

## FURTHER SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, A SUBMISSION ON NPDC'S NOTIFIED PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN

Clause 8 of Schedule 1 Resource Management Act 1991

**To:** New Plymouth District Council  
**Address:** Private Bag 2025, New Plymouth 4342  
**Email:** districtplan@npdc.govt.nz

### **Further Submitter Details**

Name of submitter: Wharehoka Wano  
On behalf of: Te Kāhui o Taranaki Trust  
Postal address: P O Box 929, Taranaki Mail  
Email address: taiao@taranaki.iwi.nz  
Phone number: 067514285  
Contact person: Wharehoka Wano; Tumuwahakarito /CEO

*The Council will serve all formal documents by email. Where there is no email address provided, the documents will be posted to the postal address stated above.*

### **I am:** (please tick relevant box)

- a) A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest.   
(In this case, also specify the grounds for saying that you come within this category); or
- b) A person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest of the general public.   
(In this case, also explain the grounds for saying that you come within this category); or
- c) The local authority for the relevant area.

Please state the grounds as to why you come within the category selected above:

Te Kāhui o Taranaki Trust is an Iwi Authority (as defined in the RMA), a post agreement governance entity (PAGE), the iwi authority for the area of the New Plymouth District between the Hangataahua and Herekawe rivers.

### **Council Hearing** (please tick relevant box)

Do you wish to be heard in support of your further submission? Yes  No

If others make a similar submission would you consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing? Yes  No

### **Submission**

The submission points, support or opposition, reasons and decisions sought are set out in the attached document.

**Note:** Any attachments to your submission should only be supporting information, not the submission.



Signature of the person making further submission

25 August 2020  
Date

or the person authorised to sign on behalf of the person making further submission

Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_\_\_

My specific further submission(s) are as follows:

*(Please only insert one further submission point per line. Add further sheets as required)*

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
<b>Section – DP General</b>					
Venture Taranaki – Anne Probert	295.1	Support in Part	DP General	<p>Strategic alignment between the Proposed District Plan, Tapuae Road and Taranaki 2050 Roadmap is supported in general, however specifically providing for/considering future focused projects with provisions requires consideration of tangata whenua from the outset, especially given the strategic importance of mana whenua within the strategies listed in the submission.</p> <p>Further engagement between VT and NPDC to achieve this must also include mana whenua from the outset to take into account the provisions of our Iwi Management Plan – Taiao, Taiora</p>	Allow the submission in part, but ensure that any engagement between VT and NPDC to resolve this submission to provide for future focused projects in the District Plan includes mana whenua from the outset.
Ngā Mahanga and Ngāti Tairi - Fay Mulligan	524.1	Support	DP General	The Kaupapa Māori Framework – Iwi and Hapū Values in the Tangata Whenua Chapter to underpin and inform activities covered by the District Plan. As noted this list of values is not comprehensive, and other values may be more appropriate for particular topics or developments. This reiterates the need to engage cultural expertise (through the development of Cultural Values Statements, or Cultural	Allow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
				Impact Assessments) through the implementation of the PNPDP. The Council has the tools available to it under the RMA (S.92 information requests and commissioning of reports) to ensure that this occurs through the consent, designations and monitoring/enforcement processes.	
The Oil Companies (Z Energy, Mobil Oil New Zealand, BP Oil New Zealand) - Matthew Thode (4Sight Consulting)	551.124a	Support	DP General	Hyperlink to the definition of 'site' is not appropriate as set out in the submission	Allow the submission
Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning Limited)	565.5	Oppose	DP General	Where an activity is not listed – default must be discretionary as opposed to permitted.	Disallow the submission
Waka Kotahi New Zealand Transport Agency – Mike Wood (Principal Planner)	566.2	Support in part	DP General	<p>The submission requests certainty regarding the use of terms 'and' or 'or' where 'and/or' is used for lists. This is required to ensure rule frameworks are correctly applied in practise.</p> <p>The instances where this correction is being applied must be done so on a case by case basis in conjunction with Te Kāhui o Taranaki.</p>	Allow the submission
<b>Planning Maps</b>					

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Johnson Resource Management Limited - Helen Johnson	484.53a	Oppose	Planning Maps	The taking of an esplanade strip or reserve must be considered on a case by case basis through the consent process, noting that these are key tools to protect biodiversity values of waterbodies as well as provide for public access. Prioritising the use of this tool for specific waterbodies is out of step with the national direction of the NPS-FW and the strategic direction of the PNPDP.	Disallow the submission
Port Taranaki Limited – Guy Roper	525.35c	Oppose	Planning maps	The submission requests the coastal environment overlay be removed from the port area. Whilst it is noted that the Port area and activities are provided for through the Port Precinct it is considered important to recognise the significant natural character values that also persist within that environment which the identification of the coastal environment provides for.	Disallow the submission
Port Taranaki Limited – Guy Roper	525.60	Oppose	Planning maps	The submission requests the coastal environment overlay be removed from the port area. Whilst it is noted that the Port area and activities are provided for through the Port Precinct it is considered important to recognise the significant natural character values that also persist within that environment which the identification of the coastal environment provides for.	Disallow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
New Plymouth District Council – Juliet Johnson	582.58	Oppose	Planning Maps	<p>The submission seeks to exclude areas of SNA that are on land owned or administered under other legislation.</p> <p>Land tenure does not determine whether the vegetation meets the criteria for a SNA or not and is considered to undermine the application of those criteria moving forward.</p>	Disallow the submission
First Gas Limited – Zane Wood	309.1	Oppose	Planning Maps	The submission requests that above ground assets are mapped alongside underground pipelines. This is opposed as different effects (visual, amenity etc) are managed for buildings/structures through the rule framework and managed through a designation/consenting process	Disallow the submission
Oakura Farm Park Limited - Colin Comber (Comber Consultancy)	494.2	Oppose	Planning Maps	The issues/relief sought raised within this submission regarding an indicative roading alignment were considered through PPC18/00048.	Disallow the submission
Landpro Limited – Kathryn Hooper	475.4b	Support	Planning Maps	Submission requests AS/SASM are visible at all scales on e-PNDP maps. This is supported	Allow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Taranaki Regional Council - Fred McLay	549.3a	Support	Planning Maps	Submission requests AS/SASM are visible at all scales on e-PNDP maps. This is supported	Allow the submission
<b>Interpretation</b>					
<b>Definitions</b>					
Department of Conservation - Nardia Yozin	550.18	Support	Definitions / indigenous vegetation	The submission requests changes to the definition that better articulate what indigenous vegetation is in the context of the District.	Allow the submission
Federated Farmers Taranaki - Mark Hooper, Provincial President	564.64	Support	Definitions / Upgrading	Support the requested changes as is specifies that upgrading should be of a similar scale, size, <u>location</u> and character to existing infrastructure.	Allow the submission
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga – Caroline Rachlin	522.10	Support	Definitions / New definition	The submission requests new definitions taking into account guidance and terminology provided by the International Council of Monuments and Sites (ICOMOS} New Zealand Charter 2010 (ICOMOS Charter) and the Heritage New Zealand Sustainable Management of Historic Heritage Guide No. 3 District Plans 2007 Sustainable Guidance of Historic Heritage No. 3 as follows:	Allow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• alterations</li> <li>• demolition</li> <li>• historic heritage buildings</li> <li>• historic heritage area</li> <li>• safety alterations</li> <li>• heritage character area (and any associated definition for contributory building)</li> <li>• historic heritage item</li> <li>• historic heritage setting</li> <li>• partial demolition</li> <li>• repairs</li> <li>• restoration</li> </ul>	
Horticulture New Zealand - Jordyn Landers	457.15	Oppose	Definitions / New definition / ANCILLARY RURAL WORKS	a new definition for ancillary rural earthworks as follows: <i>Means earthworks or land disturbance associated with agricultural, pastoral and horticultural activities, including:</i> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <i>cultivation, land preparation (including establishment of sediment and erosion control measures), for planting and growing operations;</i></li> <li>2. <i>harvesting of agricultural and horticultural crops (farming) and forests (forestry); and</i></li> <li>3. <i>maintenance and construction of facilities typically associated with agricultural, pastoral and horticultural activities, including, but not limited to, farm tracks, stock races, silage pits, farm drains, farm effluent ponds, feeding pads, fencing and sediment control measures.</i></li> </ol>	Disallow the submission



<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
				<p>This definition would facilitate a range of earthworks that adversely effect historic heritage resources. This has the potential to undermine the provisions designed to avoid, remedy or mitigate the effects of earthworks in the District.</p>	
Integrated Planning Solutions Limited – Paul Sousa	299.1a	Oppose	Definitions / New definition / APPROVED DEVELOPMENT	<p>The submission requests a new definition for APPROVED DEVELOPMENT as follows:</p> <p><i>For the purposes of subdivision Approved Development shall be any development consisting of two or more dwellings (excluding minor residential units and sleepouts) that benefits from the following and which has not lapsed or expired but as yet has not been constructed:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A Land Use Consent;</li> <li>• A Certificate of Compliance;</li> <li>• Building consent that has been issued following a planning check without any s37 restrictions under the Building Act 2004.</li> </ul> <p>It is not clear why the definition is required as all of these elements form a part of the existing environment at time a consent application is considered.</p>	Disallow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>		<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>	
Department of Conservation - Nardia Yozin	550.3	Support	Definitions / New definition / BIODIVERSITY COMPENSATION	<p>The submission proposes to introduce a new definition for biodiversity compensation as follows:</p> <p><i>means any positive actions (excluding biodiversity offsets) to compensate for residual adverse biodiversity effects arising from activities after all appropriate avoidance, remediation, mitigation and biodiversity offset measures have been applied.</i></p>	Allow the submission
Horticulture New Zealand - Jordyn Landers	457.13	Oppose	Definitions / New definition / CULTIVATION	<p>The submission is requesting a new definition for cultivation as follows:</p> <p><i>Means the alteration or disturbance of land (or any other matter constituting the land including soil, clay, sand and rock), for the purpose of sowing, growing or harvesting of pasture or crops.</i></p> <p>These items fall within the definition for Land Disturbance that are defined in the National Planning Standard. A definition for cultivation is not considered appropriate or necessary.</p> <p>Should this definition be included, cultivation would need to be avoided in relation to historic heritage, archaeological sites, sites and areas of significance to Maori and other overlay chapters.</p>	Disallow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Horticulture New Zealand - Jordyn Landers	457.12	Support	Definitions / New definition / HIGHLY PRODUCTIVE LAND		Allow the submission
Trustpower Limited - Shelby Managh	544.24	Support in part	Definitions / New definition / HIGHLY VULNERABLE SPECIES	<p>The submission requests a new definition for highly vulnerable species as follows:</p> <p><i>Highly Vulnerable Species - Includes nationally critical, nationally endangered and nationally vulnerable species as outlined in the Department of Conservation's conservation status of taxa in the current New Zealand Threat Classification listing.</i></p> <p>The definition should also reference any species listed in an iwi management plan.</p>	Allow the submission in part
First Gas Limited – Zane Wood	309.7	Oppose	Definitions / New definition / REGIONALLY SIGNIFICANT INFRASTRUCTURE	It is not clear what criteria or threshold there is for infrastructure to be considered regionally significant. There may be merit in this definition, once criteria or process to list infrastructure is known.	Disallow the submission
<b>National Direction Instruments</b>					
<b>National Policy Statements and New Zealand Policy Statement</b>					

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
New Plymouth District Council - Juliet Johnson	582.2	Support in Part	National Policy Statements and NZ Coastal Policy Statement	Support the relief sought in this submission and add that the NPS Freshwater Management 2020 should also be included in this plan, and be reviewed with subsequent changes be made. Similarly, the NPS-UD 2020. It is important to note the engagement with tangata whenua required to implement these NPS	Allow the submission in part
<b>Water Conservation Orders</b>					
Taranaki Fish & Game Council - Allen Stancliff	126.12	Support in Part	Water Conservation Orders	The Hangataahua is significant for a range of reasons and values some of which are set out in the submission. It is important that the items listed in the submission are not given priority or status over other values associated with Hangataahua and that this is reflected in the PNPDP.	Allow the submission in part
<b>Strategic Direction</b>					
<b>Historic and cultural</b>					
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.12	Support	HC / Strategic Objectives / HC-1	Recognising and protecting historic heritage is a matter of national importance and thus the retention of Strategic Direction - Historic and Cultural Objective HC-1 as notified is required.	Allow the submission
Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga - Marlene Benson	520.11	Support	HC / Strategic Objectives / HC-1	Amending the Strategic Direction - Historic and Cultural Objective HC-1 to include a specific reference to the sites will strengthen the objective and provide clearer direction to Plan users.	Allow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>		<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>	
Trustpower Limited - Shelby Managh	544.28	Oppose	HC / Strategic Objectives / HC-1	Recognising and protecting historic heritage is a matter of national importance and thus the term 'protect' should be retained as notified.	Disallow the submission
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.13	Support	HC / Strategic Objectives / HC-2	Amend to protect the 'values' as well as the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral sites as a matter of national importance by including the wording 'intrinsic qualities, uses, resources, wellbeing, Mātauranga Māori, tikanga and interests of historic heritage and sites and areas of significance to Māori'.	Allow the submission
Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga - Marlene Benson	520.12	Support	HC / Strategic Objectives / HC-2	Amending the Strategic Direction - Historic and Cultural Objective HC-2 to include a specific reference to the sites will strengthen the objective and provide clearer direction to Plan users.	Allow the submission
Trustpower Limited - Shelby Managh	544.29	Oppose	HC / Strategic Objectives / HC-2	Recognising and protecting historic heritage is a matter of national importance, this includes the associated cultural, spiritual and/or historical values, and thus the term 'protect' should be retained as notified.	Disallow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren.	459.14	Support	HC / Strategic Objectives / HC-3	Recognising and providing for Tangata whenua's relationships, interests and associations with their culture, traditions, sites and other taonga historic heritage is a matter of national importance and thus the retention of Strategic Direction - Historic and Cultural Objective HC-3 as notified is required.	Allow the submission
Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga - Marlene Benson	520.4	Support	HC / Strategic Objectives / HC-3	Amending the Strategic Direction - Historic and Cultural Objective HC-2 to include a specific reference to the ability to practice katiakitanga will enable active participation in the protection of items listed.	Allow the submission
<b>Natural Environment</b>					
Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga - Marlene Benson	520.36	Support	NE / Strategic Objectives	The submission supports the strategic objectives as notified, except for the amendments requested for NE-4 and NE-5.	Allow the submission in part
Kāinga Ora - Homes and Communities - Dr Claire Kirman	563.171	Support	NE / Strategic Objectives / NE-6	This submission seeks to amend the wording of the Strategic Objective NE-6 as follows: inclusion of land use <u>and subdivision</u> activities; and <u>the adverse effects of changes in land use on waterbodies and the coastal environment, are avoided, remedied or mitigated.</u> The intent of this submission is supported. Refinement of wording to be consistent	Allow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
				with other plans, and the Regional Policy Statement for Taranaki 2010 may be required.	
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.18	Support	NE / Strategic Objectives / NE-7	Supports the retention of Strategic Objective NE-7 as notified because allowing tangata whenua to protect and manage the natural environment as kaitiaki reiterates RMA Section 7(a) requirements.	Allow the submission
Kāinga Ora - Homes and Communities - Dr Claire Kirman	563.169	Support	NE / Strategic Objectives / New strategic objective	The submission requests a new strategic objective be added as follows: <i>The quality of freshwater and coastal water is maintained where it is excellent or good and progressively improved over time where it is degraded.</i> The intent of this submission is supported, noting the requirement to manage freshwater and coastal water in an integrated manner. Refinement of wording to be consistent with other plans, and the Regional Policy Statement for Taranaki 2010 may be required.	Allow the submission in part
<b>Urban form and development</b>					

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Kāinga Ora - Homes and Communities - Dr Claire Kirman	563.161	Support	UFD / Strategic Objectives / UFD-13	<p>The submission requests changes to the objective to emphasise and support a more compact urban form.</p> <p>This aligns with the provisions of Taiao, Taiora.</p>	Allow the submission
Southern Cross Hospitals Limited - Jeremy Brophy (BTW Company Limited)	571.14	Support	UFD / Strategic Objectives / UFD-13	The submission requests changes to the objective to specify social infrastructure that meets the needs of people and communities.	Allow the submission
Kāinga Ora - Homes and Communities - Dr Claire Kirman	563.157	Support	UFD / Strategic Objectives / UFD-15	<p>The submission requests changes to the objective to emphasise and support a more compact urban form.</p> <p>This aligns with the provisions of Taiao, Taiora.</p>	Allow the submission
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited - Graeme McCarrison and Tom Anderson	567.20	Oppose	UFD / Strategic Objectives / UFD-19	Outcomes should be expected to differ within the rohe of different iwi, hapu or whānau. Recognising the rangatiratanga of those entities to develop and share their mātauranga into the planning process for urban design is required.	Disallow the submission
Kāinga Ora - Homes and Communities - Dr Claire Kirman	563.172	Support	UFD / Strategic Objectives / UFD-23	The submission requests additional wording to clarify the purpose of the zone, and to avoid those living activities which undermine the functioning of the zone.	Allow the submission



<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited - Graeme McCarrison and Tom Anderson	567.22	Oppose	UFD / Strategic Objectives / New strategic objective	The submission requests three new objectives in relation to infrastructure. These outcomes are provided for elsewhere in the plan. The inclusion of these provisions may elevate the requirements of infrastructure over those matters of national importance (e.g. the protection of historic heritage) which is not considered appropriate.	Disallow the submission
Trustpower Limited - Shelby Managh	544.31	Oppose	UFD / Strategic Objectives / UFD-24	Oppose this submission because recognition alone does not provide an appropriate level of protection for productive, versatile land and natural, physical and cultural resources located within rural areas that are of significance to the district and thus the wording 'protected' and 'maintained' should be retained as notified.	Disallow the submission
Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning Limited)	565.42	Oppose	UFD / Strategic Objectives / UFD-24	See comments above regarding the need to retain the wording 'protected' and 'maintained' as notified.	Disallow the submission
Ara Poutama Aotearoa - Department of Corrections - Andrea Millar	510.9a	Support in part	UFD / Strategic Objectives / New strategic objective	The submission requests an additional objective that provides for social infrastructure. This objective should be expanded to include social infrastructure (e.g Marae and others).	Allow the submission in part
<b>Energy, Infrastructure and Transport</b>					

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
<b>Energy</b>					
Taranaki District Health Board – Ian Grant	425.19	Support in part	ENGY	The submission supports the renewable energy provisions because it aligns with the TDHB's sustainability policy and strategies. Support the provision of renewable energy provisions, must be consistent with the Strategic Objectives.	Allow the submission in part
Johnson Resource Management Limited - Helen Johnson	484.22	Support	ENGY	The submission seeks clarification in regards to the definition of "Energy Activities". This will provide assurance in application of the Proposed District Plan.	Allow the submission
Johnson Resource Management Limited - Helen Johnson	484.23	Support	ENGY	The submission seeks to clarify activity statuses for consistency. This will provide assurance in application of the Proposed District Plan.	Allow the submission
Ngāti Rāhiri Hapū o Te Atiawa (Taranaki) Society Inc - Keith Holswich	503.32	Support in part	ENGY	<p>The submission seeks amendments to the chapter to include requirement for consultation with tangata whenua.</p> <p>Only tangata whenua are qualified to identify the impacts of the protection, use and development of resources and the relationship they hold with the environment. Reiterate the importance of engaging cultural expertise.</p>	Allow the submission in part

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga - Caroline Rachlin	522.24	Support	ENGY	The submission seeks amendments to the ENGY chapter to ensure the appropriate recognition and protection of heritage and cultural values. The chapter conflicts with the Strategic Objectives.	Allow the submission
The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated - Tom Kay, Regional Manager	487.28	Support	ENGY / Overview	The submission seeks reference to indigenous biodiversity to the cross references to other relevant District Plan provisions. This submission should be allowed for consistency throughout the Plan.	Allow the submission
Ngāti Rāhiri Hapū o Te Atiawa (Taranaki) Society Inc - Keith Holswich	503.38	Support	ENGY / Objectives / ENGY- 01	The submission seeks amendments to wording of Objective to ensure that adverse effects on SASM and cultural values are not compromised. This would ensure consistency with the Strategic Objectives.	Allow the submission
Todd Generation Limited - Stephen Quinn	521.14	Oppose	ENGY / Objectives / ENGY- 01	Oppose the submission because the wording of Objective ENGY-01 conflicts with the Strategic Objectives.	Disallow the submission
Todd Generation Limited - Stephen Quinn	521.15	Oppose	ENGY / Objectives / ENGY- 02	Oppose the submission because the wording of Objective ENGY-02 conflicts with the Strategic Objectives.	Disallow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Climate Justice Taranaki Incorporated - Catherine Cheung	311.34	Support in part	ENGY / Objectives / New objective	Support the provision of a new objective to recognise the climate crisis, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, give effect to s7 of the RMA and National Policy Statement on Renewable Energy, though must ensure that the proposed wording does not conflict with the Strategic Objectives.	Allow the submission in part
Department of Conservation – Nardia Yozin	550.43	Support in part	ENGY / Policies	Support the provision of a new policy to avoid location petroleum exploration and prospecting in sensitive areas, this policy would require a specific 500m setback from those areas. The policy wording must include reference to all sensitive areas including indigenous biodiversity, outstanding natural landscapes, outstanding natural features, outstanding natural character, areas of significant natural features and landforms, waterbodies, historic heritage and sites and areas of significance to Māori.	Allow the submission
Hiringa Energy Limited - Cam Twigley (BTW Company Limited)	558.10	Support in part	ENGY/ Policies	Support in part because the Objective and Policy framework conflicts with the Strategic Objectives.	Allow the submission in part
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.57	Support in part	ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P1	Support in part subject to specific protection for identified features such as areas of significant natural features and landforms, waterbodies, indigenous biodiversity, historic heritage and sites and areas of significance to Māori.	Allow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Ngāti Rāhiri Hapū o Te Atiawa (Taranaki) Society Inc - Keith Holswich	503.33	Support in part	ENGY/ Policies/ ENGY-P1	Support because specific protection should be provided for identified features such as areas of significant natural features and landforms, waterbodies, indigenous biodiversity, historic heritage and sites and areas of significance to Māori.	Allow the submission
Department of Conservation - Nardia Yozin	550.47	Support in part	ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P1	Support the provision of a new policy to avoid location petroleum exploration, prospecting and production in sensitive areas, this policy would require a specific 500m setback from those areas. The policy wording must include reference to all sensitive areas including indigenous biodiversity, outstanding natural landscapes, outstanding natural features, outstanding natural character, areas of significant natural features and landforms, waterbodies, historic heritage and sites and areas of significance to Māori.	Allow the submission
New Plymouth District Council - Juliet Johnson	582.3	Oppose	ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P1	The submission seeks to removing "using explosives" because the words are unnecessary as the definition of "seismic survey" includes the use of explosives. It would be more appropriate to retain the wording and amend the definition of	Disallow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
				"seismic survey" to remove "using explosives".	
Greymouth Petroleum Limited - Tanya Hansen	548.27	Support in part	ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P2	The submission seeks to include provision for seismic surveying using explosives in the Rural Lifestyle Zone as a restricted discretionary activity. Adverse effects are required to be avoided, remedied or mitigated instead of appropriately managed. The activity status should be discretionary.	Allow the submission in part
New Plymouth District Council - Juliet Johnson	582.7	Support in part	ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P2	The submission seeks to improve the clarity of the policy, provide greater direction and improve implementation of the District Plan. The amended policy should be further amended to provide certainty in relation to identified features and adverse effects should be avoided, remedied or mitigated rather than minimised.	Allow the submission in part
Todd Generation Limited - Stephen Quinn	521.13	Support in part	ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P20	To provide more certainty effects of location, size and height of structures, setbacks from sensitive activities, and storage of hazardous substances associated with non-renewable electricity generation activities should be avoided, remedied or mitigated rather than managed.	Allow the submission in part

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Ngāti Rāhiri Hapū o Te Atiawa (Taranaki) Society Inc - Keith Holswich	503.35	Support	ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P21	Support policy direction seeking protection of unrecorded historic heritage.	Allow the submission
Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga - Marlene Benson	520.32	Support	ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P21	Support the provision of a site-specific buffer distance from scheduled features including indigenous biodiversity, outstanding natural landscapes, outstanding natural features, outstanding natural character, areas of significant natural features and landforms, waterbodies, historic heritage and sites and areas of significance to Māori.	Allow the submission
Todd Generation Limited - Stephen Quinn	521.25	Support in part	ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P21	The submission considers the use of 'avoid' and 'adjacent to' is too restrictive. To improve implementation of the District Plan, a site-specific distance should be provided and the 'scheduled features' listed. This will provide certainty in relation to these features rather than a limiting planning framework.	Allow the submission in part
Department of Conservation - Nardia Yoizin	550.41	Support	ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P21	The submission considers that the policy wording should be clarified to include significant natural areas to be consistent with s6 of the RMA. The policy should be further clarified to provide for indigenous biodiversity, outstanding natural landscapes, outstanding natural features, outstanding natural character, areas of significant natural features and landforms,	Allow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
				waterbodies, historic heritage and sites and areas of significance to Māori.	
OMV New Zealand Limited Cam Twigley (BTW Company Limited)	573.25	Oppose	ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P4	The submission seeks to recognise 'location' as a constraint to exploration and production activities. The requirement is to avoid, remedy or mitigate effects rather than compromise existing land uses.	Disallow the submission
Greymouth Petroleum Limited - Tanya Hansen	548.30	Support in part	ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P5	Support the clarification around sensitive features and identified features being extrapolated.	Allow the submission
Greymouth Petroleum Limited - Tanya Hansen	548.31	Oppose	ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P6	New petroleum exploration and production shall be avoided in all zones other than the Rural Production and Major Facilities Zone. The policy framework should be amended to acknowledge existing infrastructure within other zones.	Disallow the submission
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.46	Support	ENGY/ Policies/ ENGY-P7	The submission seeks to clarify ENGY-P7 to ensure cultural expertise is engaged to inform energy activities. This accords with the provisions of Wai 796.	Allow the submission



<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.54	Support	ENGY/ Policies/ ENGY-P7	Clearer direction sought for Plan Users regarding the separation distances from sensitive features, environments and historic heritage.	Allow the submission
Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga - Marlene Benson	520.17	Support	ENGY/ Policies/ ENGY-P7	<p>The submission seeks to clarify to strengthen the policy and reflect the strategic objectives.</p> <p>Only tangata whenua are qualified to identify the impacts of the protection, use and development of resources and the relationship they hold with the environment. Reiterate the importance of engaging cultural expertise</p>	Allow the submission
Federated Farmers Taranaki - Mark Hooper, Provincial President	564.76	Oppose	ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P7	The submission seeks that the policy enables individual agreements to be made and ongoing conversation between oil companies and neighbouring/ host land owners. The policy framework is not the correct mechanism to agree individual agreements. This is not considered to be a resource management issue	Disallow the submission
OMV New Zealand Limited Cam Twigley (BTW Company Limited)	573.10	Support	ENGY/ Policies/ ENGY-P7	The policy provisions seek to achieve conflict.	Allow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
New Plymouth District Council - Juliet Johnson	582.8	Support in part	ENGY/ Policies/ ENGY-P7	The submission seeks to improve the clarity of the policy, provide greater direction and improve implementation. A specific separation distance should be provided from identified features and sensitive activities and those features and activities should be extrapolated.	Allow the submission in part
Ngāti Rāhiri Hapū o Te Atiawa (Taranaki) Society Inc - Keith Holswich	503.34	Support	ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P8	Only tangata whenua are qualified to identify the impacts of the protection, use and development of resources and the relationship they hold with the environment. Reiterate the importance of engaging cultural expertise.	All the submission
Greymouth Petroleum Limited - Tanya Hansen	548.26	Oppose	ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P9	Where the location of oil and gas activities is constrained by the location and/ or accessibility of the natural resource to be used or extracted, the activity should be required to comply with all Proposed District Plan requirements; follow the mitigation hierarchy and not be required to only demonstrate compliance with a list of arbitrary requirements.	Disallow the submission
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.53	Support	ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P10	Only tangata whenua are qualified to identify the impacts of the protection, use and development of resources and the relationship they hold with the environment. Reiterate the importance of engaging cultural expertise in the remediation of a site. This accords with the provisions of Wai 796.	Allow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Taranaki Energy Watch Incorporated - Sarah Roberts	543.16	Support	ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P10	The submission seeks the requirement for a bond to ensure that sufficient funds exist to remedy sites.	Allow the submission
Greymouth Petroleum Limited - Tanya Hansen	548.34	Support in part	ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P10	<p>The submission seeks that oil and gas operators undertake remediation of sites in agreement with the land owners and local and regional authorities. The cultural expertise including mātauranga Māori should be utilised to inform any agreement.</p> <p>Only tangata whenua are qualified to identify the impacts of the protection, use and development of resources and the relationship they hold with the environment. Reiterate the importance of engaging cultural expertise in the remediation of a site.</p>	Allow the submission
Todd Generation Limited - Stephen Quinn	521.20	Support in part	ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P16	The submission considers the use of 'avoid' and 'adjacent to' is too restrictive. To improve implementation of the District Plan, a site-specific distance should be provided and the 'scheduled features' listed. This will provide certainty in relation to these features rather than a limiting planning framework. Opposed to the removal of historic heritage as this is defined under the Resource Management Act.	Allow the submission in part

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Kāinga Ora - Homes and Communities - Dr Claire Kirman	563.181	Support in part	ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P16	The submission acknowledges that there may be circumstances where depending on the scale of activities and the mitigation proposed, the activities could be acceptable. Specific distances should be provided from sites and areas of significance to Māori, Historic Heritage and other features. The cultural expertise of tangata whenua must be engaged to advise on the appropriateness of any mitigation proposed.	All the submission in part
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.60	Support in part	ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P18	Only tangata whenua are qualified to identify the impacts of the protection, use and development of resources and the relationship they hold with the environment. Reiterate the importance of engaging cultural expertise in the remediation of a site. This accords with the provisions of Wai 796.	Allow the submission
Hiringa Energy Limited - Cam Twigley (BTW Company Limited)	558.8	Support in part	ENGY/ Rules	<p>The submission seeks to add specific policies and rules that achieve the ENGY chapter objectives regarding energy production, storage and distribution activities as emerging technologies for other sources of energy.</p> <p>These policies and rules must be consistent with the Strategic Objectives and ensure that cultural expertise must be engaged to inform these requirements. Only tangata</p>	Allow the submission in part

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
				whenua are qualified to identify the impacts of the protection, use and development of resources and the relationship they hold with the environment.	
Todd Energy Limited - Nik Pyselman	511.8	Support in part	ENGY / Rules / ENGY-R1	The submission seeks clarification to allow for other elements of seismic survey such as land surveying and placement of temporary pegs, cables and nodes. This would provide greater certainty to Plan Users. The effects standards should be amended to ensure consideration is given to the actual and potential effects in relation to historic heritage sites and areas of significance to Māori and other features.	Allow the submission in part
Department of Conservation - Nardia Yozin	550.40	Support in part	ENGY / Rules / ENGY-R1	The submission seeks to restrict petroleum exploration and prospecting and production in sensitive areas such as significant indigenous biodiversity, outstanding natural landscapes, outstanding natural features and outstanding natural character. It should also include areas of significant natural features and landforms, waterbodies, historic heritage and sites and areas of significance to Māori.	Allow the submission
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.70	Support	ENGY / Rules / ENGY-R1	The submission is consistent with the provisions of Taiao, Taiora.	Allow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.74a	Support	ENGY / Rules / ENGY-R3	The submission is consistent with the provisions of Taiao, Taiora.	Allow the submission
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.74b	Support	ENGY / Rules / ENGY-R4	The submission is consistent with the provisions of Taiao, Taiora.	Allow the submission
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.81	Support	ENGY / Rules / ENGY-R5	The submission is consistent with the provisions of Taiao, Taiora.	Allow the submission
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.78	Support	ENGY / Rules / ENGY-R6	The submission is consistent with the provisions of Taiao, Taiora.	Allow the submission
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.79	Support	ENGY / Rules / ENGY-S1	The submission is consistent with the provisions of Taiao, Taiora.	Allow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.101	Support	ENGY / Rules / ENGY-S2	The submission is consistent with the provisions of Taiao, Taiora.	Allow the submission
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.102	Support	ENGY / Rules / ENGY-S3	The submission is consistent with the provisions of Taiao, Taiora.	Allow the submission
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.98	Support	ENGY / Rules / ENGY-S4	The submission is consistent with the provisions of Taiao, Taiora.	Allow the submission
<b>Network Utilities</b>					
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga - Caroline Rachlin	522.17	Support	NU	The submission requests changes to the chapter to afford appropriate recognition and protection of heritage and cultural values	Allow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.105	Support	NU	The submission requests changes to the Network Utilities chapter to ensure that these provisions are integrated to avoid, remedy or mitigate the effects of network utilities over time, and in relation to historic heritage, waterbodies, indigenous biodiversity, the coastal environment, outstanding natural landscapes and features, Māori land and provide for the relationship of tangata whenua this these areas/items/features.	Allow the submission
Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga - Marlene Benson	520.31	Support	NU	The submission outlines a number of reasons by which the current provision of the chapter are not considered appropriate, particularly with respect to sites and areas of significance to Māori.	Allow the submission
Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning Limited)	565.37	Support in part	NU / Overview	The submission notes the MfE guidance with respect to provisions relating to NU in one chapter. This is generally supported with the exception of rules for specific for historic heritage, Natural Features and Landscapes, coastal environments and waterbodies. As the proposed plan is an E-Plan rules in those chapters as they may be relevant to network utilities could be hyperlinked, as opposed to drafting a separate provision specifically for network utilities for those topics.	Allow the submission in part



<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited - Graeme McCarrison and Tom Anderson	567.27	Oppose	NU / Overview	The submission requests additional wording which in effect give the provisions of the NU chapter priority over those in other sections of the plan. This cannot be supported in all instances and therefore the wording as proposed is not considered appropriate.	Disallow the submission
Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning Limited)	565.34	Oppose	NU / Overview	The submission requests additional wording which in effect give the provisions of the NU chapter priority over those in other sections of the plan. This cannot be supported in all instances and therefore the wording as proposed is not considered appropriate.	Disallow the submission
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited - Graeme McCarrison and Tom Anderson	567.28	Oppose	NU / Overview / Cross references to other relevant District Plan provisions	The submission requests additional wording which in effect give the provisions of the NU chapter priority over those in other sections of the plan. This cannot be supported in all instances and therefore the wording as proposed is not considered appropriate.	Disallow the submission
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited - Graeme McCarrison and Tom Anderson	567.30	Oppose	NU / Objectives / NU-O1	The submission requests NU-O1 is deleted in it's entirety and replaced with a new objective based on a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.	Disallow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga - Marlene Benson	520.40	Support	NU / Objectives / NU-02	The submission requests amendments to the objective to provide clear direction as to what adverse effects on the environment they are required to avoid, remedy or mitigate. It would be clearer and more consistent with rest of the Plan if NU-02 referenced schedules of the Plan.	Allow the submission
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited - Graeme McCarrison and Tom Anderson	567.31	Oppose	NU / Objectives / NU-02	The submission references a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.	Disallow the submission
Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning Limited)	565.28	Support in part	NU / Objectives / NU-02	The submission requests wording changes to the objective. These are generally supported with the exception of the phrase 'as far as is practicable'. This phrase is considered to introduce further ambiguity in the implantation of the objective which is not considered effective or efficient.	Allow the submission in part
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited - Graeme McCarrison and Tom Anderson	567.32	Oppose	NU / Objectives / NU-03	The submission requests the deletion of NU-03 and replaced with a new objective. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate	Disallow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
				process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.	
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited - Graeme McCarrison and Tom Anderson	567.33	Oppose	NU / Policies / NU-P1	The submission requests the deletion of NU-P1 and replaced with a new policy. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.	Disallow the submission
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited - Graeme McCarrison and Tom Anderson	567.34	Oppose	NU / Policies / NU-P2	The submission requests a minor change in wording in the policy. The reasons for the change references a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.	Disallow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Waka Kotahi New Zealand Transport Agency - Mike Wood (Principal Planner)	566.27	Support	NU / Policies / NU-P2	The submission requests wording changes in the policy to be more directive regarding the integration of infrastructure.	Allow the submission
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited - Graeme McCarrison and Tom Anderson	567.35	Oppose	NU / Policies / NU-P3	The submission requests a minor change in wording in the policy. The reasons for the change references a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.	Disallow the submission
Powerco Limited - Cheryl Cleary	568.33	Oppose	NU / Policies / NU-P4	The submission requests wording changes to the policy that increase the ambiguity regarding the direction the policy is providing for plan users, and therefore proposed changes are not considered appropriate, or efficient.	Disallow the submission
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited - Graeme McCarrison and Tom Anderson	567.36	Oppose	NU / Policies / NU-P4	The submission requests the deletion of NU-P4 and replaced with a new policy. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group;	Disallow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
				until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.	
Trustpower Limited - Shelby Managh	544.58	Oppose	NU / Policies / NU-P4	The submission requests wording changes to the policy that increase the ambiguity regarding the direction the policy is providing for plan users, and therefore proposed changes are not considered appropriate, or efficient.	Disallow the submission
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited - Graeme McCarrison and Tom Anderson	567.37	Oppose	NU / Policies / NU-P5	The submission requests the deletion of NU-P5 and replaced with a new policy. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.	Disallow the submission
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited - Graeme McCarrison and Tom Anderson	567.38	Oppose	NU / Policies / NU-P8	The submission requests the deletion of NU-P8 and replaced with a new policy. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the	Disallow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
				Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.	
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited - Graeme McCarrison and Tom Anderson	567.39	Oppose	NU / policies / New policy	The submission requests a new policy to address instances where telecommunications infrastructure is located in areas of outstanding or significant value. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.	Disallow the submission
Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning Limited)	565.48	Support in part	NU / policies / New policy	The submission proposes a new policy to give effect to NPSET, specific to the national grid. The wording 'seeking to' the prefixes avoid adverse effects of the... is not supported.	Allow the submission in part

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning Limited)	565.52	Oppose	NU / policies / New policy	The submission proposes a new policy to recognise the positive benefits of network utilities. Positive effects are required to be considered through a consent process under the proposed rule framework with this specifically included as a matter of discretion to be considered. The policy is not considered necessary.	Disallow the submission
Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning Limited)	565.48	Oppose	NU / policies / New policy	The submission proposes a new policy to give effect to NPSET, specific to the national grid. The NPSET provides policy direction for this scenario already, there is little value in replicating that in direction in this plan.	Disallow the submission
Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning Limited)	565.78	Support	NU / Rules	The submission requests matters of discretion be clearly set out as opposed to references to policies.	Allow the submission
Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga - Marlene Benson	520.43	Support	NU / Rules / Activities Rules	The submission requests changes in activity status to a number of rules to better enable Iwi and Hapū to be able to work with network utility providers to provide solutions and the necessary monitoring of these activities	Allow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Powerco Limited - Cheryl Cleary	568.39	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R1	The submission requests additional wording – ‘where practicable’. This proposed change makes it unclear as to when the rule would be triggered or not.	Disallow the submission
Greymouth Petroleum Limited - Tanya Hansen	548.48	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R2	The submission requests a change of wording from ‘removed’ to ‘remediated in accordance with best industry practise’. This proposed change makes it unclear as to when the rule would be triggered or not.	Disallow the submission
KiwiRail Holdings Limited - Pam Butler	514.28	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R2	The submission requests rules NU-R2 be amended to remove sub-clause 1 and asserts that there are no effects where infrastructure that is redundant lays dormant underground. This assertion is not correct, particularly with respect to infrastructure that remains within a site or area of significance to Māori, or in instances where it facilitates other contaminants being released into the environment (e.g. the GrainCorp Tallow spill at Port Taranaki early 2020). It is acknowledged that the timeframe may vary for different reasons.	Disallow the submission
Powerco Limited - Cheryl Cleary	568.40	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R2	The submission requests additional wording – ‘where practicable’. This proposed change makes it unclear as to when the rule would be triggered or not.	Disallow the submission



<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited - Graeme McCarrison and Tom Anderson	567.43	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R2	The submission requests rule NU-R2 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.	Disallow the submission
The Oil Companies (Z Energy, Mobil Oil New Zealand, BP Oil New Zealand) - Matthew Thode (4Sight Consulting)	551.38	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R2	The submission requests the rule parameter be changed to reference above ground infrastructure only. The reasoning provided does not align with our iwi management plan – Taiao, Taiora.	Disallow the submission
Chorus New Zealand Limited - Andrew Kantor and Tom Anderson	547.43	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R2	The submission requests rule NU-R2 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.	Disallow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Two Degrees Networks Limited - Ben Blakemore and Tom Anderson	569.43	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R2	The submission requests rule NU-R2 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.	Disallow the submission
Vodafone New Zealand Limited - Colin Clune and Tom Anderson	552.53	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R2	The submission requests rule NU-R2 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.	Disallow the submission
Chorus New Zealand Limited - Andrew Kantor and Tom Anderson	547.44	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R3	The submission requests rule NU-R3 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party	Disallow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
				outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.	
Federated Farmers Taranaki - Mark Hooper, Provincial President	564.100	Support	NU / Rules / NU-R3	The submission requests clarity in the rule that the effects of any upgrading activities will remain at the same scale, character and intensity	Allow the submission
Powerco Limited - Cheryl Cleary	568.44	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R3	The submission requests changes to the rule provision to allow the increase in height of a network utility by 30% or up to 25 metres whichever is the greater. This level of increase is not considered appropriate as a replacement, and may give rise of additional environmental effects in the receiving environment best managed through a consent process. Retain the rule as notified.	Disallow the submission
Powerco Limited - Cheryl Cleary	568.45	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R3	The submission requests changes to the rule provision to allow the increase in footprint y 50%. This level of increase is not considered appropriate as a replacement, and may give rise of additional environmental effects in the receiving environment best managed through a consent process. Retain the rule as notified.	Disallow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited - Graeme McCarrison and Tom Anderson	567.44	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R3	The submission requests rule NU-R3 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.	Disallow the submission
Two Degrees Networks Limited - Ben Blakemore and Tom Anderson	569.44	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R3	The submission requests rule NU-R3 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.	Disallow the submission
Vodafone New Zealand Limited - Colin Clune and Tom Anderson	552.45	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R3	The submission requests rule NU-R3 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party	Disallow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
				<p>outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.</p>	
<p>Chorus New Zealand Limited - Andrew Kantor and Tom Anderson</p>	<p>547.45</p>	<p>Oppose</p>	<p>NU / Rules / NU-R4</p>	<p>The submission requests rule NU-R4 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.</p> <p>The proposed rule references sites of cultural value to tangata whenua as being a parameter for the activity to be considered a discretionary activity. It is not clear what constitutes a site of cultural value to tangata whenua.</p>	<p>Disallow the submission</p>
<p>Spark New Zealand Trading Limited - Graeme McCarrison and Tom Anderson</p>	<p>567.45</p>	<p>Oppose</p>	<p>NU / Rules / NU-R4</p>	<p>The submission requests rule NU-R4 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party</p>	<p>Disallow the submission</p>

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
				<p>outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.</p> <p>The proposed rule references sites of cultural value to tangata whenua as being a parameter for the activity to be considered a discretionary activity. It is not clear what constitutes a site of cultural value to tangata whenua.</p>	
Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning Limited)	565.71	Support in part	NU / Rules / NU-R4	<p>The submission requests wording changes to be consistent with NESETA. The rule changes proposed must be limited to the national grid as opposed to all network utilities.</p> <p>There are additional rules which may apply to this activity in overlay chapters. As the P-NPDP is an e-plan providing a link to those rules could be included for ease of use (as opposed to importing or replicating them in the NU chapter)</p>	Allow the submission in part
Two Degrees Networks Limited - Ben Blakemore and Tom Anderson	569.45	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R4	<p>The submission requests rule NU-R4 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators</p>	Disallow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
				<p>Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.</p> <p>The proposed rule references sites of cultural value to tangata whenua as being a parameter for the activity to be considered a discretionary activity. It is not clear what constitutes a site of cultural value to tangata whenua.</p>	
Vodafone New Zealand Limited - Colin Clune and Tom Anderson	552.44	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R4	<p>The submission requests rule NU-R4 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.</p> <p>The proposed rule references sites of cultural value to tangata whenua as being a parameter for the activity to be considered a discretionary activity. It is not clear what constitutes a site of cultural value to tangata whenua.</p>	Disallow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning Limited)	565.70	Support	NU / Rules / NU-R5	The submission requests definition of 'customer connection' be included in the plan	Allow the submission
Two Degrees Networks Limited - Ben Blakemore and Tom Anderson	569.46	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R5	<p>The submission requests rule NU-R5 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.</p> <p>The proposed rule references sites of cultural value to tangata whenua as being a parameter for the activity to be considered a discretionary activity. It is not clear what constitutes a site of cultural value to tangata whenua.</p>	Disallow the submission
Vodafone New Zealand Limited - Colin Clune and Tom Anderson	552.43	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R5	The submission requests rule NU-R5 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party	Disallow the submission



<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
				<p>outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.</p> <p>The proposed rule references sites of cultural value to tangata whenua as being a parameter for the activity to be considered a discretionary activity. It is not clear what constitutes a site of cultural value to tangata whenua.</p>	
Chorus New Zealand Limited - Andrew Kantor and Tom Anderson	547.47	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R6	<p>The submission requests rule NU-R6 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.</p> <p>No detail is contained within the submission regarding IE-REQ1 Land Disturbance includes (or any other IE-REQ) which makes consideration of the proposed rule difficult.</p>	Disallow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited - Graeme McCarrison and Tom Anderson	567.47	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R6	<p>The submission requests rule NU-R6 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.</p> <p>No detail is contained within the submission regarding IE-REQ1 Land Disturbance includes (or any other IE-REQ) which makes consideration of the proposed rule difficult.</p>	Disallow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning Limited)	565.80	Support	NU / Rules / NU-R6	The submission requests matters of discretion are specified as opposed to referencing specific policies from the chapter.	Allow the submission
Two Degrees Networks Limited - Ben Blakemore and Tom Anderson	569.47	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R6	<p>The submission requests rule NU-R6 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.</p> <p>No detail is contained within the submission regarding IE-REQ1 Land Disturbance includes (or any other IE-REQ) which makes consideration of the proposed rule difficult.</p>	Disallow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Vodafone New Zealand Limited - Colin Clune and Tom Anderson	552.42	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R6	<p>The submission requests rule NU-R6 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.</p> <p>No detail is contained within the submission regarding IE-REQ1 Land Disturbance includes (or any other IE-REQ) which makes consideration of the proposed rule difficult.</p>	Disallow the submission
Chorus New Zealand Limited - Andrew Kantor and Tom Anderson	547.50	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R12	<p>The submission requests rule NU-R12 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend</p>	Disallow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
				<p>the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.</p> <p>No detail is contained within the submission regarding IE-REQ1 Land Disturbance includes (or any other IE-REQ) which makes consideration of the proposed rule difficult.</p>	
Johnson Resource Management Limited - Helen Johnson	484.14	Support	NU / Rules / NU-R12	The submission requests the rule is clarified to include the Māori Purpose Zone.	Allow the submission
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited - Graeme McCarrison and Tom Anderson	567.50	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R12	<p>The submission requests rule NU-R12 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.</p> <p>No detail is contained within the submission regarding IE-REQ1 Land Disturbance includes (or any other IE-REQ) which</p>	Disallow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
				<p>makes consideration of the proposed rule difficult.</p>	
<p>Two Degrees Networks Limited - Ben Blakemore and Tom Anderson</p>	<p>569.50</p>	<p>Oppose</p>	<p>NU / Rules / NU-R12</p>	<p>The submission requests rule NU-R12 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.</p> <p>No detail is contained within the submission regarding IE-REQ1 Land Disturbance includes (or any other IE-REQ) which makes consideration of the proposed rule difficult.</p>	<p>Disallow the submission</p>

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Vodafone New Zealand Limited - Colin Clune and Tom Anderson	552.46	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R12	<p>The submission requests rule NU-R12 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.</p> <p>No detail is contained within the submission regarding IE-REQ1 Land Disturbance includes (or any other IE-REQ) which makes consideration of the proposed rule difficult.</p>	Disallow the submission
Powerco Limited - Cheryl Cleary	568.56	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-13	The submission requests additional wording be added to the matters of discretion – ‘where this is practicable, and technically and economically feasible’. This wording increases the ambiguity of the rule and it is not clear how these might be assessed through a consent process.	Disallow the submission.

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Chorus New Zealand Limited - Andrew Kantor and Tom Anderson	547.52	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R14	The submission requests rule NU-R14 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.	Disallow the submission
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited - Graeme McCarrison and Tom Anderson	567.52	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R14	The submission requests rule NU-R14 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.	Disallow the submission
Two Degrees Networks Limited - Ben Blakemore and Tom Anderson	569.52	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R14	The submission requests rule NU-R14 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party	Disallow the submission



<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
				outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.	
Chorus New Zealand Limited - Andrew Kantor and Tom Anderson	547.53	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R15	The submission requests rule NU-R15 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.	Disallow the submission
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited - Graeme McCarrison and Tom Anderson	567.53	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R15	The submission requests rule NU-R15 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.	Disallow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Two Degrees Networks Limited - Ben Blakemore and Tom Anderson	569.53	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R15	The submission requests rule NU-R15 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.	Disallow the submission
Vodafone New Zealand Limited - Colin Clune and Tom Anderson	552.51	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R15	The submission requests rule NU-R15 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.	Disallow the submission
Chorus New Zealand Limited - Andrew Kantor and Tom Anderson	547.54	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R16	The submission requests rule NU-R16 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party	Disallow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
				<p>outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.</p> <p>The proposed rule references sites of cultural value to tangata whenua as being a parameter for the activity to be considered a discretionary activity. It is not clear what constitutes a site of cultural value to tangata whenua.</p>	
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited - Graeme McCarrison and Tom Anderson	567.54	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R16	<p>The submission requests rule NU-R16 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.</p> <p>The proposed rule references sites of cultural value to tangata whenua as being a parameter for the activity to be considered a discretionary activity. It is not clear what constitutes a site of cultural value to tangata whenua.</p>	Disallow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Two Degrees Networks Limited - Ben Blakemore and Tom Anderson	569.54	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R16	<p>The submission requests rule NU-R16 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.</p> <p>The proposed rule references sites of cultural value to tangata whenua as being a parameter for the activity to be considered a discretionary activity. It is not clear what constitutes a site of cultural value to tangata whenua.</p>	Disallow the submission
Vodafone New Zealand Limited - Colin Clune and Tom Anderson	569.54	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R16	<p>The submission requests rule NU-R16 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.</p>	Disallow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
				<p>The proposed rule references sites of cultural value to tangata whenua as being a parameter for the activity to be considered a discretionary activity. It is not clear what constitutes a site of cultural value to tangata whenua.</p>	
Chorus New Zealand Limited - Andrew Kantor and Tom Anderson	547.55	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R17	<p>The submission requests rule NU-R17 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.</p> <p>The proposed rule references sites of cultural value to tangata whenua as being a parameter for the activity to be considered a discretionary activity. It is not clear what constitutes a site of cultural value to tangata whenua.</p>	Disallow the submission
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited - Graeme McCarrison and Tom Anderson	567.55	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R17	<p>The submission requests rule NU-R17 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including</p>	Disallow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
				<p>those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.</p> <p>The proposed rule references sites of cultural value to tangata whenua as being a parameter for the activity to be considered a discretionary activity. It is not clear what constitutes a site of cultural value to tangata whenua.</p>	
Two Degrees Networks Limited - Ben Blakemore and Tom Anderson	569.55	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R17	<p>The submission requests rule NU-R17 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.</p> <p>The proposed rule references sites of cultural value to tangata whenua as being a parameter for the activity to be considered a discretionary activity. It is not clear what</p>	Disallow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
				constitutes a site of cultural value to tangata whenua.	
Vodafone New Zealand Limited - Colin Clune and Tom Anderson	552.58	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R17	<p>The submission requests rule NU-R17 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.</p> <p>The proposed rule references sites of cultural value to tangata whenua as being a parameter for the activity to be considered a discretionary activity. It is not clear what constitutes a site of cultural value to tangata whenua.</p>	Disallow the submission
Chorus New Zealand Limited - Andrew Kantor and Tom Anderson	547.56	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R18	The submission requests rule NU-R18 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party	Disallow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
				<p>outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.</p> <p>The proposed rule references sites of cultural value to tangata whenua as being a parameter for the activity to be considered a discretionary activity. It is not clear what constitutes a site of cultural value to tangata whenua.</p>	
Powerco Limited - Cheryl Cleary	568.58	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R18	The submission proposes additional wording in the matters of discretion that increase the ambiguity of the provision; it is not clear how the matters proposed to be included would be assessed through a resource consent process.	Disallow the submission
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited - Graeme McCarrison and Tom Anderson	567.56	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R18	The submission requests rule NU-R18 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.	Disallow the submission



<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
				<p>The proposed rule references sites of cultural value to tangata whenua as being a parameter for the activity to be considered a discretionary activity. It is not clear what constitutes a site of cultural value to tangata whenua.</p>	
Two Degrees Networks Limited - Ben Blakemore and Tom Anderson	569.56	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R18	<p>The submission requests rule NU-R18 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.</p> <p>The proposed rule references sites of cultural value to tangata whenua as being a parameter for the activity to be considered a discretionary activity. It is not clear what constitutes a site of cultural value to tangata whenua.</p>	Disallow the submission
Vodafone New Zealand Limited - Colin Clune and Tom Anderson	552.59	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R18	<p>The submission requests rule NU-R18 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party</p>	Disallow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
				<p>outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.</p> <p>The proposed rule references sites of cultural value to tangata whenua as being a parameter for the activity to be considered a discretionary activity. It is not clear what constitutes a site of cultural value to tangata whenua.</p>	
Greymouth Petroleum Limited - Tanya Hansen	548.49	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R19	<p>The submission requests changes to the rule parameter to a) reference the regional freshwater plan and consents issued under that plan as resulting in activities being excluded from requiring consent under the proposed rule. The regional freshwater plan regulates different effects of the activity and therefore cannot be relied upon in the manner requested.</p> <p>The second change to the rule parameter is to amend the wording from 'removed' to 'are remediated in accordance with best industry practise'. The proposed wording is not clear and it is not certain when the rule parameter is triggered or not.</p>	Disallow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Methanex New Zealand Limited - Juliet Larkin	509.7	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-19	The submission requests word changes to reference AS2885. This in effect makes the standard a defacto rule which is not considered appropriate, and resulted in a more ambiguous rule parameter where it is not clear when the rule is triggered or not	Disallow the submission
The Oil Companies (Z Energy, Mobil Oil New Zealand, BP Oil New Zealand) - Matthew Thode (4Sight Consulting)	551.40	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-19	The submission requests wording changes to the rule parameter limiting the removal of infrastructure to above ground structures. This is not appropriate, in particular where the infrastructure is located within a site or area of significance to Māori, and other areas included in the overlay provisions of the P-NPDP.	Disallow the submission
Powerco Limited - Cheryl Cleary	568.62	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R22	The submission proposes additional wording in the matters of discretion that increase the ambiguity of the provision; it is not clear how the matters proposed to be included would be assessed through a resource consent process.	Disallow the submission
Climate Justice Taranaki Incorporated - Catherine Cheung	311.10	Support	NU / Rules / Rules for network utilities – three waters	The submission requests new rules which include the creation and support of community-based systems that enable local communities and businesses to become more self- sufficient for water supply, stormwater, and wastewater management. These align with the provisions of Taiao, Tairora	Allow the submission
<b>Traffic and transport</b>					

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.111	Support	TRAN	The submission requests the Transport Chapter should be amended to reduce the weight given to single mode private vehicle transport. Greater weight should be given to sustainable, public and active modes of transport. The submitter considers that this would accord with Strategic Objective UDF-19 and Taiao, Taiora	Allow the submission
<b>Hazards and Risks</b>					
<b>Contaminated Land</b>					
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.99a	Support	CL	Support the relief sought and note that this is consistent with the outcomes sought in other iwi planning documents in the District.	Allow the submission in full
The Oil Companies (Z Energy, Mobil Oil New Zealand, BP Oil New Zealand) - Matthew Thode (4Sight Consulting)	551.63	Support in Part	CL	The submission seeks to include wording 'for the intended' into the policy with respect to the level of remediation required. It is important to note that intended land use is one of a number of considerations when determining to what level land should be remediated to, others being the relationship and effects areas of significant natural features and landforms, waterbodies, indigenous biodiversity, historic heritage and sites and areas of significance to Māori.	Allow the submission in part
<b>Hazardous Substances</b>					

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Petroleum Exploration and Production Association of NZ Inc - Joshua O'Rourke	539.25	Support in part	HAZS	<p>The submission requests clarity regarding what is meant by 'identified features'. This is supported. The submission goes further to request that these features are identified now. It is not always possible to do so (e.g. previously unrecorded archaeology where the archaeological record is not complete).</p> <p>It is important to note that the requirement to provide complete information into the consent process is on the applicant; this reiterates the need for cultural expertise to be engaged to inform the development of applications and the resource consent process.</p>	Allow the submission in part
Greymouth Petroleum Limited - Tanya Hansen	548.53	Oppose	HAZS	<p>The submission suggests that a qualitative risk assessment (QRA) for existing sites is not required as well sites are generic. The receiving environments are not, and that is why it is considered a QRA is required, and updated as the context which a wellsite sits within changes. This includes areas/features/values within the landscape significant to tangata whenua and how that relationship is provided for.</p>	Disallow the submission
The Oil Companies (Z Energy, Mobil Oil New Zealand, BP Oil New Zealand) - Matthew Thode (4Sight Consulting)	551.74	Oppose	HAZS	<p>In many instances the facilities referenced in the submission were established with limited consideration of tangata whenua and their relationship and values with those locations. Considering these through subsequent upgrade, development and the</p>	Disallow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
				like is appropriate. The relief sought to limit the policy to new sites only is not considered efficient or effective in the management of important features/areas/values of the district, many of which are matters of national importance.	
The Oil Companies (Z Energy, Mobil Oil New Zealand, BP Oil New Zealand) - Matthew Thode (4Sight Consulting)	551.76	Oppose	HAZS	The submission requests that the policy be amended to remove references to the rehabilitation of the site, and a range of other matters material to the consideration whether the activity is appropriate in a location or not. This policy direction is considered necessary, with more generic policy direction in other chapters not always best suited for the particular activities associated with major hazards facilities.	Disallow the submission
New Plymouth District Council - Juliet Johnson	582.16	Support	HAZS	Support the addition of the catchments listed in the relief sought	Allow the submission
The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated - Tom Kay, Regional Manager	487.26	Support	HAZS	The submissions seeks to amend the rule to recognise that waterbodies are connected, and ultimately the coastal environment and therefore manage hazardous facilities in proximity to all waterbodies	Allow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.85d	Support	HAZS	The relief sought for this rule aligns with Taiao, Taiora	Allow the submission
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.85e	Support	HAZS	The relief sought for this rule aligns with Taiao, Taiora	Allow the submission
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.85f	Support	HAZS	The relief sought for this rule aligns with Taiao, Taiora	Allow the submission
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.85g	Support	HAZS	The relief sought for this rule aligns with Taiao, Taiora	Allow the submission
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.85h	Support	HAZS	The relief sought for this rule aligns with Taiao, Taiora	Allow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.85h	Support	HAZS	The relief sought for this rule aligns with Taiao, Taiora	Allow the submission
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.85i	Support	HAZS	The relief sought for this rule aligns with Taiao, Taiora	Allow the submission
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.85j	Support	HAZS	The relief sought for this rule aligns with Taiao, Taiora	Allow the submission
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.85k	Support	HAZS	The relief sought for this rule aligns with Taiao, Taiora	Allow the submission
<b>Natural Hazards</b>					
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.86	Support	NH	The relief sought for this rule aligns with Taiao, Taiora	Allow the submission



<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga - Marlene Benson	520.71	Support	NH	The relief sought for this rule aligns with Taiao, Taiora	Allow the submission
Trustpower Limited - Shelby Managh	544.103	Oppose	NH	Rules pertaining to the management of activities and their effects on waterbodies are considered to be best placed in the waterbodies chapter.	Disallow the submission
<b>Historic and Cultural Values</b>					
<b>Entrance Corridors</b>					
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.90	Support	ECOR	Ensuring the is sufficient scope to consider the affects of a proposal in an entrance corridor on the relationship of tangata whenua with their ancestral lands is supported as set out in the submission.	Allow the submission
<b>Historic Heritage</b>					
Kāinga Ora - Homes and Communities - Dr Claire Kirman	563.296	Oppose	HH / General	The relief sought, in particular items 4 to 11 do not take into account the provisions of Taiao, Taiora Iwi Management Plan, or the requirement to protect historic heritage resources.	Disallow the submission.

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
				<p>The relief sought by the submitter looks to remove a range of rule triggers to manage activities in proximity to archaeological sites. This is not supported; there is a long history of historic heritage resources being subject to inappropriate subdivision, use or development in the absence of provisions which manage activities in proximity to a site/area/feature, or recognise that the archaeological record for the District is incomplete and there remains significant risk that historic heritage resources that were previously unrecorded from being encountered at time of development.</p> <p>The submission also suggests that accidental discovery protocols (ADP) provide sufficient protection for areas/items/features outside of 50 metres from a scheduled site. This is not supported; and ADP does little to protect historic heritage as required as a matter of national importance under the RMA.</p>	
New Plymouth District Council - Juliet Johnson	582.37a	Support in Part	HH / General	Support the proposal to change from 'verified' to 'mapped extent' but note the caveat that these extents should be expected to change as the archaeological record becomes more complete. A recent example is Tapuirau.	Allow the submission in Part

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Ngāti Rāhiri Hapū o Te Atiawa (Taranaki) Society Inc - Keith Holswich	503.46	Support	HH/Overview	The submission has identified an issue in relation to the description of silent files and their location with respect to a centroid on planning maps. This submission is supported.	Allow the submission
Ngā Mahanga and Ngāti Tairi - Fay Mulligan	524.72a	Support	HH/O1	The submission requests that HH-O1 is retained as notified. This is supported noting that the protection of Historic Heritage is a matter of national significance and this is a key provision within the plan to implement that requirement	Allow the submission
Ngā Mahanga and Ngāti Tairi - Fay Mulligan	524.72b	Support	HH/O2	The submission requests that HH-O2 is retained as notified. This is supported noting that the protection of Historic Heritage is a matter of national significance and this is a key provision within the plan to implement that requirement	Allow the submission
Ngā Mahanga and Ngāti Tairi - Fay Mulligan	524.72c	Support	HH/O3	The submission requests that HH-O3 is retained as notified. This is supported noting that the protection of Historic Heritage is a matter of national significance and this is a key provision within the plan to implement that requirement	Allow the submission
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga - Caroline Rachlin	522.21	Support in Part	HH/Policies/General	The submission requests a range of changes to the policies of the chapter intended to clarify their purpose, consistency of language used and ensure the policies are comprehensive to achieve the purpose of the RMA.	Allow the submission in part

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Heritage Taranaki Inc - Ivan Bruce	297.2	Support	HH / Policies / HH-P1	The submission requests that HH-P1 is retained as notified. This is supported noting that the protection of Historic Heritage is a matter of national significance and this is a key provision within the plan to implement that requirement	Allow the submission
Heritage Taranaki Inc - Ivan Bruce	297.3a	Support	HH / Policies / HH-P2	The submission requests that HH-P2 is retained as notified. This is supported noting that the protection of Historic Heritage is a matter of national significance and this is a key provision within the plan to implement that requirement	Allow the submission
Heritage Taranaki Inc - Ivan Bruce	297.4	Support	HH / Policies / HH-P3	The submission requests that HH-P3 is retained as notified. This is supported noting that the protection of Historic Heritage is a matter of national significance and this is a key provision within the plan to implement that requirement	Allow the submission
Trustpower Limited - Shelby Managh	544.90	Oppose	HH/Policies/H H-P3	The submission requests additional wording be added to the policy. The use of 'where practicable' is not considered appropriate for polices as it reduces the clarity of the policy and the direction for plan uses as this related to historic heritage.	Disallow the submission
Heritage Taranaki Inc - Ivan Bruce	297.5	Support	HH / Policies / HH-P5	The submission requests that HH-P5 is retained as notified. This is supported noting that the protection of Historic Heritage is a matter of national significance and this is a key provision within the plan to implement that requirement. The submission requests the inclusion of	Allow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
				heritage character areas. These character areas should be extended to include cultural landscapes.	
Heritage Taranaki Inc - Ivan Bruce	297.6	Support	HH / Policies / HH-P5	The submission requests that HH-P5 is retained as notified. This is supported noting that the protection of Historic Heritage is a matter of national significance and this is a key provision within the plan to implement that requirement	Allow the submission
Heritage Taranaki Inc - Ivan Bruce	297.8	Support	HH / Policies / HH-P6	The submission requests that HH-P6 is retained as notified. This is supported noting that the protection of Historic Heritage is a matter of national significance and this is a key provision within the plan to implement that requirement	Allow the submission
Heritage Taranaki Inc - Ivan Bruce	297.7	Support in Part	HH / Policies / HH-P7	The submission requests that HH-P7 is clarified with respect to ensuring that implementation of the policy is informed by a heritage officer (or similar). Ensuring cultural expertise with respect to mana whenua also informs the implementation of this policy is also required.	Allow the submission in part
KiwiRail Holdings Limited - Pam Butler	514.47	Oppose	HH / Policies / HH-P8	The submission requests additional wording be included in the policy – ‘where possible’. This is not supported as it introduces further ambiguity regarding the application of this policy which may reduce its effectiveness at managing activities as they impact upon historic heritage.	Disallow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Heritage Taranaki Inc - Ivan Bruce	297.9	Support	HH / Policies / HH-P8	The submission requests that HH-P8 is retained as notified. This is supported noting that the protection of Historic Heritage is a matter of national significance and this is a key provision within the plan to implement that requirement	Allow the submission
Heritage Taranaki Inc - Ivan Bruce	297.11	Support	HH / Policies / HH-P9	The submission requests that HH-P9 is retained as notified. This is supported noting that the protection of Historic Heritage is a matter of national significance and this is a key provision within the plan to implement that requirement	Allow the submission
Heritage Taranaki Inc - Ivan Bruce	297.10	Support	HH / Policies / HH-P10	The submission requests that HH-P10 is retained as notified. This is supported noting that the protection of Historic Heritage is a matter of national significance and this is a key provision within the plan to implement that requirement	Allow the submission
Heritage Taranaki Inc - Ivan Bruce	297.12	Support	HH / Policies / HH-P11	The submission requests that HH-P11 is retained as notified. This is supported noting that the protection of Historic Heritage is a matter of national significance and this is a key provision within the plan to implement that requirement. The relief to increase the built heritage and natural protection grants to provide for more 'on-the-ground' protection of archaeological sites; this is supported	Allow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Heritage Taranaki Inc - Ivan Bruce	297.16	Support	HH / Policies / HH-P12	The submission requests that HH-P12 is retained as notified. This is supported noting that the protection of Historic Heritage is a matter of national significance and this is a key provision within the plan to implement that requirement	Allow the submission
Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning Limited)	565.97	Oppose	HH / Policies / HH-P14	The submission requests additional wording – ‘scheduled’. This limits the application of the policy to those sites scheduled in a plan. The archaeological record for the district is incomplete. It is acknowledged that the primary means by which protection of historic heritage is provided for is by scheduling items or areas in the District Plan. However, even if an item or area is not scheduled, section 6(f) may still apply in favour of protection and policy direction must allow for this to be considered on a case by case basis through the consent process. For this reason the submission should be disallowed	Disallow the submission
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga - Caroline Rachlin	522.42	Support in part	HH / Policies / New Policy	The submission requests a further work programme to add historic heritage is required and should be reflected in the policy framework. This intent is supported.	Allow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga - Caroline Rachlin	522.50	Support in part	HH / Policies / New Policy	The submission requests a further policy to avoid destruction of archaeological sites that results in an irreversible loss of historic heritage values coupled with a non-complying rule This intent is supported.	Allow the submission
Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning Limited)	565.92	Oppose	HH / Rules	The submission requests a new rule in the network utilities chapter that provides for new network utilities located within a scheduled archaeological site. This is not supported, a rule framework and consent pathway exists for buildings and structures (and associated work) where they are located on or within proximity to an archaeological site. A new rule is not considered necessary	Disallow the submission
Heritage Taranaki Inc - Ivan Bruce	297.32	Support	HH / Rules / HH-R10	The submission introduces new wording regarding the grazing of livestock on archaeological sites, and several examples where this is resulting in damage to these areas. New wording in the rule is designed to manage these effects; this intent is supported.	Allow the submission
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga - Caroline Rachlin	522.55	Support	HH / Rules / HH-R12	The submission requests HH-R12 is retained as notified. This is supported for the reasons stated in the submission.	Allow the submission



<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning Limited)	565.101	Oppose	HH / Rules / HH-R13	The submission requests this rule is moved to the Network Utilities Chapter. This is not supported as the rule sits within a broader rule framework and associated policy direction which is best located within the Heritage section of the plan.	Disallow the submission
Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning Limited)	565.90	Oppose	HH / Rules / HH-R13	<p>The submission requests changes to the rule with respect to certain activities within proximity to a site and activity status. The reasons for a setback are well understood, noting the archaeological record in the District is not complete, and that the full extent of sites are not always known and mapped despite best endeavours.</p> <p>A permitted activity status is not appropriate. As noted above, the notice to tangata whenua prior to these works occurring is a current requirement in the Operative District Plan. To date no notice has ever been received in relation to those requirements which gives little confidence that this requirement would be met by network utility operators. The consent process is the only process available to manage these works and potentially provide for a level of engagement with tangata whenua recognising their relationship with these sites, areas and features.</p>	Disallow the submission.

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning Limited)	565.93	Oppose	HH / Rules / HH-R13	A permitted activity status is not appropriate. As noted above, the notice to tangata whenua prior to these works occurring is a current requirement in the Operative District Plan. To date no notice has ever been received in relation to those requirements which gives little confidence that this requirement would be met by network utility operators. The consent process is the only process available to manage these works and potentially provide for a level of engagement with tangata whenua recognising their relationship with these sites, areas and features.	Disallow the submission.
Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning Limited)	565.91	Oppose	HH / Rules / HH-R17	The submission requests earthworks and land-disturbance associated with new and existing network utilities be excluded from this provision where provided for by new rules in the NU chapter. The proposed changes effectively permit the destruction of historic heritage which is not considered appropriate, and out of step with higher order planning documents.	Disallow the submission
Johnson Resource Management Limited - Helen Johnson	484.44	Oppose	HH / Rules / HH-18	The submission requests a different activity status for subdivision creating a new allotment separated from an archaeological site by 50 metres.  Part of the purpose of the subdivision provision is to ensure a site retains its context with surrounding areas. This is	Disallow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
				<p>variable from site to site. Introducing another generic parameter to all sites is not considered appropriate.</p> <p>The rule parameter requested includes an additional requirement 'provided the use of land on which the site is contained does not change substantially as a result of the subdivision' is too ambiguous, and not appropriate to determine rule compliance or otherwise.</p>	
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga - Caroline Rachlin	522.39	Support in Part	HH / Rules / New Rules	The submission requests new rules in relation to heritage areas. This intent is supported subject to the specific wording of rule parameters.	Allow the submission in part
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga - Caroline Rachlin	522.61b	Support in Part	HH / Rules / New Rules	The submission requests a new rule for the demolition or destruction of scheduled archaeological sites as a non-complying activity. The intent of this is supported subject to the specific wording of the rule parameter.	Allow the submission in part
New Plymouth District Council - Juliet Johnson	582.19	Support in Part	HH / Rules / New Rule	The submission requests a new rule which provides for the partial demolition or partial removal of a heritage building or item as a restricted discretionary or discretionary activity in particular circumstances. The intent to add a rule to manage this activity is supported, subject to the specific wording of the rule parameter and activity status.	Allow the submission in part

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
				<p>It is noted that the relief sought would be provided by the rule framework sought in our original submission in relation to archaeological sites.</p>	
New Plymouth District Council - Juliet Johnson	582.23	Support in Part	HH / Rules / New Rule	<p>The submission requests a new rule and appropriate discretionary activity standards as follows (or use wording with similar effect): HH-R16 - Additions to or alterations of a structure that is or forms part of a scheduled archaeological site All zones Activity Status: DIS.</p> <p>The intent to add a rule to manage this activity is supported, subject to the specific wording of the rule parameter and activity status.</p> <p>It is noted that the relief sought would be provided by the rule framework sought in our original submission in relation to archaeological sites.</p>	Allow the submission in part
New Plymouth District Council - Juliet Johnson	582.24	Support in Part	HH / Rules / New Rule	<p>The submission requests a new HH rule and appropriate discretionary activity standards as follows (or use wording with similar effect): HH-R17 - Removal of a structure which is or forms part of a scheduled archaeological site from a scheduled archaeological site All zones Activity Status: DIS</p>	Allow the submission in part

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
				<p>The intent to add a rule to manage this activity is supported, subject to the specific wording of the rule parameter and activity status.</p> <p>It is noted that the relief sought would be provided by the rule framework sought in our original submission in relation to archaeological sites.</p>	
New Plymouth District Council - Juliet Johnson	582.25	Support in Part	HH / Rules / New Rule	<p>The submission requests a new rule and appropriate discretionary standards as follows (or use wording with similar effect):            HH-R18 – Demolition or destruction of a scheduled archaeological site            All zones Activity Status: DIS</p> <p>The intent to add a rule to manage this activity is supported, subject to the specific wording of the rule parameter and activity status.</p> <p>It is noted that the relief sought would be provided by the rule framework sought in our original submission in relation to archaeological sites.</p>	Allow the submission in part
<b>Tree – Notable trees</b>					
Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga - Marlene Benson	520.76	Support	TREE / Policies / New Policy	<p>The submission requests a new policy that acknowledge that only tangata whenua are able to identify trees which have cultural heritage to them. The submitter considers that this would be consistent with Strategic Objective TW-9.</p>	Allow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
<b>SASM – Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori</b>					
Kāinga Ora - Homes and Communities - Dr Claire Kirman	563.311	Oppose	SASM	<p>The requested changes in the submission do not take into account the provisions of Taiao, Taiora Iwi Management Plan, or the requirement to protect historic heritage resources.</p> <p>The relief sought by the submitter looks to remove a range of rule triggers to manage activities in proximity to SASM. This is not supported; there is a long history of historic heritage resources being subject to inappropriate subdivision, use or development in the absence of provisions which manage activities in proximity to a site/area/feature, or recognise that the archaeological record for the District is incomplete and there remains significant risk that historic heritage resources that were previously unrecorded from being encountered at time of development.</p> <p>The submission also suggests that accidental discovery protocols (ADP) provide sufficient protection for areas/items/features outside of 50 metres from a scheduled site. This is not supported; and ADP does little to protect historic heritage as required as a matter of national importance under the RMA.</p>	Disallow the submission.

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
New Plymouth District Council - Juliet Johnson	582.37b	Support	SASM	The submission requests a change in wording in the title of SCH3 from 'verified' to 'mapped extent'. This is considered to be clearer language that reflects the status of information contained within the schedule	Allow the submission
Te Kāhui o Taranaki Trust - Wharehoka Wano	534.88	Support	SASM / Policies / SASM-P1	The submission infers relief that the objectives and policies of the SASM chapter (and others as they relate to SASM) are not limited to those sites listed in Schedule 3 of the proposed District Plan	Allow the submission and make consequential amendments throughout the rest of the SASM Objectives and Policies to remove references to 'scheduling' of a site within the provision.
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga - Caroline Rachlin	522.66	Support	SASM / Policies / SASM-P1	The submission requests that SASM-O1 is retained as notified.	Allow the submission
The Oil Companies (Z Energy, Mobil Oil New Zealand, BP Oil New Zealand) - Matthew Thode (4Sight Consulting)	551.112	Oppose in part	SASM / Policies / SASM-P2	The submission requests the wording 'unless there is no practicable alternative'. This is not considered appropriate in this policy context, nor is it clear what constitutes what is practicable or not.	Disallow the submission
Te Kāhui o Taranaki Trust - Wharehoka Wano	534.86	Support in part	SASM / Policies / SASM-P3	<p>The submission requested changes in wording to policy SASM-P3. In addition the word 'scheduled' must be removed and the wording of the policy read as follows:</p> <p><i>Allow the following activities to occur on, <del>or adjacent to</del> or in proximity to scheduled</i></p>	Allow the submission in part

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
				<p><i>sites and areas of significance to Māori, while ensuring their design...</i></p> <p>The policy framework must allow for site which have not been recorded for reasons stated in the original submission, including the fact that the archaeological record, and SASM inventory for the District is incomplete.</p>	
Te Kāhui o Taranaki Trust - Wharehoka Wano	534.85	Support in part	SASM / Policies / SASM-P4	<p>The submission requested changes in wording to policy SASM-P4. In addition the word 'scheduled' must be removed and the wording of the policy read as follows:</p> <p><i>Manage activities that occur on, <del>or adjacent</del> in proximity to scheduled sites and areas of significance to Māori that have the potential to compromise cultural...</i></p> <p>The policy framework must allow for site which have not been recorded for reasons stated in the original submission, including the fact that the archaeological record, and SASM inventory for the District is incomplete.</p>	Allow the submission in part
The Oil Companies (Z Energy, Mobil Oil New Zealand, BP Oil New Zealand) - Matthew Thode (4Sight Consulting)	551.113a	Oppose	SASM / Policies / SASM-P4	<p>The submission requests the wording 'practicable' be added to the policy in place of 'possible'. This is not considered appropriate in this policy context, nor is it clear what constitutes what is practicable or not.</p>	Disallow the submission



<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga - Marlene Benson	520.88	Support	SASM / Policies / SASM-P5	The submission requests wording changes to the policy that improve its clarity with respect to tangata whenua and their expert advice.	Allow the submission
The Oil Companies (Z Energy, Mobil Oil New Zealand, BP Oil New Zealand) - Matthew Thode (4Sight Consulting)	551.113b	Oppose	SASM / Policies / SASM-P5	<p>The submission requests additional wording that recognises situations where a site may already be affected by development that is considered regionally significant.</p> <p>SASM are matters of national importance that are to be protected. The proposed wording reduces the ability to achieve required protection. The operation needs of an activity and/or the level to which a site is already affected are subservient to the requirement to protect those areas, and the relationship tangata whenua hold with those sites.</p> <p>The submission requests the wording 'practicable' be added to the policy in place of 'possible'. This is not considered appropriate in this policy context, nor is it clear what constitutes what is practicable or not.</p>	Disallow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga - Caroline Rachlin	522.72	Support	SASM / Policies / New Policy	The submission requests a new policy specifically to avoid the destruction or demolition of sites and areas of significance to Māori. Implementation of this policy with associated rule with non-complying activity status is also requested.	Allow the submission
Dow AgroSciences (NZ) Limited - Daniel Minhinnick	472.1	Oppose	SASM / Rules	The submission requests and exclusion for earthworks associated with minor repairs and maintenance. It is not clear what scale of works are considered minor, similarly the impact of earthworks on different sites can be different.	Disallow the submission
Z Energy Limited - Sean Stirling (4Sight Consulting)	284.5	Oppose	SASM / Rules / SASM-R1	The submission requests additional wording to the rule parameter permitting earthworks that are limited to an area that has previously been disturbed. It is not considered that this is an enforceable provision. It is also not clear what monitoring of previous earthworks for archaeological material, or material associated with the SASM and therefore what risk there is of that material remaining in-situ or partially in-situ. There are numerous instances where earthworks in previously disturbed areas have encountered archaeological material or material associated with a SASM.	Disallow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Federated Farmers Taranaki - Mark Hooper, Provincial President	564.152	Oppose	SASM / Rules / SASM-R1	The submission requests a number of other activities (like track maintenance and cropping) are provide for as permitted activities. These activities routinely interfere with SASM in the District. The consent process is the most appropriate process to manage the effects of those activities. As noted in the submission archaeological sites are often unknown to a farmer – this increases the need for these activities to be managed through a consent process.	Disallow the submission
Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga - Marlene Benson	520.48	Support	SASM / Rules / SASM-R4	The submission articulates why it is not appropriate for the maintenance and repair works of network utilities, or other underground infrastructure as referenced in other submissions as a permitted activity. We note that these reasons apply to our rohe also.	Allow the submission
Port Taranaki Limited - Guy Roper	525.41	Oppose	SASM / Rules / SASM-R5- SASM-R7	The submission requests that the rule trigger of 50m from the extend of a SASM be reduced. The location of the rule trigger takes into account the fact that the full extent of a site is not known at time the proposed plan was notified despite best endeavours. Often additional features on the periphery of sites are located at time of development which were previously unrecorded. A recent example of this is at Tapuirau and the summerset development.	Disallow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>		<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>	
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga - Caroline Rachlin	522.81	Support in part	SASM / Rules / SASM-R5- SASM-R7	The submission requests the rule parameters are amended to provide greater clarity regarding activity status and where the rule is triggered in relation to the extent of a SASM, and to ensure that these are consistent with relevant policy direction	Allow the submission in part
Harvey Norman Properties (NZ) Limited - Daniel Shao (Haines Planning Consultants Limited)	502.14	Oppose	SASM / Rules / SASM-R8	The submission requests a change of rule for a specific site. The relief sought would effect sites across the District which is not considered appropriate. The site referred to in the submission has been in the Operative District Plan since 2005 subject to a similar rule provision to that proposed in the P-NPDP.	Disallow the submission
Federated Farmers Taranaki - Mark Hooper, Provincial President	564.164	Oppose	SASM / Rules / SASM-R8	The submission requests a number of other activities (like track maintenance and cropping) are provide for as permitted activities. These activities routinely interfere with SASM in the District. The consent process is the most appropriate process to manage the effects of those activities. As noted in the submission archaeological sites are often unknown to a farmer – this increases the need for these activities to be managed through a consent process.	Disallow the submission
Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning Limited)	565.104	Oppose	SASM / Rules / SASM-R8	The submission requests earthworks associated with network utilites are excluded from this rule. Irrespective of the activity, earthworks pose significant risk to the protection of historic heritage and as such must be managed through a resource consent process as set in our principal submission.	Disallow the submission.

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning Limited)	565.105	Oppose	SASM / Rules / New Rule	<p>The submission requests a new rule be added with respect to SASM into the network utilities chapter. Irrespective of the activity, earthworks pose significant risk to the protection of historic heritage and as such must be managed through a resource consent process as set in our principal submission.</p> <p>The matters of discretion proposed include references to the functional need of a network utility as well as the connectedness to other network utilities. It is considered that alternative locations for network utilities outside of a SASM should be the starting point, and given the limited area of the District that has a SASM located on it, this should not be difficult. Where this is not able to be achieved then a consenting path already exists within the rule framework; and alternative pathway is not considered justified or necessary.</p>	Disallow the submission
New Plymouth District Council - Juliet Johnson	580.36	Support in part	SASM / Rules / New Rule	<p>The submission requests a new rule be added to regulate the demolition or destruction of a scheduled site of significance to Māori as follows:            SASM-R7 – Demolition or destruction of a scheduled archaeological site            All zones Activity Status: DIS</p> <p>It is considered the activity status for this activity must be prohibited.</p>	Allow the submission in part

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>	<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>		
<b>SCHED3 – Schedule of Archaeological Sites or Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori</b>					
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga – Caroline Rachlin	522.143	Support	SCHED3 / Archaeological Sites or Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori	Submission requests alignment between the extents of sites shown on the HNZPT list and those included in the District Plan. Specifically mentions Te Koru Pa. It is considered that where there is a difference in extent between the list and plan, the larger extent should be taken.	Allow the submission in part
Technix group of companies, John and Lynda Matthews Partnership - John and Lynda Matthews	529.10	Oppose	SCHED3 / Archaeological Sites or Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori	The submission questions sites listed on their property, specifically mentioning Kaipopo pa. No alternative evidence is provided in the submission.	Disallow the submission
Technix group of companies, John and Lynda Matthews Partnership - John and Lynda Matthews	529.12	Oppose	SCHED3 / Archaeological Sites or Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori	The submission questions the sites listed on their property. No alternative evidence is provided in the submission.	Disallow the submission
Heather Krutz	114.1	Oppose	SCHED3 / Archaeological Sites or Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori	The submission asserts that the site is not a SASM as it is St Patricks Redoubt that is not Māori in origin. Whilst the redoubt is British, there is substantial associations that mana whenua have with the site, including an ambush of the redoubt (by Māori) in 1863. This one of the key locations associated with the Tataraimaka Block, and the Omata Block.	Disallow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Paul Lobb	71.1	Support in Part	SCHED3 / Archaeological Sites or Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori / Site IDs 37, 87	The submission requests the location of the site on planning maps is amended based on archaeological advice. This is support if mana whenua also recommend the site be re-located to the position noted in the submission.	Allow the submission in part
Brian Husband	163.1	Oppose	SCHED3 / Archaeological Sites or Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori / Site IDs 70	The submission requests the site be moved to another location. This is not possible as the location shown on planning maps is where the Pā site is.	Disallow the submission
John Morrison on behalf of John Macwilliam Morrison, Elizabeth Moire Morrison and Robert John Mills	152.3	Oppose	SCHED3 / Archaeological Sites or Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori / Site ID 177	<p>The submission requests a number of rule changes around the site to facilitate a range of activities.</p> <p>The submission requests the information for the site be tested; this first schedule process is the most appropriate process to test the evidence supporting the inclusion of the site in Schedule 3.</p>	Disallow the submission
Taranaki Regional Council - Fred McLay	549.3b	Oppose	SCHED3 / Archaeological Sites or Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori / Site IDs 200, 212, 213	The submission incorrectly identifies site ID 200 as not being of significance to Māori. The kokowai resource the mine is based upon is of significance and therefore it is appropriate that it is retained in SCHED3 as a SASM	Disallow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Linda Gray	84.1	Support in Part	SCHED3 / Archaeological Sites or Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori / New	The submission requests a number of additional sites be added in proximity to existing SASM in relation to Part Section 112 Okato District Block I Cape District - 307-327 Hampton Road, Okato. Should these sites be verified by Mana Whenua then they should be added to SCHED3	Allow the submission in part
<b>IEWS - Viewshafts</b>					
Ngāti Tawhirikura Hapū - Ngamata Skipper	519.18	Support	IEWS	The submission requests that Objectives VIEWS-O1, Policy VIEWS-P1 and Planning Maps are amended in collaboration with Tangata Whenua to add further viewshafts of significance to iwi/hapū.	Allow the submission
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.188	Support	IEWS / Policies / VIEWS-P3	The submission Supports the incorporation of Mātauranga Māori into the overall scale, form, composition and design of proposed structures under Policy VIEWS-P3 (ensure that any structure that exceeds permitted height limits within a viewshaft is appropriately located...); however, there must be a requirement for tangata whenua's cultural expertise to be engaged to inform the development, rather than the lesser requirement to consult.	Allow the submission
Port Taranaki Limited - Guy Roper	525.34	Oppose	IEWS / Rules / VIEWS-S13	The submission requests a range of other structures be exempted from VIEWS-S13. It is not clear if this is an exhaustive list or how permanent these structures are noting the significant views from Papawhero	Disallow the submission



<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
				across to Mikotahi and other islands which make up Nga Motu	
<b>Natural Environmental Values</b>					
<b>ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity</b>					
Taranaki Fish & Game Council - Allen Stancliff	126.18	Support	ECO / Policies / ECO-P1	Submission suggest an amendment to ECO – P1 that recognises the importance of protecting the linkages between wetlands, waterbodies and the coast – this is supported as it is in line with Taiao, Taiora	Allow the submission
Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga - Marlene Benson	520.57	Support in part	ECO / Policies / ECO-P1	The submission asks for the recognition of the importance of protecting taonga species and their habitats	Allow the submission
Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust - Malcolm Lucas	499.4	Support	ECO / Policies / ECO-P3	Submission asks for an addition to this policy that recognises the need to manage the potential adverse effects of water takes and discharges	Allow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Department of Conservation - Nardia Yozin	550.72	Support	ECO / Policies / New policy	Outlines a new policy that clearly outlines a hierarchy of avoid, remedy, mitigate, offset, then compensation. Requests a new policy to provide direction for the use of biodiversity compensation, where the mitigation hierarchy has already been applied, to address residual adverse effects.	Allow the submission
Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning	565.120	Oppose	ECO / Policies / New policy	Submission requests a new policy that asks for the adverse effects of the damage caused to vegetation by the location of new network utilities within SNA's  This is opposed as it appears to give undue weight to the value of new network utilities against the need to protect indigenous biodiversity	Disallow the submission
Kāinga Ora - Homes and Communities - Dr Claire Kirman	563.308	Oppose	ECO / Rules / ECO-R1	The submission requests for the activity status of vegetation disturbance in SNA's to be changed from Non-complying to Discretionary in order to allow for Urban intensification.  This is opposed because it appears to give undue weight for the need for new housing against the need to protect the remaining areas of indigenous vegetation within urban areas and recognise the value they give to the communities that live there.	Disallow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning Limited)	565.114	Oppose	ECO / Rules / ECO-R1	The submission requests for activity status of Rule ECO – R1 to be changed from Non-complying to Discretionary – this is not supported due to importance of protecting the remaining areas of indigenous biodiversity within the New Plymouth District	Disallow the submission
Powerco Limited - Cheryl Cleary	568.87	Oppose	ECO / Rules / ECO-R1	The submission requests for the permitted status to be extended to 'upgrading' activities covered in part 2 including network utilities – this is not considered appropriate as there is no clear limit on the scale of work that could be included under this description	Disallow the submission
The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated - Tom Kay, Regional Manager	487.40	Support	ECO / Rules / ECO-R2	The submission seeks to change the provisions in ECO – R2 to allow 100m2 of indigenous vegetation to be disturbed per site every 10 years rather than 5 – this is supported due to the scarcity of indigenous vegetation remaining in the Coastal environment and its importance in providing a habitat for taonga species	Allow the Submission
Department of Conservation - Nardia Yoizin	550.69	Support	ECO / Rules / ECO-R2	The submission seeks to extend rule ECO – R2 to apply to all zones – not just the Rural – this is supported due to the scarcity of indigenous vegetation remaining in the Coastal environment and its importance in providing a habitat for taonga species	Allow the Submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Powerco Limited - Cheryl Cleary	568.88	Oppose	ECO / Rules / ECO-R2	The submission seeks to increase the area permitted to be disturbed in the Coastal environment from 100m2 per site every five years to 500 m2 – this is not supported due to the scarcity of indigenous Coastal vegetation within Taranaki and because of the important habitat the remaining areas provide for taonga species	Disallow the submission
Taranaki Fish & Game Council - Allen Stancliff	126.19a	Support	ECO / Rules / ECO-R3 and R4 and R6	The submission seeks to include 'regionally distinctive species' alongside threatened or at risk species to the matters over which control is reserved – this is supported for the increased protection it will give to Ngāti Mutunga Taonga Species	Allow the submission
Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust - Malcolm Lucas	499.8	Support	ECO / Rules / ECO-R3	The submission seeks an amendment to the activity status of Rule ECO – R3 from discretionary to non-complying	Allow the submission
Department of Conservation - Nardia Yozin	550.63	Support	ECO / Rules / New rule	The submission outlines a new rule framework to maintain biodiversity while allowing some activities that will cause the disturbance of vegetaion – it is supported as it clearly limits the amount of vegetation clearance that would be permitted within a five year period to 250m2	Allow the submission
<b>NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes</b>					

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.155	Support	NFL	The submission outlines the attributes and significance of Taranaki Maunga and its surrounding landscape to Te Ati Awa iwi. Describes threats and iwi aspirations for restoration, as part of Taranaki Mouna project. Seeks a transfer of powers under RMA Section 33 to the future iwi authority likely to be established following a Treaty settlement currently progressing, to be responsible for managing the impact of use and development of Ngā Mouna o Taranaki.	Allow the submission
Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning Limited)	565.142	Support in part	NFL / Overview	<p>The submission seeks clarification that Mount Taranaki and the Kaitake and Pouakai mountain ranges, which are located within the Egmont National Park Boundaries; and Paritutu, Ngā Motu (Sugar Loaf Islands) and Tapuae are located within the Ngā Motu/Sugar Loaf Islands Marine Protected Area and the Tapuae Marine Reserve.</p> <p>Ngā Mouna o Taranaki extend beyond the national park and include Pukeiti.</p> <p>Similarly, Paritutu and Ngā Motu extend beyond the Ngā Motu/Sugar Loaf Islands Marine Protected Area and the Tapuae Marine Reserve (e.g. Mikotahi adjacent to Port Taranaki).</p> <p>Clarification is supported, and consequential amendments to provision once confirmed.</p>	Allow the submission in part

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
				Engagement of tangata whenua to inform the boundaries of these areas is required.	
The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated - Tom Kay, Regional Manager	487.59	Support	NFL / Objectives / NFL-O1	A new objective as proposed would enable more integrated management of the coastal environment alongside the Regional Coastal Plan for Taranaki 2019.	Allow the submission
Powerco Limited - Cheryl Cleary	568.90	Oppose	NFL / Policies / NFL-P2	The submission requests additional wording to be added to the policy. The resulting policy wording is not considered to give effect to the provisions of the NZCPS and therefore should not be included.	Disallow the submission
Trustpower Limited - Shelby Managh	544.111	Oppose	NFL / Policies / New policy	It is considered that the intent of this policy is already provided for in the Network Utilities section of the P-NPDP and is not required in the NFL chapter.	Disallow the submission
<b>Waterbodies</b>					

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Erin Griffith	334.2	Support	WB	The sets out additional reasons as to why all waterbodies should be afforded the same status and the provisions of 'significant waterbodies' applied	Allow the submission
Trustpower Limited - Shelby Managh	544.113	Oppose	WB	The NPS-FM 2020 sets out the policy framework for the management of freshwater in Aotearoa. The core principle of Te Mana o te Wai requires integrated management of freshwater across regional and local authorities. The waterbodies chapter assist NPDC in fulfilling those requirements.	Disallow the submission
Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga - Marlene Benson	520.70	Support	WB	The submission requests additional wording with respect to kaitiakitanga that is consistent with the NPS-FM	Allow the submission
Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga - Marlene Benson	520.113	Support	WB / Policies / WB-P1	The submission requests the removal of the word significant	Allow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga - Marlene Benson	520.112	Support	WB / Policies / WB-P2	The submission requests a change of wording to ensure the policy applies to all waterbodies equally	Allow the submission
Powerco Limited – Cheryl Cleary	568.97	Oppose	WB / Policies / WB-P2	The submission requests additional wording that reduces the clarity of the policy and is therefore not considered to be efficient.	Disallow the submission
Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga - Marlene Benson	520.110	Support	WB / Policies / WB-P3	The submission requests a change of wording to ensure the policy applies to all waterbodies equally	Allow the submission
Taranaki Regional Council - Fred McLay	549.10	Support	WB / Rules	The submission requests and advice note that states "The rules relate to the location of the waterbody and the maps are indicative of their location only."	Allow the submission
Landpro Limited – Kathryn Hooper	475.3	Oppose	WB / Rules	The submission requests a number of clarifications in the rules relating to structures in waterbodies, and earthworks in proximity to waterbodies. The definition of water body is included in the Act which does not require repetition in this plan	Disallow the submission



<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust - Malcolm Lucas	499.16	Support	WB / Rules / WB-R1	The submission requests a setback of 20 metres for activities in relation to waterbodies.	Allow the submission
Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust - Malcolm Lucas	499.17	Support	WB / Rules / WB-R2	The submission requests a setback of 20 metres for activities in relation to waterbodies.	Allow the submission
<b>SCHED9 – Schedule of Significant Waterbodies</b>					
Taranaki Regional Council - Fred McLay	549.5	Support	SCHED9 – SIG Water	Submitter asks for Schedule 9 to be amended to include all the wetlands within the New Plymouth District	Allow the submission
Taranaki Regional Council - Fred McLay	549.6	Support in part	SCHED9 – SIG Water	Submission asks for Schedule 9 be amended to include all tributaries of all significant waterbodies –	Allow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Ngāti Rāhiri Hapū o Te Atiawa (Taranaki) Society Inc - Keith Holswich	503.2	Support	SCHED9 – SIG Water - New	Submission seeks to add specific streams that are included in Te Atiawa Settlement but which are missing from Schedule 9	Allow the submission
Manukorihi Pā Reserve Trust - Anaru White	505.3	Support	SCHED9 – SIG Water - New	Submitter seeks to add Tangaroa Stream to schedule	Allow the submission
<b>SUB – Subdivision</b>					
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga - Caroline Rachlin	522.88	Support	SUB	The submission requests a change in activity status for subdivision where the site contains identified heritage buildings and items, scheduled archaeological sites and Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori to ensure the appropriate recognition of heritage and cultural values.	Allow the submission
Department of Conservation - Nardia Yozin	550.99	Support	SUB / Objectives / SUB-02	The submission requests the objective be amended to include reference to habitats of Indigenous fauna.	Allow the submission
Kāinga Ora - Homes and Communities - Dr Claire Kirman	563.325	Support	SUB / Objectives / SUB-03	The submission requests wording changes in part to ensure infrastructure is not over-designed that may not directly relate to the demand generated by the development. Stranded assets (e.g. the Oakura waste water infrastructure) can set expectation	Allow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
				that development capacity is available where it is not appropriate to do so.	
Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga - Marlene Benson	520.97	Support	SUB / Objectives / New objective	A process related objective that provides for the interests and values of tangata whenua through the subdivision process is supported and aligns with the provisions of Taiao, Taiora – the iwi management plan for our rohe	Allow the submission
Department of Conservation - Nardia Yozin	550.100	Support	SUB / Policies / SUB-P2	The submission requests the policy amended to include reference to habitats of indigenous fauna.	Allow the submission
Pukerangiora Hapū - Anaru White	515.5	Support	SUB / Policies / SUB-P2	The submission requests the policy amended so scope is not limited by the removal of the word 'significant'	Allow the submission
Department of Conservation - Nardia Yozin	550.97	Support in part	SUB / Rules / SUB-R4	The submission requests the rule is amended to manage subdivision within 1km of the Egmont National Park and adjacent to Public Conservation Land as a discretionary activity, as well as introduce the wording 'habitats of indigenous fauna' to matters of control for all other subdivision in the rural production zone.	Allow the submission in part
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.136	Support	SUB / Rules / SUB-R6	The submission requests amendments to the wording of the rule in relation to natural features, landforms, historic heritage, sites and areas of significance to Māori,	Allow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
				archaeological sites and other identified features and engagement of tangata whenua expertise should inform instead of consult.	
<b>CE – Coastal Environment</b>					
New Plymouth District Council - Juliet Johnson	582.45	Support in Part	CE / Objectives / New Objective	The submission requests a new objective to better implement the provisions of the NZCPS and RPS with respect to development in the coastal environment. This is supported subject to specific wording being proposed and the alignment of the wording with the provisions of Taiao, Taiora	Allow the submission in part
New Plymouth District Council - Juliet Johnson	582.46	Support in Part	CE / Policies / CE-P1	The submission requests the coastal area be amended to include areas of Outstanding Natural Character. This must be undertaken with the advice of Tangata Whenua	Allow the submission in part
Ngā Mahanga and Ngāti Tairi - Fay Mulligan	524.22	Support	CE / Policies / CE-P2	The submission supports Policy CE-P2 (protecting natural coastal character), with reference to Mātauranga Māori, Te Maramataka o te Tau Māori, climate change, and cultural and historical interest to tangata whenua. Policies enhance the holistic wellbeing of Māori which aligns with the Treaty of Waitangi and RMA section 6€ and 7(a).	Allow the submission
Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning	565.162	Support in part	CE / Policies / CE-P2	The submission supports CE-P2 subject to a new policy being included which gives effect to the NPSET. This is supported in part subject to wording of the policy, and	Allow the submission in part

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Limited)				its alignment with the provisions of Taiao, Taiora and Mātauranga Māori.	
Powerco Limited - Cheryl Cleary	568.107	Oppose	CE / Policies / CE-P3	The submission requests network utilities are provided for in policy CE-P3 as opposed to CE-P4. This elevates how appropriate network utilities are in the coastal environment which is out of step with the provisions of the NZCPS. It is considered that CE-P4 is the appropriate policy setting to provide for network utilities in the coastal environment.	Disallow the submission
Powerco Limited - Cheryl Cleary	568.109	Oppose	CE / Policies / CE-P3	The submission requests network utilities are provided for in policy CE-P3 as opposed to CE-P4. This elevates how appropriate network utilities are in the coastal environment which is out of step with the provisions of the NZCPS. It is considered that CE-P4 is the appropriate policy setting to provide for network utilities in the coastal environment.	Disallow the submission
Ngā Mahanga and Ngāti Tairi - Fay Mulligan	524.36	Support	CE / Policies / CE-P4	The submission supports Policy CE-P4 (protecting natural coastal character), with reference to Mātauranga Māori, Te Maramataka o te Tau Māori, climate change, and cultural and historical interest to tangata whenua. Policies enhance the holistic wellbeing of Māori which aligns with the Treaty of Waitangi and RMA section 6€ and 7(a).	Allow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Ngā Mahanga and Ngāti Tairi - Fay Mulligan	524.35	Support	CE / Policies / CE-P5	The submission supports Policy CE-P5 (protecting natural coastal character), with reference to Mātauranga Māori, Te Maramataka o te Tau Māori, climate change, and cultural and historical interest to tangata whenua. Policies enhance the holistic wellbeing of Māori which aligns with the Treaty of Waitangi and RMA section 6E and 7(a).	Allow the submission
Greymouth Petroleum Limited - Tanya Hansen	548.76	Oppose	CE / Policies / CE-P5	The submission requests a change of word from 'avoid' to 'manage'. This lessens the requirement for the activities listed in the policies when they are proposing to located in the coastal environment, which in turn lessens the degree to which the policy gives effect to the NZCPS.	Disallow the submission
The Oil Companies (Z Energy, Mobil Oil New Zealand, BP Oil New Zealand) - Matthew Thode (4Sight Consulting)	551.135	Oppose	CE / Policies / CE-P5	The submission requests additional wording to which increases the ambiguity of the policy and reduces its effectiveness at avoiding adverse effects in the coastal environment, which in turn reduces the degree to which the policy gives effect to the NZCPS and therefore should be disallowed	Disallow the submission
Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning Limited)	565.160	Oppose	CE / Policies / CE-P5	The submission requests additional wording to the policy ('significant and permanent') which limits the scope of effects the policy would require avoidance of. Limiting the scope to permanent effects in particular is reduces the degree to which the policy gives effect to the NZCPS and therefore should be disallowed.	Disallow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Department of Conservation - Nardia Yozin	487.79	Support	CE / Policies / CE-P8	The submission requests additional wording to the policy which is more consistent with the direction set in the NZCPS with respect to indigenous biodiversity.	Allow the submission
Powerco Limited - Cheryl Cleary	568.111	Oppose	CE / Policies / CE-P8	The submission requests additional wording that reduces the clarity of the policy, and is therefore not considered to be efficient.	Disallow the submission
Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga - Marlene Benson	520.170	Support	CE / Policies / CE-P10	The submission requests additional wording to reference sites and areas of significance to Māori. This is consistent with the provisions of Taiao, Tairora	Allow the submission
Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning Limited)	565.158	Oppose	CE / Policies / New policy	The submission requests a new policy with respect to the national grid in the coastal environment. This is supported in part subject to specific wording changes which provide for the relationship of tangata whenua with the coastal environment through the implementation of the policy.	Disallow the submission
Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning Limited)	565.159	Oppose	CE / Policies / New policy	The submission requests a new policy with respect to the national grid to recognise and provide for operation, maintenance and upgrading activities. National grid assets in the coastal environment (e.g. around paritutu) are located in sensitive environments where the upgrading of those facilities in the current location cannot be supported, nor does it align with the provisions of Taiao, Tairora	Disallow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Powerco Limited - Cheryl Cleary	568.108	Oppose	CE / Policies / New policy	The submission requests a specific policy for network utilities looking to locate in the coastal environment. The provisions of the policy are already provided for in the policy framework and it is considered that the policy proposed simple re-stated those requirements.	Disallow the submission
Powerco Limited - Cheryl Cleary	568.112	Oppose	CE / Rules / CE-R1	The submission requests additional wording to CE-R1 for activities that are provided for elsewhere in the rule framework.	Disallow the submission
Taranaki Regional Council - Fred McLay	549.16	Support	CE / Rules / CE-R5	The submission requests additional wording which better aligns the provisions of the P-NPDP with the RCP.	Allow the submission
Department of Conservation - Nardia Yozin	550.106	Support	CE / Rules / CE-R17	The submission supports rule CE-17 as notified.	Allow the submission
Department of Conservation - Nardia Yozin	550.110	Support	CE / Rules / CE-R28	The submission requests additional matters of discretion for subdivision in the coastal hazard area. These align with the provisions of Taiao, Tairora	Allow the submission
<b>EW – Earthworks</b>					
Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga - Marlene Benson	520.166	Support	EW / Objectives / New objective	The submission requests a new objective to protect significant natural features, indigenous biodiversity, waterbodies, historic heritage and sites and	Allow the submission



<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
				areas of significance to Māori from the adverse effects of earthworks and land disturbance	
Ngāti Tawhirikura Hapū - Ngamata Skipper	519.12	Support	EW / Policies / EW-P1	The submission requests that the policy be amended to identify that in general tangata whenua will not support broad-scale alteration of natural landform.	Allow the submission
The Oil Companies (Z Energy, Mobil Oil New Zealand, BP Oil New Zealand) - Matthew Thode (4Sight Consulting)	551.102	Oppose	EW / Policies / EW-P4	The submission requests additional wording which are not considered appropriate in the context of the policy, nor are they consistent with the objectives of the P-NPDP relevant to archaeological sites and sites and areas of significance to Māori.	Disallow the submission
Chorus New Zealand Limited - Andrew Kantor and Tom Anderson	547.86	Oppose	EW / Rules / EW-R9	The submission requests changes to the rule parameter to increase the amount of open earthworks at any one time to 2500m <sup>2</sup> . The rationale for this change is to be consistent with the Auckland Unitary Plan. It is not clear why the NPDP would need to align with the provisions of the Auckland Unitary Plan.	Disallow the submission
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited - Graeme McCarrison and Tom Anderson	567.87	Oppose	EW / Rules / EW-R9	The submission requests changes to the rule parameter to increase the amount of open earthworks at any one time to 2500m <sup>2</sup> . The rationale for this change is to be consistent with the Auckland Unitary Plan. It is not clear why the NPDP would need to align with the provisions of the Auckland Unitary Plan.	Disallow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Two Degrees Networks Limited - Ben Blakemore and Tom Anderson	569.87	Oppose	EW / Rules / EW-R9	The submission requests changes to the rule parameter to increase the amount of open earthworks at any one time to 2500m <sup>2</sup> . The rationale for this change is to be consistent with the Auckland Unitary Plan. It is not clear why the NPDP would need to align with the provisions of the Auckland Unitary Plan.	Disallow the submission
Bland & Jackson Surveyors Limited - Geoff Bland, Colin Jackson, Vaughan Maclean, Vaughan Redshaw, Mark Bland, Kasey Bellamy / 345	343.1a	Support in part	EW / Rules / EW-R10	The submission requests a change to the rule parameter to increase the level of permitted earthworks able to be undertaken at any one time. Any change to the quantity of earthworks must consider how natural landforms are to be retained, and the cumulative effects of successive earthworks on that landform.	Allow the submission in part
Vaughan Maclean	337.7	Oppose	EW / Rules / EW-R12	The regional freshwater plan regulates different effects resulting from earthworks than those within the scope of a district plan. It is not considered appropriate to rely on the regional freshwater plan to manage all effects generated by earthworks (e.g. on landform).	Disallow the submission
Bland & Jackson Surveyors Limited - Geoff Bland, Colin Jackson, Vaughan Maclean, Vaughan Redshaw, Mark Bland, Kasey Bellamy / 345	343.1b	Oppose	EW / Rules / EW-R12	The regional freshwater plan regulates different effects resulting from earthworks than those within the scope of a district plan. It is not considered appropriate to rely on the regional freshwater plan to manage all effects generated by earthworks (e.g. on landform).	Disallow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Greymouth Petroleum Limited – Tanya Hansen	548.77	Oppose	EW / Rules / EW-R12	The regional freshwater plan regulates different effects resulting from earthworks than those within the scope of a district plan. It is not considered appropriate to rely on the regional freshwater plan to manage all effects generated by earthworks (e.g. on landform).	Disallow the submission
Federated Farmers Taranaki - Mark Hooper, Provincial President	564.222	Oppose	EW / Rules / EW-R12	The regional freshwater plan regulates different effects resulting from earthworks than those within the scope of a district plan. It is not considered appropriate to rely on the regional freshwater plan to manage all effects generated by earthworks (e.g. on landform).	Disallow the submission
Johnson Resource Management Limited - Helen Johnson	484.61	Support	EW / Rules / EW-R13	The submission requests clarity be provided regarding when the rule applies and define access.	Allow the submission
Federated Farmers Taranaki - Mark Hooper, Provincial President	564.223a	Oppose	EW / Rules / EW-S1	The regional freshwater plan regulates different effects resulting from earthworks than those within the scope of a district plan. It is not considered appropriate to rely on the regional freshwater plan to manage all effects generated by earthworks (e.g. on landform).	Disallow the submission
Bland & Jackson Surveyors Limited - Geoff Bland, Colin Jackson, Vaughan Maclean,	343.1c	Oppose	EW / Rules / EW-S2	No evidence is provided in the submission to justify why extra height is required for cut faces given the effects the provision is designed to manage.	Disallow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Vaughan Redshaw, Mark Bland, Kasey Bellamy / 345					
Greymouth Petroleum Limited - Tanya Hansen	548.80	Oppose	EW / Rules / EW-S2	The submission looks to exempt oil and gas activities from this standard. It is not clear from the submission what the resource management reason is for this exclusion.	Disallow the submission
Federated Farmers Taranaki - Mark Hooper, Provincial President	564.223b	Oppose	EW / Rules / EW-S2	The regional freshwater plan regulates different effects resulting from earthworks than those within the scope of a district plan. It is not considered appropriate to rely on the regional freshwater plan to manage all effects generated by earthworks (e.g. on landform).	Disallow the submission
Powerco Limited - Cheryl Cleary	568.125	Oppose	EW / Rules / EW-S2	The submission requests the rule parameter be amended to reference setback from the nearest site boundary, building or structure measured on the horizontal plane. This is not supported as the amended parameter does not address impacts of earthworks on natural landform (as one example).	Disallow the submission
Kāinga Ora - Homes and Communities - Dr Claire Kirman	563.380	Oppose	EW / Rules / EW-S3	The submission requests changes to EW-S3 including the reference to the use of native species that are of local stock (eco-sourced). These wording changes are not supported. Remediation that does not use native species, and those which are eco-sourced has the potential to result in further adverse effects on the environment with respect to the loss of indigenous	Disallow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
				biodiversity, and local genetic variants of native species.	
Federated Farmers Taranaki - Mark Hooper, Provincial President	564.223c	Oppose	EW / Rules / EW-S3	The regional freshwater plan regulates different effects resulting from earthworks than those within the scope of a district plan. It is not considered appropriate to rely on the regional freshwater plan to manage all effects generated by earthworks (e.g. on landform).	Disallow the submission
Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga - Marlene Benson	520.183	Support	EW / Rules / EW-S4	The submission requests that the standard also references waterbodies. This is consistent with the provisions of Taiao, Taiora	Allow the submission
Federated Farmers Taranaki - Mark Hooper, Provincial President	564.223e	Oppose	EW / Rules / EW-S3	The regional freshwater plan regulates different effects resulting from earthworks than those within the scope of a district plan. It is not considered appropriate to rely on the regional freshwater plan to manage all effects generated by earthworks (e.g. on historic heritage).	Disallow the submission
First Gas Limited - Zane Wood	309.15	Oppose	EW / Rules / New rules	The submission requests a new rule to facilitate earthworks up to a depth of 400mm. Clarity whether this rule facilitates any earthworks on historic heritage and other areas identified in overlay chapters of the P-NPDP is required. The rule is not supported in the instance that it does facilitate earthworks on areas of historic heritage and other features noted in overlay sections of the P-NPDP.	Disallow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
First Gas Limited - Zane Wood	309.16	Oppose	EW / Rules / New rules	The submission requests a new rule to facilitate earthworks that exceed a depth of 400mm as a restricted discretionary activity. Clarity is required for this rule, with matters of discretion silent on the effects of earthworks on historic heritage and other areas identified in overlay chapters of the P-NPDP	Disallow the submission
Horticulture New Zealand - Jordyn Landers	457.37	Oppose	EW / Rules / New rule	The submission requests a new rule be added enabling ancillary earthworks to rural activities as a permitted activity, noting that horticulture can be adequately managed through the application of good practise and guidance at an industry level. It is not clear how this rule would work in practise, be enforceable or implement the objectives and policies of the P-NPDP	Disallow the submission
<b>RPROZ – Rural Production Zone</b>					
Oakura Farm Park Limited – Colin Comber (Comber Consultancy)	494.3	Oppose	RPROZ / Zoning	The issues/relief sought raised within this submission regarding a residential/open space zoning were considered through PPC18/00048.	Disallow the submission
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust – Hemi Sundgren	459.267	Support	RPROZ / Rules / RPROZ-S6	The submission seeks clarification regarding the limitation of floor area to papakainga. A larger floor area is considered more appropriate given the nature of papakainga	Allow the submission
Ngāti Rāhiri Hapū o Te Atiawa (Taranaki) Society Inc – Keith Holswich	503.14	Support	RPROZ / Overview	The submission requests references to marae sites, urupā and recreational sites of importance to tangata whenua are a part of the zone	Allow the submission

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Horticulture New Zealand – Jordyn Landers	457.46	Support	RPROZ / Objectives / RPROZ-05	The submission requests the objective is amended to reference highly production soils. This is supported.	Allow the submission
Taranaki Regional Council – Fred McLay	549.7	Support in part	RPROZ / Zoning	The submission requests Pukeiti is zoned open space as opposed to Rural Production. The Natural Open Space Zone is considered the most appropriate for the Pukeiti property	Allow the submission in part
<b>MFZ – Major Facility Zone</b>					
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.350a	Support	MFZ	The submission sets out a range of process related issues with the design/development of zone provisions. These also apply to Ngati Tairi, Nga Mahanga a Tairi and Taranaki Iwi for MFZ within our rohe – Omata Tank Farm.	Allow the submission
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.350b	Support	MFZ / objectives	The submission identifies a lack of provision recognising and providing for the relationship of tangata whenua with land in MFZ precincts.	Allow the submission
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.350b	Support	MFZ / policies	The submission identifies a lack of provision recognising and providing for the relationship of tangata whenua with land in MFZ precincts	Allow the submission
The Oil Companies (Z Energy, Mobil Oil New Zealand, BP Oil New Zealand) - Matthew Thode (4Sight Consulting)	551.181	Support in part	MFZ / PREC8 – Figure 95 – Omata Tank Farm Precinct	The submission supports the Omata Precinct Plan as notified. There is general agreement regarding locations of activities, but it is requested that the plan be updated alongside tangata whenua to consider Te	Allow the submission in part

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
				<p>Ngahoro pa and surrounds, the SNA/wetland area, locations for future planting (and opportunities for remediation planting) in the existing and future built environments.</p> <p>This may require additional objectives, policies, definitions or rules to achieve the outcomes set out above.</p>	
New Plymouth District Council - Juliet Johnson	582.68	Support in part	MFZ / PREC8 – Figure 95 – Omata Tank Farm Precinct	<p>The submission requests changes to the Omata Tank Farm Precinct. There is general agreement regarding locations of activities, but it is requested that the plan be updated alongside tangata whenua to consider Te Ngahoro pa and surrounds, the SNA/wetland area, locations for future planting (and opportunities for remediation planting) in the existing and future built environments.</p> <p>This may require additional objectives, policies, definitions or rules to achieve the outcomes set out above.</p>	Allow the submission in part

**Note to Further Submitter**

This further submission on the Proposed District Plan must be received by the New Plymouth District Council by **5pm on Tuesday 25 August 2020**.

To get your further submission to us, either:

Post to: New Plymouth District Council, Reply Paid DX, DX Box NX10026, New Plymouth.



Deliver to: Attention: District Planning Team  
Civic Centre, Liardet Street, New Plymouth  
or to library and service centres at Bell Block, Inglewood or Waitara  
Email to: districtplan@npdc.govt.nz  
Visit our website: newplymouthnz.com/eplan

A copy of your further submission MUST be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the local authority. Contact details for all submitters can be found on our Proposed Plan page at [newplymouthnz.com/eplan](http://newplymouthnz.com/eplan).

Please note all information provided in your submission, including your personal information, will be made publicly available.

Your submission (or part of it) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of it):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.