	MFZ-R3	Agree that clause 2 is unnecessary and can be deleted	Whole submission is allowed
Omata Tank Farm Operators	466.17-466.19	Support	MFZ-S1 – MFZ-S3	Agree that standards are appropriate	Whole submission is allowed
Omata Tank Farm Operators	466.13	Support	MFZ-S4	Agree that standard should be amended as proposed by submitter	Whole submission is allowed

## **RPROZ - Rural Production Zone**

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Climate Justice Taranaki	311.55	Oppose	RPROZ	Oil and gas activities are typically located within the Rural Production Zone and make an important contribution to the economic and social wellbeing of the district, region and nation. The RPOZ chapter correctly acknowledges this. It is unclear what changes to the Plan the submitter seeks.	Whole submission is disallowed
Aggregate and Quarry Association of NZ	477.22	Support	RPROZ/New Objective	Agree that the district's mineral resources should be recognised and provided for.	Whole submission is allowed
Trustpower Ltd	544.116	Support	RPROZ-O2	Agree that objective should recognise and provide for activities that are not defined as primary production but need to be located in the rural production zone.	Whole submission is allowed
Climate Justice Taranaki	311.56	Oppose	RPROZ-O4	Disagree that point 4 of the objective should be deleted as the character and amenity of the rural production zone is comprised of interspersed existing rural industry facilities with the use of land to include, as example, intensive indoor farming, quarrying, oil and gas activities and cleanfills. The Rural Production Zone is a working environment and the Plan should recognise this.	Whole submission is disallowed

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Climate Justice Taranaki	311.57	Oppose	RPROZ-05	Disagree that the objective should include a direction to phase certain mainstay industries out.	Whole submission is disallowed
Todd Generation Ltd	521.47	Support	RPROZ-05	Agree that energy activities should be added to the objective as a key production and extraction activity that occurs in the zone	Whole submission is allowed
Te Runanga o Ngati Mutunga	520.188	Oppose	RPROZ – New Policy	Consider the policy is unnecessary as the matters raised are already largely addressed by RPROZ-P6.	Whole submission is disallowed
Climate Justice Taranaki	311.59	Oppose	RPROZ-P2	Disagree that mining should be removed from the list of potentially compatible activities. Mining is an important activity, is predominantly located in the Rural Production Zone and has proven it can be a compatible activity within this zone.	Whole submission is disallowed
Greymouth Petroleum Ltd	548.99	Support in part	RPROZ-P2	Agree that oil and gas activities should be on the list of potentially compatible activities but if Energy Activities were included this would address the submission point.	Part of submission is allowed

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Todd Generation Ltd	521.45	Support	RPROZ-P2	Agree that Energy Activities should be added to the policy (which would include petroleum exploration and petroleum production) to recognise they are predominantly located within the Rural Production Zone and are potentially compatible activities within the Rural Production Zone.	Whole submission is allowed
Trustpower Ltd	544.117	Support in part	RPROZ-O2	Agree that non-renewable electricity generation activities should be on the list of potentially compatible activities but if Energy Activities were included this would address the submission point.	Part of submission is allowed
Climate Justice Taranaki	311.60	Oppose	RPROZ-O3	Disagree that intensive indoor animal farming, mining, petroleum prospecting, petroleum exploration, petroleum production and waste disposal are incompatible activities within the Rural Production Zone as by their very nature this is the zone they are, and have to be, located in. They have a proven history that they can be compatible within this zone.	Whole submission is disallowed
Greymouth Petroleum Ltd	548.106	Support	RPROZ-P7	Agree that the policy would be strengthened by the replacement of minimise with avoid.	Whole submission is allowed

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Taranaki Energy Watch	543.24	Oppose	RPROZ Rules	The submitter has provided no detail on what changes they seek to the RPROZ rules.	Whole submission is disallowed

## **Planning Maps**

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Omata Tank Farm Operators - Cam Twigley (BTW Company Limited)	466.20	Support	Planning Maps / Coastal Environment	Agree that the Coastal Environment Overlay boundary at Omata Tank Farm should be redefined as it is arbitrary and unpractical.	Whole submission is allowed
The Oil Companies (Z Energy, Mobil Oil New Zealand, BP Oil New Zealand) - Matthew Thode (4Sight Consulting)	551.182a	Support	Planning Maps / Energy / Wharflines and Bunkerlines	Agree that the Coastal Environment Overlay boundary at Omata Tank Farm should be redefined.	Whole submission is allowed
Taranaki Energy Watch Incorporated - Sarah Roberts	543.31	Oppose	Planning Maps / Risk Management Contours	Agree with the support for the non-District Plan risk contour but do not support the other submission points. Agree with the 250m contour proposed for this layer.	Whole submission is disallowed

### **Note to Further Submitter**

This further submission on the Proposed District Plan must be received by the New Plymouth District Council by **5pm on Tuesday 25 August 2020**.  
To get your further submission to us, either:

Post to: New Plymouth District Council, Reply Paid DX, DX Box NX10026, New Plymouth.  
Attention: District Planning Team  
Deliver to: Civic Centre, Liardet Street, New Plymouth  
or to library and service centres at Bell Block, Inglewood or Waitara  
Email to: districtplan@npdc.govt.nz  
Visit our website: newplymouthnz.com/eplan

A copy of your further submission MUST be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the local authority. Contact details for all submitters can be found on our Proposed Plan page at [newplymouthnz.com/eplan](http://newplymouthnz.com/eplan).

Please note all information provided in your submission, including your personal information, will be made publicly available.

Your submission (or part of it) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of it):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.