

FURTHER SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, A SUBMISSION ON NPDC'S NOTIFIED PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN

Clause 8 of Schedule 1 Resource Management Act 1991

To: New Plymouth District Council
Address: Private Bag 2025, New Plymouth 4342
Email: districtplan@npdc.govt.nz

Further Submitter Details

Name of further submitter: Hardwick-Smith Partners.....
 Contact person (if different from above) C/- BTW Company Ltd.....
 Postal address: PO Box 551, New Plymouth 4340
 Email address for service: aaron.edwards@btw.nz
 Phone number: 027 552 1561

The Council will serve all formal documents by email. Where there is no email address provided, the documents will be posted to the postal address stated above.

I am: (please tick relevant box)

- a) A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest.
(In this case, also specify the grounds for saying that you come within this category);
- b) A person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest of the general public.
(In this case, also explain the grounds for saying that you come within this category); or
- c) The local authority for the relevant area.

Please state the grounds as to why you come within the category selected above:

The submitter owns properties which are generally located between the New Plymouth Airport and Area Q Structure Plan area, held in the following Records of Title:

- 534849 (Lot 3 DP 436175)
- 534850 (Part Section 64 Waitara West DIST; Lot 4 DP 436175)
- 107826 (Lots 1-3 DP 20197).

These properties are directly affected by Submission 504 Papa Rererangi Puketapu Limited (NP Airport).

Council Hearing (please tick relevant box)

Do you wish to be heard in support of your further submission? Yes No

If others make a similar submission would you consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing? Yes No

Submission

The submission points, support or opposition, reasons and decisions sought are set out in the attached document.

Note: Any attachments to your submission should only be supporting information, not the submission.



Signature of the person making further submission
or the person authorised to sign on behalf of the
person making further submission

24 August 2020

Date

Name of Further Submitter: Hardwick-Smith Partners

My specific further submission(s) are as follows:

(Please only insert one further submission point per line. Add further sheets as required)

I support/oppose the submission of: <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are: <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			The reasons for my support / opposition are: <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed: <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Papa Rererangi Puketapu Limited (NP Airport) - Richard Buttimore	504.84a	Oppose	DEV1	Oppose non-complying activity status for any subdivision and residential type land use activity on Rural Production land between Area Q and the Airport. The District Plan framework as notified provides a suitable framework for managing noise sensitive activities within proximity to the Airport.	Disallow.
Papa Rererangi Puketapu Limited (NP Airport) - Richard Buttimore	504.81	Oppose	LIGHT / Policies	Oppose the exclusion of light associated with airport operations and aircraft being exempt from the LIGHT chapter policy framework. Light spill on adjacent properties from Airport activities creates the potential for significant adverse effects on the health and safety of people, views of the night sky and rural character and amenity values.	Disallow.
Papa Rererangi Puketapu Limited (NP Airport) - Richard Buttimore	504.85	Oppose	LIGHT / Rules / LIGHT-R1	Oppose the exclusion of light associated with airport operations and aircraft being exempt from the LIGHT chapter rule framework. Light spill on adjacent properties from Airport activities creates the potential for significant adverse effects on the health and safety of people, views of the night sky and rural character and amenity values.	Disallow.
Papa Rererangi Puketapu Limited (NP Airport) - Richard Buttimore	504.88	Oppose	LIGHT / Rules / LIGHT-S1	Oppose the exclusion of light associated with airport operations and aircraft being exempt from the LIGHT chapter standards.	Disallow.

I support/oppose the submission of: <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are: <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			The reasons for my support / opposition are: <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed: <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Airport) - Richard Buttimore				Light spill on adjacent properties from Airport activities creates the potential for significant adverse effects on the health and safety of people, views of the night sky and rural character and amenity values.	
Papa Rererangi Puketapu Limited (NP Airport) - Richard Buttimore	504.34	Oppose	NOISE / Objectives	<p>Oppose the exclusion of noise associated with airport operations being exempt from the NOISE chapter objectives. Noise generated by airport operations creates the potential for significant adverse effects on amenity values and the health and wellbeing of people in the surrounding area.</p> <p>Amending NOISE objectives to exclude noise associated with aircraft in flight is unnecessary as the overview statement clearly states noise from aircraft in flight are not controlled by the Resource Management Act 1991.</p>	Disallow.
Papa Rererangi Puketapu Limited (NP Airport) - Richard Buttimore	504.33	Oppose	NOISE / Policies / New policy	Support NOISE P1-P4 as notified. Oppose the addition of a new policy excluding noise from aircraft operations and aircraft from NOISE P1-P4.	Disallow.
Papa Rererangi Puketapu Limited (NP Airport) - Richard Buttimore	504.39a	Oppose	NOISE / Rules / New rule	Oppose new buildings containing noise sensitive activities within the Airport control boundary being a non-complying activity. Supports the rule framework as notified, providing for new buildings within the Airport control boundary as a permitted activity subject to meeting relevant standards. The rules and standards as notified provide an appropriate framework	Disallow.

I support/oppose the submission of: <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are: <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			The reasons for my support / opposition are: <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed: <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
				to manage potential reverse sensitivity effects on the Airport	
Papa Rererangi Puketapu Limited (NP Airport) - Richard Buttimore	504.39b	Support in part	NOISE / Rules / NOISE-S4	<p>Supports amendments to NOISE provisions for clarity purposes to change references to the "Airport Control Boundary" to "Noise Control Boundary" as shown on the planning maps.</p> <p>As outlined in separate submission points, opposes non-complying activity status for new buildings containing noise sensitive activities within the Airport control boundary.</p>	Allow submission in part.
Papa Rererangi Puketapu Limited (NP Airport) - Richard Buttimore	504.80	Oppose	TRAN / Policies / TRAN-P8	Oppose amendment to TRAN-P8 to include reference to the Airport. NOISE chapter provisions already manage the proximity of sensitive activities to the Airport and potential reverse sensitivity effects.	Disallow.
Papa Rererangi Puketapu Limited (NP Airport) - Richard Buttimore	504.36	Oppose	Planning Maps / Noise Control Boundary	Oppose the addition of a 50dB noise contour around the existing Airport on the planning maps. It is not clear from the submission what implications the 50dB noise contour would have on properties that it affects. The Noise Control Boundary and supporting rule framework as notified is considered an appropriate framework to manage noise effects.	Disallow.
Papa Rererangi Puketapu Limited (NP Airport) - Richard Buttimore	504.40	Oppose	Planning Maps / Noise Control Boundary	Oppose the addition of an Indicative Airport Outer Noise Contour on the planning maps on the basis of an as yet undetermined alignment. It is not clear from the submission what implications the indicative contour would have on properties that it	Disallow.

I support/oppose the submission of: <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are: <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			The reasons for my support / opposition are: <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed: <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
				affects. Future realignment should be subject to a private plan change process.	
Papa Rererangi Puketapu Limited (NP Airport) - Richard Buttimore	504.54c	Oppose	Planning Maps / Noise Control Boundary	Oppose the addition of a 50dB noise contour around the existing Airport on the planning maps. As outlined in separate submission points, opposes non-complying activity status for new buildings containing noise sensitive activities within the Airport control boundary. It is not clear from the submission what implications the 50dB noise contour would have on properties that it affects. The Noise Control Boundary and supporting rule framework as notified is considered an appropriate framework to manage noise effects.	Disallow.
Papa Rererangi Puketapu Limited (NP Airport) - Richard Buttimore	504.54d	Oppose	Planning Maps / Noise Control Boundary	Oppose the addition of a 50dB noise contour around the existing Airport on the planning maps. As outlined in separate submission points, opposes non-complying activity status for new buildings containing noise sensitive activities within the Airport control boundary. It is not clear from the submission what the full implications the 50dB noise contour would have on properties that it affects. The Noise Control Boundary and supporting rule framework as notified is considered an appropriate framework to manage noise effects.	Disallow.
Papa Rererangi Puketapu Limited (NP Airport) - Richard Buttimore	504.86	Oppose	RPROZ / Rules	Oppose new rule restricting subdivision and land use activities establishing within the land between the New Plymouth Airport and the Area Q Structure Plan area. The District Plan framework as notified provides	Disallow.

I support/oppose the submission of: <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are: <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			The reasons for my support / opposition are: <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed: <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
				an appropriate framework to manage potential reverse sensitivity effects on the Airport.	
Papa Rererangi Puketapu Limited (NP Airport) - Richard Buttimore	504.84b	Oppose	SUB / Rules	Oppose non-complying activity status for any subdivision and residential type land use activity on Rural Production land between Area Q and the Airport. The District Plan framework as notified provides a suitable framework for managing noise sensitive activities within proximity to the Airport.	Disallow.

Note to Further Submitter

This further submission on the Proposed District Plan must be received by the New Plymouth District Council by **5pm on Tuesday 25 August 2020**.

To get your further submission to us, either:

Post to: New Plymouth District Council, Reply Paid DX, DX Box NX10026, New Plymouth.

Attention: District Planning Team

Deliver to: Civic Centre, Liardet Street, New Plymouth
or to library and service centres at Bell Block, Inglewood or Waitara

Email to: districtplan@npdc.govt.nz

Visit our website: newplymouthnz.com/eplan

A copy of your further submission MUST be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the local authority. Contact details for all submitters can be found on our Proposed Plan page at newplymouthnz.com/eplan.

Please note all information provided in your submission, including your personal information, will be made publicly available.

Your submission (or part of it) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of it):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.