

Name of Further Submitter Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga



**FURTHER SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, A  
SUBMISSION ON NPDC'S NOTIFIED PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN**

Clause 8 of Schedule 1 Resource Management Act 1991

**To:** New Plymouth District Council  
**Address:** Private Bag 2025, New Plymouth 4342  
**Email:** districtplan@npdc.govt.nz

**Further Submitter Details**

Name of further submitter: Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga  
Contact person: Paul Cummings  
Postal address: PO Box 32 Urenui  
Email address for service: office@ngatimutunga.iwi.nz  
Phone number: 06 7523247

*The Council will serve all formal documents by email. Where there is no email address provided, the documents will be posted to the postal address stated above.*

**I am:** (please tick relevant box)

- a) A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest.   
(In this case, also specify the grounds for saying that you come within this category); or
- b) A person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest of the general public.
- c) The local authority for the relevant area.

Please state the grounds as to why you come within the category selected above:

Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga is an Iwi Authority (as defined in the RMA) for the area of the New Plymouth District between the Titoki Ridge and the Waiau River

**Council Hearing** (please tick relevant box)

Do you wish to be heard in support of your further submission? Yes  No

If others make a similar submission would you consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing? Yes  No

**Submission**

The submission points, support or opposition, reasons and decisions sought are set out in the attached document.

**Note:** Any attachments to your submission should only be supporting information, not the submission.

 \_\_\_\_\_

25 August 2020

Signature of the person making further submission  
on behalf of the person making further submission

Date or the person authorised to sign

Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
<b>District Plan - General</b>					
Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning Limited)	565.5	Oppose	DP General	Where an activity is not specifically listed within a plan section the submission suggests that the default status should be permitted – this is not supported and if this is needed then the default status should be discretionary.	Disallow the submission
<b>National Policy Statements and New Zealand Policy Statement</b>					
New Plymouth District Council - Juliet Johnson	582.2	Support in Part	National Policy Statements and NZ Coastal Policy Statement	Support the relief sought by this submission and add that the NPS Freshwater Management 2020 should also be included in this plan, and be reviewed with subsequent changes be made. Similarly, the NPS-UD 2020. E  Engagement with tangata whenua will be required to implement these NPS within the New Plymouth District	Allow the submission in part
<b>District Wide Matters - Strategic Direction</b>					
<b>Historic and cultural</b>					
Trustpower Limited - Shelby Managh	544.28 and 29	Oppose	HC / Strategic Objectives / HC- 1 and 2	Recognising and protecting historic heritage is a matter of national importance. Due to the amount of damage that has occurred within the Ngāti Mutunga rohe to sites of historical heritage and significance to Maori it is	Disallow the submission

Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
				appropriate that the strategic objectives use the term protection.	
<b>Urban form and development</b>					
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited - Graeme McCarrison and Tom Anderson	567.22	Oppose	UFD / Strategic Objectives / New strategic objective	The submission requests three new objectives in relation to infrastructure. These outcomes are provided for elsewhere in the plan. The inclusion of these provisions may elevate the requirements of infrastructure over those matters of national importance (e.g. the protection of historic heritage) which is not considered appropriate.	Disallow the submission
Trustpower Limited - Shelby Managh	544.31	Oppose	UFD / Strategic Objectives / UFD-24	Oppose this submission because recognition alone does not provide an appropriate level of protection for productive, versatile land and natural, physical and cultural resources located within rural areas that are of significance to the district and thus the wording 'protected' and 'maintained' should be retained as notified.	Disallow the submission
Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning Limited)	565.42	Oppose	UFD / Strategic Objectives / UFD-24	See comments above regarding the need to retain the wording 'protected' and 'maintained' as notified.	Disallow the submission

Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
<b>Energy, Infrastructure and Transport</b>					
<b>Energy</b>					
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga - Caroline Rachlin	522.24	Support	ENGY	The submission seeks amendments to the ENGY chapter to ensure the appropriate recognition and protection of heritage and cultural values.	Allow the submission
Todd Generation Limited - Stephen Quinn	521.14	Oppose	ENGY / Objectives / ENGY- 01	Oppose the submission because the suggested wording of Objective ENGY- 01 conflicts with the Strategic Objectives.	Disallow the submission
Todd Generation Limited - Stephen Quinn	521.15	Oppose	ENGY / Objectives / ENGY- 02	Oppose the submission because the suggested wording of Objective ENGY- 02 conflicts with the Strategic Objectives.	Disallow the submission
Department of Conservation – Nardia Yozin	550.43	Support in part	ENGY / Policies	Support the provision of a new policy to avoid location petroleum exploration and prospecting in sensitive areas, this policy would require a specific 500m setback from those areas. The policy wording must include reference to all sensitive areas including indigenous biodiversity, outstanding natural landscapes, outstanding natural features,	Allow the submission

Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_\_ Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
				outstanding natural character, areas of significant natural features and landforms, waterbodies, historic heritage and sites and areas of significance to Māori.	
Ngāti Rāhiri Hapū o Te Atiawa (Taranaki) Society Inc - Keith Holswich	503.33	Support in part	ENGY/ Policies/ ENGY-P1 g	Support because the submission provides clarity about the protection that is needed for identified features such as areas of significant natural features and landforms, waterbodies, indigenous biodiversity, historic heritage and sites and areas of significance to Māori.	Allow the submission
Department of Conservation - Nardia Yozin	550.47	Support in part	ENGY / Policies / ENGY- P1	Support the provision of a new policy to avoid locating petroleum exploration, prospecting and production in sensitive areas, this policy would require a specific 500m setback from those areas. The policy wording must include reference to all sensitive areas including indigenous biodiversity, outstanding natural landscapes, outstanding natural features, outstanding natural character, areas of significant natural features and landforms, waterbodies, historic heritage and sites and areas of significance to Māori.	Allow the submission
Greymouth Petroleum Limited - Tanya Hansen	548.27	Oppose	ENGY / Policies / ENGY- P2	The submission seeks to include provision for seismic surveying using explosives in the Rural Lifestyle Zone as a restricted discretionary activity.	Allow the submission in part

Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
				<p>Adverse effects are required to be avoided, remedied or mitigated instead of appropriately managed.</p> <p>The activity status should remain at discretionary.</p>	
New Plymouth District Council - Juliet Johnson	582.7	Support in part	ENGY / Policies / ENGY- P2	The submission seeks to improve the clarity of the policy, provide greater direction and improve implementation of the District Plan. The amended policy should be further amended to provide certainty in relation to identified features and adverse effects should be avoided, remedied or mitigated rather than minimised.	Allow the submission in part
Ngāti Rāhiri Hapū o Te Atiawa (Taranaki) Society Inc - Keith Holswich	503.35	Support	ENGY / Policies / ENGY- P21	Support the policy direction seeking protection of unrecorded historic heritage	Allow the submission
Department of Conservation - Nardia Yozin	550.41	Support	ENGY / Policies / ENGY- P21	The submission considers that the policy wording should be clarified to include significant natural areas to be consistent with s6 of the RMA. The policy should be further clarified to provide for indigenous biodiversity, outstanding natural landscapes, outstanding natural features, outstanding natural character, areas of significant natural features and landforms, waterbodies, historic heritage	Allow the submission

Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
				and sites and areas of significance to Māori.	
OMV New Zealand Limited Cam Twigley (BTW Company Limited)	573.25	Oppose	ENGY / Policies / ENGY- P4	The submission seeks to recognise 'location' as a constraint to exploration and production activities. The requirement is to avoid, remedy or mitigate effects rather than compromise existing land uses.	Disallow the submission
Greymouth Petroleum Limited - Tanya Hansen	548.26	Oppose	ENGY / Policies / ENGY- P9	Where the location of oil and gas activities is constrained by the location and/ or accessibility of the natural resource to be used or extracted, the activity should be required to comply with all Proposed District Plan requirements; follow the mitigation hierarchy and not be required to only demonstrate compliance with a list of arbitrary requirements.	Disallow the submission
Taranaki Energy Watch Incorporated - Sarah Roberts	543.16	Support	ENGY / Policies / ENGY- P10	The submission seeks the requirement for a bond to ensure that sufficient funds exist to remedy sites.	Allow the submission

Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Greymouth Petroleum Limited - Tanya Hansen	548.34	Support in part	ENGY / Policies / ENGY- P10	<p>The submission seeks that oil and gas operators undertake remediation of sites in agreement with the land owners and local and regional authorities.</p> <p>It is important to Ngāti Mutunga that we are also involved in this process in recognition of our role as Kaitiaki within our rohe.</p>	Allow the submission in part
Todd Energy Limited - Nik Pyselman	511.8	Support in part	ENGY / Rules / ENGY- R1	<p>The submission seeks clarification to allow for other elements of seismic survey such as land surveying and placement of temporary pegs, cables and nodes. This would provide greater certainty to Plan Users however it is important that the effects standards is amended to ensure consideration is given to the actual and potential effects in relation to historic heritage sites and areas of significance to Māori and other features.</p>	Allow the submission in part
Department of Conservation - Nardia Yozin	550.40	Support in part	ENGY / Rules / ENGY- R1	<p>The submission seeks to restrict petroleum exploration and prospecting and production in sensitive areas such as significant indigenous biodiversity, outstanding natural landscapes, outstanding natural features and outstanding natural character. It should also include areas of significant natural features and landforms, waterbodies, historic heritage and sites and areas of significance to Māori.</p>	Allow the submission in part



Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga

Climate Justice Taranaki Incorporated - Catherine Cheung	311.2a	Support in part	ENGY / Rules / ENGY-R3	The submission considers that new provisions should be added to ensure proper decommissioning of sites used for new petroleum exploration, production and electricity generation activities at the end of life. The provisions must require the engagement of tangata whenua to inform the decommissioning. Only tangata whenua are qualified to identify the impacts of the protection, use and development of resources and the relationship they hold with the environment	Allow the submission
<b>Network Utilities</b>					
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga - Caroline Rachlin	522.17	Support	NU	The submission requests changes to the chapter to afford appropriate recognition and protection of heritage and cultural values – this is supported as it is in line with concerns that Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga has raised in our submission about the ability of this chapter as currently worded to provide adequate protection for our sites of significance	Allow the submission
Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning Limited)	565.37	Support in part	NU / Overview	The submission notes the MfE guidance with respect to provisions relating to NU in one chapter. This is generally supported with the exception of rules for specific for historic heritage, Natural Features and Landscapes, coastal environments and waterbodies. As the proposed plan is an E-Plan rules in those chapters as they may be relevant to network utilities could be hyperlinked, as opposed to drafting a separate provision specifically for network utilities for those topics.	Allow the submission in part

Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_\_ Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga

Spark New Zealand Trading Limited - Graeme McCarrison and Tom Anderson	567.27	Oppose	NU / Overview	The submission requests additional wording which in effect give the provisions of the NU chapter priority over those in other sections of the plan. This cannot be supported in all instances and therefore the wording as proposed is not considered appropriate.	Disallow the submission
Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning Limited)	565.34	Oppose	NU / Overview	The submission requests additional wording which in effect give the provisions of the NU chapter priority over those in other sections of the plan. This cannot be supported in all instances and therefore the wording as proposed is not considered appropriate.	Disallow the submission
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited - Graeme McCarrison and Tom Anderson	567.28	Oppose	NU / Overview / Cross references to other relevant District Plan provisions	The submission requests additional wording which in effect give the provisions of the NU chapter priority over those in other sections of the plan. This cannot be supported in all instances and therefore the wording as proposed is not considered appropriate.	Disallow the submission
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited - Graeme McCarrison and Tom Anderson	567.30	Oppose	NU / Objectives / NU-O1	The submission requests NU-O1 is deleted in it's entirety and replaced with a new objective based on a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.	Disallow the submission

**Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga**

Spark New Zealand Trading Limited - Graeme McCarrison and Tom Anderson	567.31	Oppose	NU / Objectives / NU-O2	The submission references a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.	Disallow the submission
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited - Graeme McCarrison and Tom Anderson	567.32	Oppose	NU / Objectives / NU-O3	The submission requests the deletion of NU-O3 and replaced with a new objective. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.	Disallow the submission
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited - Graeme McCarrison and Tom Anderson	567.33	Oppose	NU / Policies / NU-P1	The submission requests the deletion of NU-P1 and replaced with a new policy. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.	Disallow the submission

**Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga**

Spark New Zealand Trading Limited - Graeme McCarrison and Tom Anderson	567.34	Oppose	NU / Policies / NU-P2	The submission requests a minor change in wording in the policy. The reasons for the change references a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.	Disallow the submission
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited - Graeme McCarrison and Tom Anderson	567.35	Oppose	NU / Policies / NU-P3	The submission requests a minor change in wording in the policy. The reasons for the change references a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.	Disallow the submission
Powerco Limited - Cheryl Cleary	568.33	Oppose	NU / Policies / NU-P4	The submission requests wording changes to the policy that increase the ambiguity regarding the direction the policy is providing for plan users, and therefore proposed changes are not considered appropriate, or efficient.	Disallow the submission

Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga

Spark New Zealand Trading Limited - Graeme McCarrison and Tom Anderson	567.36	Oppose	NU / Policies / NU-P4	The submission requests the deletion of NU-P4 and replaced with a new policy. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.	Disallow the submission
Trustpower Limited - Shelby Managh	544.58	Oppose	NU / Policies / NU-P4	The submission requests wording changes to the policy that increase the ambiguity regarding the direction the policy is providing for plan users, and therefore proposed changes are not considered appropriate, or efficient.	Disallow the submission
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited - Graeme McCarrison and Tom Anderson	567.37	Oppose	NU / Policies / NU-P5	The submission requests the deletion of NU-P5 and replaced with a new policy. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.	Disallow the submission

Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_ Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga

Spark New Zealand Trading Limited - Graeme McCarrison and Tom Anderson	567.38	Oppose	NU / Policies / NU-P8	The submission requests the deletion of NU-P8 and replaced with a new policy. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.	Disallow the submission
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited - Graeme McCarrison and Tom Anderson	567.39	Oppose	NU / policies / New policy	The submission requests a new policy to address instances where telecommunications infrastructure is located in areas of outstanding or significant value. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.	Disallow the submission
Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning Limited)	565.48	Support in part	NU / policies / New policy	The submission proposes a new policy to give effect to NPSET, specific to the national grid. The wording 'seeking to' the prefixes avoid adverse effects of the... is not supported.	Allow the submission in part

**Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_\_ Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga**

Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning Limited)	565.52	Oppose	NU / policies / New policy	The submission proposes a new policy to recognise the positive benefits of network utilities. Positive effects are required to be considered through a consent process under the proposed rule framework with this specifically included as a matter of discretion to be considered. The policy is not considered necessary.	Disallow the submission
Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning Limited)	565.48	Oppose	NU / policies / New policy	The submission proposes a new policy to give effect to NPSET, specific to the national grid. The NPSET provides policy direction for this scenario already, there is little value in replicating that in direction in this plan.	Disallow the submission
Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning Limited)	565.78	Support	NU / Rules	The submission requests matters of discretion be clearly set out as opposed to references to policies.	Allow the submission
Powerco Limited - Cheryl Cleary	568.39	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R1	The submission requests additional wording – ‘where practicable’. This proposed change makes it unclear as to when the rule would be triggered or not.	Disallow the submission
Greymouth Petroleum Limited - Tanya Hansen	548.48	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R2	The submission requests a change of wording from ‘removed’ to ‘remediated’ in accordance with best industry practise’. This proposed change makes it unclear as to when the rule would be triggered or not.	Disallow the submission

**Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga**

KiwiRail Holdings Limited - Pam Butler	514.28	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R2	The submission requests rules NU-R2 be amended to remove sub-clause 1 and asserts that there are no effects where infrastructure that is redundant lays dormant underground. This assertion is not correct, particularly with respect to infrastructure that remains within a site or area of significance to Māori, or in instances where it facilitates other contaminants being released into the environment (e.g. the GrainCorp Tallow spill at Port Taranaki early 2020). It is acknowledged that the timeframe may vary for different reasons.	Disallow the submission
Powerco Limited - Cheryl Cleary	568.40	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R2	The submission requests additional wording – ‘where practicable’. This proposed change makes it unclear as to when the rule would be triggered or not.	Disallow the submission
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited - Graeme McCarrison and Tom Anderson	567.43	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R2	The submission requests rule NU-R2 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.	Disallow the submission



**Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga**

Chorus New Zealand Limited - Andrew Kantor and Tom Anderson	547.43	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R2	The submission requests rule NU-R2 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.	Disallow the submission
Two Degrees Networks Limited - Ben Blakemore and Tom Anderson	569.43	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R2	The submission requests rule NU-R2 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.	Disallow the submission
Vodafone New Zealand Limited - Colin Clune and Tom Anderson	552.53	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R2	The submission requests rule NU-R2 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken	Disallow the submission

Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga

				it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.	
Chorus New Zealand Limited - Andrew Kantor and Tom Anderson	547.44	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R3	The submission requests rule NU-R3 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.	Disallow the submission
Federated Farmers Taranaki - Mark Hooper, Provincial President	564.100	Support	NU / Rules / NU-R3	The submission requests clarity in the rule that the effects of any upgrading activities will remain at the same scale, character and intensity	Allow the submission
Powerco Limited - Cheryl Cleary	568.44	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R3	The submission requests changes to the rule provision to allow the increase in height of a network utility by 30% or up to 25 metres whichever is the greater. This level of increase is not considered appropriate as a replacement, and may give rise of additional environmental effects in the receiving environment best managed through a consent process. Retain the rule as notified.	Disallow the submission

**Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga**

Powerco Limited - Cheryl Cleary	568.45	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R3	The submission requests changes to the rule provision to allow the increase in footprint y 50%. This level of increase is not considered appropriate as a replacement, and may give rise of additional environmental effects in the receiving environment best managed through a consent process.	Disallow the submission
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited - Graeme McCarrison and Tom Anderson	567.44	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R3	The submission requests rule NU-R3 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.	Disallow the submission
Two Degrees Networks Limited - Ben Blakemore and Tom Anderson	569.44	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R3	The submission requests rule NU-R3 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.	Disallow the submission

**Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga**

<p>Vodafone New Zealand Limited - Colin Clune and Tom Anderson</p>	<p>552.45</p>	<p>Oppose</p>	<p>NU / Rules / NU-R3</p>	<p>The submission requests rule NU-R3 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.</p>	<p>Disallow the submission</p>
<p>Chorus New Zealand Limited - Andrew Kantor and Tom Anderson</p>	<p>547.45</p>	<p>Oppose</p>	<p>NU / Rules / NU-R4</p>	<p>The submission requests rule NU-R4 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.</p> <p>The proposed rule references sites of cultural value to tangata whenua as being a parameter for the activity to be considered a discretionary activity. It is not clear what constitutes a site of cultural value to tangata whenua.</p>	<p>Disallow the submission</p>

Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_\_ Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga

<p>Spark New Zealand Trading Limited - Graeme McCarrison and Tom Anderson</p>	<p>567.45</p>	<p>Oppose</p>	<p>NU / Rules / NU-R4</p>	<p>The submission requests rule NU-R4 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.</p> <p>The proposed rule references sites of cultural value to tangata whenua as being a parameter for the activity to be considered a discretionary activity. It is not clear what constitutes a site of cultural value to tangata whenua.</p>	<p>Disallow the submission</p>
<p>Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning Limited)</p>	<p>565.71</p>	<p>Support in part</p>	<p>NU / Rules / NU-R4</p>	<p>The submission requests wording changes to be consistent with NESETA. The rule changes proposed must be limited to the national grid as opposed to all network utilities.</p> <p>There are additional rules which may apply to this activity in overlay chapters. As the P-NPDP is an e-plan providing a link to those rules could be included for ease of use (as opposed to importing or replicating them in the NU chapter)</p>	<p>Allow the submission in part</p>
<p>Two Degrees Networks Limited - Ben Blakemore and Tom Anderson</p>	<p>569.45</p>	<p>Oppose</p>	<p>NU / Rules / NU-R4</p>	<p>The submission requests rule NU-R4 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU</p>	<p>Disallow the submission</p>

Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga

				<p>(including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.</p> <p>The proposed rule references sites of cultural value to tangata whenua as being a parameter for the activity to be considered a discretionary activity. It is not clear what constitutes a site of cultural value to tangata whenua.</p>	
Vodafone New Zealand Limited - Colin Clune and Tom Anderson	552.44	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R4	<p>The submission requests rule NU-R4 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.</p> <p>The proposed rule references sites of cultural value to tangata whenua as being a parameter for the activity to be considered a discretionary activity. It is not clear what constitutes a site of cultural value to tangata whenua.</p>	Disallow the submission

**Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga**

Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning Limited)	565.70	Support	NU / Rules / NU-R5	The submission requests definition of 'customer connection' be included in the plan	Allow the submission
Two Degrees Networks Limited - Ben Blakemore and Tom Anderson	569.46	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R5	<p>The submission requests rule NU-R5 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.</p> <p>The proposed rule references sites of cultural value to tangata whenua as being a parameter for the activity to be considered a discretionary activity. It is not clear what constitutes a site of cultural value to tangata whenua.</p>	Disallow the submission
Vodafone New Zealand Limited - Colin Clune and Tom Anderson	552.43	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R5	The submission requests rule NU-R5 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed	Disallow the submission

Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga

				<p>District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.</p> <p>The proposed rule references sites of cultural value to tangata whenua as being a parameter for the activity to be considered a discretionary activity. It is not clear what constitutes a site of cultural value to tangata whenua.</p>	
Chorus New Zealand Limited - Andrew Kantor and Tom Anderson	547.47	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R6	<p>The submission requests rule NU-R6 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.</p> <p>No detail is contained within the submission regarding IE-REQ1 Land Disturbance includes (or any other IE-REQ) which makes consideration of the proposed rule difficult.</p>	Disallow the submission
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited - Graeme McCarrison and Tom Anderson	567.47	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R6	<p>The submission requests rule NU-R6 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network</p>	Disallow the submission



Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga

				<p>Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.</p> <p>No detail is contained within the submission regarding IE-REQ1 Land Disturbance includes (or any other IE-REQ) which makes consideration of the proposed rule difficult.</p>	
Two Degrees Networks Limited - Ben Blakemore and Tom Anderson	569.47	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R6	<p>The submission requests rule NU-R6 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.</p> <p>No detail is contained within the submission regarding IE-REQ1 Land Disturbance includes (or any other IE-REQ) which makes consideration of the proposed rule difficult.</p>	Disallow the submission

**Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga**

<p>Vodafone New Zealand Limited - Colin Clune and Tom Anderson</p>	<p>552.42</p>	<p>Oppose</p>	<p>NU / Rules / NU-R6</p>	<p>The submission requests rule NU-R6 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.</p> <p>No detail is contained within the submission regarding IE-REQ1 Land Disturbance includes (or any other IE-REQ) which makes consideration of the proposed rule difficult.</p>	<p>Disallow the submission</p>
<p>Chorus New Zealand Limited - Andrew Kantor and Tom Anderson</p>	<p>547.50</p>	<p>Oppose</p>	<p>NU / Rules / NU-R12</p>	<p>The submission requests rule NU-R12 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.</p> <p>No detail is contained within the submission regarding IE-REQ1 Land</p>	<p>Disallow the submission</p>

Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga

				Disturbance includes (or any other IE-REQ) which makes consideration of the proposed rule difficult.	
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited - Graeme McCarrison and Tom Anderson	567.50	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R12	<p>The submission requests rule NU-R12 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.</p> <p>No detail is contained within the submission regarding IE-REQ1 Land Disturbance includes (or any other IE-REQ) which makes consideration of the proposed rule difficult.</p>	Disallow the submission
Two Degrees Networks Limited - Ben Blakemore and Tom Anderson	569.50	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R12	<p>The submission requests rule NU-R12 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken</p>	Disallow the submission

Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga

				<p>it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.</p> <p>No detail is contained within the submission regarding IE-REQ1 Land Disturbance includes (or any other IE-REQ) which makes consideration of the proposed rule difficult.</p>	
Vodafone New Zealand Limited - Colin Clune and Tom Anderson	552.46	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R12	<p>The submission requests rule NU-R12 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.</p> <p>No detail is contained within the submission regarding IE-REQ1 Land Disturbance includes (or any other IE-REQ) which makes consideration of the proposed rule difficult.</p>	Disallow the submission
Powerco Limited - Cheryl Cleary	568.56	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-13	<p>The submission requests additional wording be added to the matters of discretion – ‘where this is practicable, and technically and economically feasible’. This wording increases the ambiguity of the rule and it is not clear</p>	Disallow the submission.

Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga

				how these might be assessed through a consent process.	
Chorus New Zealand Limited - Andrew Kantor and Tom Anderson	547.52	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R14	The submission requests rule NU-R14 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.	Disallow the submission
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited - Graeme McCarrison and Tom Anderson	567.52	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R14	The submission requests rule NU-R14 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.	Disallow the submission

**Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga**

Two Degrees Networks Limited - Ben Blakemore and Tom Anderson	569.52	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R14	The submission requests rule NU-R14 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.	Disallow the submission
Chorus New Zealand Limited - Andrew Kantor and Tom Anderson	547.53	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R15	The submission requests rule NU-R15 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.	Disallow the submission
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited - Graeme McCarrison and Tom Anderson	567.53	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R15	The submission requests rule NU-R15 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken	Disallow the submission

Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga

				it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.	
Two Degrees Networks Limited - Ben Blakemore and Tom Anderson	569.53	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R15	The submission requests rule NU-R15 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.	Disallow the submission
Vodafone New Zealand Limited - Colin Clune and Tom Anderson	552.51	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R15	The submission requests rule NU-R15 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.	Disallow the submission

Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_ Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga

<p>Chorus New Zealand Limited - Andrew Kantor and Tom Anderson</p>	<p>547.54</p>	<p>Oppose</p>	<p>NU / Rules / NU-R16</p>	<p>The submission requests rule NU-R16 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.</p> <p>The proposed rule references sites of cultural value to tangata whenua as being a parameter for the activity to be considered a discretionary activity. It is not clear what constitutes a site of cultural value to tangata whenua.</p>	<p>Disallow the submission</p>
<p>Spark New Zealand Trading Limited - Graeme McCarrison and Tom Anderson</p>	<p>567.54</p>	<p>Oppose</p>	<p>NU / Rules / NU-R16</p>	<p>The submission requests rule NU-R16 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.</p> <p>The proposed rule references sites of cultural value to tangata whenua as being a parameter for the activity to be</p>	<p>Disallow the submission</p>



Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga

				considered a discretionary activity. It is not clear what constitutes a site of cultural value to tangata whenua.	
Two Degrees Networks Limited - Ben Blakemore and Tom Anderson	569.54	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R16	<p>The submission requests rule NU-R16 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.</p> <p>The proposed rule references sites of cultural value to tangata whenua as being a parameter for the activity to be considered a discretionary activity. It is not clear what constitutes a site of cultural value to tangata whenua.</p>	Disallow the submission
Vodafone New Zealand Limited - Colin Clune and Tom Anderson	569.54	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R16	<p>The submission requests rule NU-R16 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed</p>	Disallow the submission

Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga

				<p>District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.</p> <p>The proposed rule references sites of cultural value to tangata whenua as being a parameter for the activity to be considered a discretionary activity. It is not clear what constitutes a site of cultural value to tangata whenua.</p>	
Chorus New Zealand Limited - Andrew Kantor and Tom Anderson	547.55	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R17	<p>The submission requests rule NU-R17 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.</p> <p>The proposed rule references sites of cultural value to tangata whenua as being a parameter for the activity to be considered a discretionary activity. It is not clear what constitutes a site of cultural value to tangata whenua.</p>	Disallow the submission
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited - Graeme McCarrison and Tom Anderson	567.55	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R17	<p>The submission requests rule NU-R17 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until</p>	Disallow the submission

Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga

				<p>such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.</p> <p>The proposed rule references sites of cultural value to tangata whenua as being a parameter for the activity to be considered a discretionary activity. It is not clear what constitutes a site of cultural value to tangata whenua.</p>	
Two Degrees Networks Limited - Ben Blakemore and Tom Anderson	569.55	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R17	<p>The submission requests rule NU-R17 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.</p> <p>The proposed rule references sites of cultural value to tangata whenua as being a parameter for the activity to be considered a discretionary activity. It is not clear what constitutes a site of cultural value to tangata whenua.</p>	Disallow the submission
Vodafone New Zealand Limited - Colin Clune and Tom Anderson	552.58	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R17	<p>The submission requests rule NU-R17 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU</p>	Disallow the submission

Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga

				<p>(including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.</p> <p>The proposed rule references sites of cultural value to tangata whenua as being a parameter for the activity to be considered a discretionary activity. It is not clear what constitutes a site of cultural value to tangata whenua.</p>	
Chorus New Zealand Limited - Andrew Kantor and Tom Anderson	547.56	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R18	<p>The submission requests rule NU-R18 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.</p> <p>The proposed rule references sites of cultural value to tangata whenua as being a parameter for the activity to be considered a discretionary activity. It is not clear what constitutes a site of cultural value to tangata whenua.</p>	Disallow the submission

Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga

Powerco Limited - Cheryl Cleary	568.58	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R18	The submission proposes additional wording in the matters of discretion that increase the ambiguity of the provision; it is not clear how the matters proposed to be included would be assessed through a resource consent process.	Disallow the submission
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited - Graeme McCarrison and Tom Anderson	567.56	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R18	<p>The submission requests rule NU-R18 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.</p> <p>The proposed rule references sites of cultural value to tangata whenua as being a parameter for the activity to be considered a discretionary activity. It is not clear what constitutes a site of cultural value to tangata whenua.</p>	Disallow the submission
Two Degrees Networks Limited - Ben Blakemore and Tom Anderson	569.56	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R18	The submission requests rule NU-R18 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed	Disallow the submission

Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga

				<p>District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.</p> <p>The proposed rule references sites of cultural value to tangata whenua as being a parameter for the activity to be considered a discretionary activity. It is not clear what constitutes a site of cultural value to tangata whenua.</p>	
Vodafone New Zealand Limited - Colin Clune and Tom Anderson	552.59	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R18	<p>The submission requests rule NU-R18 be deleted in its entirety and replaced with a new rule. The reasons for this change reference a draft-NPS-NU. It is not clear what involvement tangata whenua have had in shaping the draft-NPS-NU (including those from Taranaki), or any other party outside of the Network Utility Operators Working Group; until such time as an appropriate process around the draft NPS-NU is undertaken it is premature to amend the proposed District Plan provisions for the reason stated in the submission.</p> <p>The proposed rule references sites of cultural value to tangata whenua as being a parameter for the activity to be considered a discretionary activity. It is not clear what constitutes a site of cultural value to tangata whenua.</p>	Disallow the submission
Greymouth Petroleum Limited - Tanya Hansen	548.49	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R19	<p>The submission requests changes to the rule parameter to a) reference the regional freshwater plan and consents issued under that plan as resulting in activities being excluded from requiring consent under the proposed rule. The regional freshwater plan regulates different effects of the activity and</p>	Disallow the submission

Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga

				<p>therefore cannot be relied upon in the manner requested.</p> <p>The second change to the rule parameter is to amend the wording from 'removed' to 'are remediated in accordance with best industry practise'. The proposed wording is not clear and it is not certain when the rule parameter is triggered or not.</p>	
Methanex New Zealand Limited - Juliet Larkin	509.7	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-19	The submission requests word changes to reference AS2885. This in effect makes the standard a defacto rule which is not considered appropriate, and will result in a more ambiguous rule parameter where it is not clear when the rule is triggered or not	Disallow the submission
The Oil Companies (Z Energy, Mobil Oil New Zealand, BP Oil New Zealand) - Matthew Thode (4Sight Consulting)	551.40	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-19	The submission requests wording changes to the rule parameter limiting the removal of infrastructure to above ground structures. This is not appropriate, in particular where the infrastructure is located within a site or area of significance to Māori, and other areas included in the overlay provisions of the P-NPDP.	Disallow the submission
Powerco Limited - Cheryl Cleary	568.62	Oppose	NU / Rules / NU-R22	The submission proposes additional wording in the matters of discretion that increase the ambiguity of the provision; it is not clear how the matters proposed to be included would be assessed through a resource consent process.	Disallow the submission
<b>Hazards and Risks – District Wide Matters</b>					
<b>Contaminated Land</b>					

**Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga**

Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.99a	Support	CL	Support the relief sought and note that this is consistent with the outcomes sought in the Ngāti Mutunga Iwi Environmental Management Plan	Allow the submission in full
The Oil Companies (Z Energy, Mobil Oil New Zealand, BP Oil New Zealand) - Matthew Thode (4Sight Consulting)	551.63	Support in Part	CL	The submission seeks to include wording 'for the intended' into the policy with respect to the level of remediation required. It is important to note that intended land use is one of a number of considerations when determining to what level land should be remediated to, others being the relationship and effects areas of significant natural features and landforms, waterbodies, indigenous biodiversity, historic heritage and sites and areas of significance to Māori.	Allow the submission in part
<b>Hazardous Substances</b>					
Petroleum Exploration and Production Association of NZ Inc - Joshua O'Rourke	539.25	Support in part	HAZS	<p>The submission requests clarity regarding what is meant by 'identified features'. This is supported. The submission goes further to request that these features are identified now. It is not always possible to do so (e.g. previously unrecorded archaeology where the archaeological record is not complete).</p> <p>It is important to note that the requirement to provide complete information into the consent process is on the applicant; this reiterates the need for cultural expertise to be engaged to inform the development of applications and the resource consent process.</p>	Allow the submission in part



Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_ Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga

<p>Greymouth Petroleum Limited - Tanya Hansen</p>	<p>548.53</p>	<p>Oppose</p>	<p>HAZS</p>	<p>The submission suggests that a qualitative risk assessment (QRA) for existing sites is not required as well sites are generic. The receiving environments are not, and that is why it is considered a QRA is required, and updated as the context which a wellsite sits within changes. This includes areas/features/values within the landscape significant to tangata whenua and how that relationship is provided for.</p>	<p>Disallow the submission</p>
<p>The Oil Companies (Z Energy, Mobil Oil New Zealand, BP Oil New Zealand) - Matthew Thode (4Sight Consulting)</p>	<p>551.74</p>	<p>Oppose</p>	<p>HAZS</p>	<p>In many instances the facilities referenced in the submission were established with limited consideration of tangata whenua and our relationship and values with those locations. Considering these through subsequent upgrade, development and the like is appropriate. The relief sought to limit the policy to new sites only is not considered efficient or effective in the management of important features/areas/values of the district, many of which are matters of national importance.</p>	<p>Disallow the submission</p>
<p>The Oil Companies (Z Energy, Mobil Oil New Zealand, BP Oil New Zealand) - Matthew Thode (4Sight Consulting)</p>	<p>551.76</p>	<p>Oppose</p>	<p>HAZS</p>	<p>The submission requests that the policy be amended to remove references to the rehabilitation of the site, and a range of other matters material to the consideration whether the activity is appropriate in a location or not. This policy direction is considered necessary, with more generic policy direction in other chapters not always best suited for the particular activities associated with major hazards facilities.</p>	<p>Disallow the submission</p>

**Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_\_ Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga**

The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated - Tom Kay, Regional Manager	487.26	Support	HAZS	The submissions seeks to amend the rule to recognise that waterbodies are connected, and ultimately the coastal environment and therefore manage hazardous facilities in proximity to all waterbodies	Allow the submission
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.85d	Support	HAZS	The relief sought for this rule aligns with the Ngāti Mutunga Iwi Environmental Management Plan	Allow the submission
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.85e	Support	HAZS	The relief sought for this rule aligns with the Ngāti Mutunga Iwi Environmental Management Plan	Allow the submission
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.85f	Support	HAZS	The relief sought for this rule aligns with the Ngāti Mutunga Iwi Environmental Management Plan	Allow the submission
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.85g	Support	HAZS	The relief sought for this rule aligns with the Ngāti Mutunga Iwi Environmental Management Plan	Allow the submission
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.85h	Support	HAZS	The relief sought for this rule aligns with the Ngāti Mutunga Iwi Environmental Management Plan	Allow the submission

**Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_\_ Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga**

Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.85h	Support	HAZS	The relief sought for this rule aligns with the Ngāti Mutunga Iwi Environmental Management Plan	Allow the submission
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.85i	Support	HAZS	The relief sought for this rule aligns with the Ngāti Mutunga Iwi Environmental Management Plan	Allow the submission
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.85j	Support	HAZS	The relief sought for this rule aligns with the Ngāti Mutunga Iwi Environmental Management Plan	Allow the submission
Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.85k	Support	HAZS	The relief sought for this rule aligns with the Ngāti Mutunga Iwi Environmental Management Plan	Allow the submission
<b>Natural Hazards</b>					
Trustpower Limited - Shelby Managh	544.103	Oppose	NH	Rules pertaining to the management of activities and their effects on waterbodies are considered to be best placed in the waterbodies chapter.	Disallow the submission
<b>Historic and Cultural Values</b>					
<b>Historic Heritage</b>					

Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_\_ Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga

<p>Kāinga Ora - Homes and Communities - Dr Claire Kirman</p>	<p>563.296</p>	<p>Oppose</p>	<p>HH / General</p>	<p>The relief sought, in particular items 4 to 11 do not take into account the requirement to protect historic heritage resources.</p> <p>The relief sought by the submitter looks to remove a range of rule triggers to manage activities in proximity to archaeological sites. This is not supported; there is a long history of historic heritage resources being subject to inappropriate subdivision, use or development in the absence of provisions which manage activities in proximity to a site/area/feature, or recognise that the archaeological record for the District is incomplete and there remains significant risk that historic heritage resources that were previously unrecorded from being encountered at time of development.</p> <p>The submission also suggests that accidental discovery protocols (ADP) provide sufficient protection for areas/items/features outside of 50 metres from a scheduled site. This is not supported; and ADP does little to protect historic heritage as required as a matter of national importance under the RMA.</p>	<p>Disallow the submission.</p>
<p>Ngāti Rāhiri Hapū o Te Atiawa (Taranaki) Society Inc - Keith Holswich</p>	<p>503.46</p>	<p>Support</p>	<p>HH/Overview</p>	<p>The submission has identified an issue in relation to the description of silent files and their location with respect to a centroid on planning maps. This submission is supported as it is in line with the concerns Ngāti Mutunga have over how silent files are depicted on the e plan</p>	<p>Allow the submission</p>

**Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga**

Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga - Caroline Rachlin	522.21	Support in Part	HH/Policies/General	The submission requests a range of changes to the policies of the chapter intended to clarify their purpose, consistency of language used and ensure the policies are comprehensive to achieve the purpose of the RMA.	Allow the submission in part
Heritage Taranaki Inc - Ivan Bruce	297.2	Support	HH / Policies / HH-P1	The submission requests that HH-P1 is retained as notified. This is supported noting that the protection of Historic Heritage is a matter of national significance and this is a key provision within the plan to implement that requirement	Allow the submission
Heritage Taranaki Inc - Ivan Bruce	297.3a	Support	HH / Policies / HH-P2	The submission requests that HH-P2 is retained as notified. This is supported noting that the protection of Historic Heritage is a matter of national significance and this is a key provision within the plan to implement that requirement	Allow the submission
Heritage Taranaki Inc - Ivan Bruce	297.4	Support	HH / Policies / HH-P3	The submission requests that HH-P3 is retained as notified. This is supported noting that the protection of Historic Heritage is a matter of national significance and this is a key provision within the plan to implement that requirement	Allow the submission
Trustpower Limited - Shelby Managh	544.90	Oppose	HH/Policies/HH-P3	The submission requests additional wording be added to the policy. The use of 'where practicable' is not considered appropriate for policies as it reduces the clarity of the policy and the direction for plan uses as this related to historic heritage.	Disallow the submission

**Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_\_ Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga**

Heritage Taranaki Inc - Ivan Bruce	297.5	Support	HH / Policies / HH-P5	The submission requests that HH-P5 is retained as notified. This is supported noting that the protection of Historic Heritage is a matter of national significance and this is a key provision within the plan to implement that requirement. The submission requests the inclusion of heritage character areas. These character areas should be extended to include cultural landscapes.	Allow the submission
Heritage Taranaki Inc - Ivan Bruce	297.6	Support	HH / Policies / HH-P5	The submission requests that HH-P5 is retained as notified. This is supported noting that the protection of Historic Heritage is a matter of national significance and this is a key provision within the plan to implement that requirement	Allow the submission
Heritage Taranaki Inc - Ivan Bruce	297.8	Support	HH / Policies / HH-P6	The submission requests that HH-P6 is retained as notified. This is supported noting that the protection of Historic Heritage is a matter of national significance and this is a key provision within the plan to implement that requirement	Allow the submission
Heritage Taranaki Inc - Ivan Bruce	297.7	Support in Part	HH / Policies / HH-P7	The submission requests that HH-P7 is clarified with respect to ensuring that implementation of the policy is informed by a heritage officer (or similar). Ensuring cultural expertise with respect to mana whenua also informs the implementation of this policy is also required.	Allow the submission in part
KiwiRail Holdings Limited - Pam Butler	514.47	Oppose	HH / Policies / HH-P8	The submission requests additional wording be included in the policy – 'where possible'. This is not supported as it introduces further ambiguity regarding the application of this policy which may reduce its effectiveness at	Disallow the submission

Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga

				managing activities as they impact upon historic heritage.	
Heritage Taranaki Inc - Ivan Bruce	297.9	Support	HH / Policies / HH-P8	The submission requests that HH-P8 is retained as notified. This is supported noting that the protection of Historic Heritage is a matter of national significance and this is a key provision within the plan to implement that requirement	Allow the submission
Heritage Taranaki Inc - Ivan Bruce	297.11	Support	HH / Policies / HH-P9	The submission requests that HH-P9 is retained as notified. This is supported noting that the protection of Historic Heritage is a matter of national significance and this is a key provision within the plan to implement that requirement	Allow the submission
Heritage Taranaki Inc - Ivan Bruce	297.10	Support	HH / Policies / HH-P10	The submission requests that HH-P10 is retained as notified. This is supported noting that the protection of Historic Heritage is a matter of national significance and this is a key provision within the plan to implement that requirement	Allow the submission
Heritage Taranaki Inc - Ivan Bruce	297.12	Support	HH / Policies / HH-P11	The submission requests that HH-P11 is retained as notified. This is supported noting that the protection of Historic Heritage is a matter of national significance and this is a key provision within the plan to implement that requirement. The relief to increase the built heritage and natural protection grants to provide for more 'on-the-ground' protection of archaeological sites; this is supported	Allow the submission

**Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga**

Heritage Taranaki Inc - Ivan Bruce	297.16	Support	HH / Policies / HH-P12	The submission requests that HH-P12 is retained as notified. This is supported noting that the protection of Historic Heritage is a matter of national significance and this is a key provision within the plan to implement that requirement	Allow the submission
Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning Limited)	565.97	Oppose	HH / Policies / HH-P14	The submission requests additional wording – ‘scheduled’. This limits the application of the policy to those sites scheduled in a plan. The archaeological record for the district is incomplete. It is acknowledged that the primary means by which protection of historic heritage is provided for is by scheduling items or areas in the District Plan. However, even if an item or area is not scheduled, section 6(f) may still apply in favour of protection and policy direction must allow for this to be considered on a case by case basis through the consent process. For this reason the submission should be disallowed	Disallow the submission
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga - Caroline Rachlin	522.42	Support in part	HH / Policies / New Policy	The submission requests a further work programme to add historic heritage is required and should be reflected in the policy framework. This intent is supported.	Allow the submission
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga - Caroline Rachlin	522.50	Support in part	HH / Policies / New Policy	The submission requests a further policy to avoid destruction of archaeological sites that results in an irreversible loss of historic heritage values coupled with a non-complying rule This intent is supported.	Allow the submission



Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga

Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning Limited)	565.92	Oppose	HH / Rules	The submission requests a new rule in the network utilities chapter that provides for new network utilities located within a scheduled archaeological site. This is not supported, a rule framework and consent pathway exists for buildings and structures (and associated work) where they are located on or within proximity to an archaeological site. A new rule is not considered necessary	Disallow the submission
Heritage Taranaki Inc - Ivan Bruce	297.32	Support	HH / Rules / HH-R10	The submission introduces new wording regarding the grazing of livestock on archaeological sites, and several examples where this is resulting in damage to these areas. New wording in the rule is designed to manage these effects; this intent is supported.	Allow the submission
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga - Caroline Rachlin	522.55	Support	HH / Rules / HH-R12	The submission requests HH-R12 is retained as notified. This is supported for the reasons stated in the submission.	Allow the submission
Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning Limited)	565.101	Oppose	HH / Rules / HH-R13	The submission requests this rule is moved to the Network Utilities Chapter. This is not supported as the rule sits within a broader rule framework and associated policy direction which is best located within the Heritage section of the plan.	Disallow the submission
Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning Limited)	565.90	Oppose	HH / Rules / HH-R13	The submission requests changes to the rule with respect to certain activities within proximity to a site and activity status. The reasons for a setback are well understood, noting the archaeological record in the District is not complete, and that the full extent of	Disallow the submission.

Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga

				<p>sites are not always known and mapped despite best endeavours.</p> <p>A permitted activity status is not appropriate. As noted above, the notice to tangata whenua prior to these works occurring is a current requirement in the Operative District Plan. To date no notice has ever been received in relation to those requirements which gives little confidence that this requirement would be met by network utility operators. The consent process is the only process available to manage these works and potentially provide for a level of engagement with tangata whenua recognising their relationship with these sites, areas and features.</p>	
Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning Limited)	565.93	Oppose	HH / Rules / HH-R13	<p>A permitted activity status is not appropriate. As noted above, the notice to tangata whenua prior to these works occurring is a current requirement in the Operative District Plan. To date no notice has ever been received in relation to those requirements which gives little confidence that this requirement would be met by network utility operators. The consent process is the only process available to manage these works and potentially provide for a level of engagement with tangata whenua recognising their relationship with these sites, areas and features.</p>	Disallow the submission.
Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning Limited)	565.91	Oppose	HH / Rules / HH-R17	<p>The submission requests earthworks and land-disturbance associated with new and existing network utilities be excluded from this provision where provided for by new rules in the NU chapter.</p>	Disallow the submission

Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga

Johnson Resource Management Limited - Helen Johnson	484.44	Oppose	HH / Rules / HH-18	<p>The submission requests a different activity status for subdivision creating a new allotment separated from an archaeological site by 50 metres.</p> <p>Part of the purpose of the subdivision provision is to ensure a site retains its context with surrounding areas. This is variable from site to site. Introducing another generic parameter to all sites is not considered appropriate.</p> <p>The rule parameter requested includes an additional requirement 'provided the use of land on which the site is contained does not change substantially as a result of the subdivision' is too ambiguous, and not appropriate to determine rule compliance or otherwise.</p>	Disallow the submission
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga - Caroline Rachlin	522.39	Support in Part	HH / Rules / New Rules	The submission requests new rules in relation to heritage areas. This intent is supported subject to the specific wording of rule parameters.	Allow the submission in part
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga - Caroline Rachlin	522.61b	Support in Part	HH / Rules / New Rules	The submission requests a new rule for the demolition or destruction of scheduled archaeological sites as a non-complying activity. The intent of this is supported subject to the specific wording of the rule parameter.	Allow the submission in part

Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga

<p>New Plymouth District Council - Juliet Johnson</p>	<p>582.23</p>	<p>Support in Part</p>	<p>HH / Rules / New Rule</p>	<p>The submission requests a new rule and appropriate discretionary activity standards as follows (or use wording with similar effect): HH-R16 - Additions to or alterations of a structure that is or forms part of a scheduled archaeological site All zones Activity Status: DIS.</p> <p>The intent to add a rule to manage this activity is supported, subject to the specific wording of the rule parameter and activity status.</p> <p>It is noted that the relief sought would be provided by the rule framework sought in our original submission in relation to archaeological sites.</p>	<p>Allow the submission in part</p>
<p>New Plymouth District Council - Juliet Johnson</p>	<p>582.24</p>	<p>Support in Part</p>	<p>HH / Rules / New Rule</p>	<p>The submission requests a new rule and appropriate discretionary activity standards as follows (or use wording with similar effect): HH-R17 - Removal of a structure which is or forms part of a scheduled archaeological site from a scheduled archaeological site All zones Activity Status: DIS</p> <p>The intent to add a rule to manage this activity is supported, subject to the specific wording of the rule parameter and activity status.</p> <p>It is noted that the relief sought would be provided by the rule framework sought in our original submission in relation to archaeological sites.</p>	<p>Allow the submission in part</p>

Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga

Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.103	Support	HH / Rules / Archaeological Sites	The submission seeks changes to the rules around activities on archaeological sites and suggests an alternative simplified rule framework. This provides greater clarity than the proposed rules and so will lead to greater protection for archaeological sites in line with the Ngāti Mutunga original submission to the District Plan	Allow the submission	Support in part
<b>SASM – Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori</b>						
Kāinga Ora - Homes and Communities - Dr Claire Kirman	563.311	Oppose	SASM	<p>The requested changes in the submission do not take into account the requirement to protect historic heritage resources.</p> <p>The relief sought by the submitter looks to remove a range of rule triggers to manage activities in proximity to SASM. This is not supported; there is a long history of historic heritage resources being subject to inappropriate subdivision, use or development in the absence of provisions which manage activities in proximity to a site/area/feature, or recognise that the archaeological record for the District is incomplete and there remains significant risk that historic heritage resources that were previously unrecorded from being encountered at time of development.</p> <p>The submission also suggests that accidental discovery protocols (ADP) provide sufficient protection for areas/items/features outside of 50 metres from a scheduled site. This is not supported; and ADP does little to protect historic heritage as required as a matter of national importance under the RMA.</p>	Disallow the submission.	

Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga

Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga - Marlene Benson	520.77	Support in part	SASM / Overview and objectives	Further submission –  During reviewing the Notified plan it has become apparent that two of the sites within the Ngāti Mutunga rohe no longer have the status of silent files	Allow the submission in part and extend the silent file status to the following sites:  604 – Tutanganui 605 - Te Uruuru  and make consequential amendments to other relevant provisions of the plan
The Oil Companies (Z Energy, Mobil Oil New Zealand, BP Oil New Zealand) - Matthew Thode (4Sight Consulting)	551.112	Oppose in part	SASM / Policies / SASM-P2	The submission requests the wording 'unless there is no practicable alternative'. This is not considered appropriate in this policy context, nor is it clear what constitutes what is practicable or not.	Disallow the submission
The Oil Companies (Z Energy, Mobil Oil New Zealand, BP Oil New Zealand) - Matthew Thode (4Sight Consulting)	551.113a	Oppose	SASM / Policies / SASM-P4	The submission requests the wording 'practicable' be added to the policy in place of 'possible'. This is not considered appropriate in this policy context, nor is it clear what constitutes what is practicable or not.	Disallow the submission
The Oil Companies (Z Energy, Mobil Oil New Zealand, BP Oil New Zealand) - Matthew Thode (4Sight Consulting)	551.113b	Oppose	SASM / Policies / SASM-P5	The submission requests additional wording that recognises situations where a site may already be affected by development that is considered regionally significant.  SASM are matters of national importance that are to be protected. The proposed wording reduces the ability to achieve required protection.	Disallow the submission

Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_\_ Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga

				<p>The operation needs of an activity and/or the level to which a site is already affected are subservient to the requirement to protect those areas, and the relationship tangata whenua hold with those sites.</p> <p>The submission requests the wording 'practicable' be added to the policy in place of 'possible'. This is not considered appropriate in this policy context, nor is it clear what constitutes what is practicable or not.</p>	
Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga - Marlene Benson	520.89	Support in part	SASM / Policies / SASM-P7	Further submission – extend the silent file status to two of the sites with the rohe of Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga	<p>Allow the submission in part and extend the silent file status to the following sites:</p> <p>604 – Tutanganui 605 - Te Uruuru</p> <p>and make consequential amendments to other relevant provisions of the plan</p>
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga - Caroline Rachlin	522.72	Support	SASM / Policies / New Policy	The submission requests a new policy specifically to avoid the destruction or demolition of sites and areas of significance to Māori. Implementation of this policy with associated rule with non-complying activity status is also requested.	Allow the submission
Dow AgroSciences (NZ) Limited - Daniel Minhinnick	472.1	Oppose	SASM / Rules	The submission requests an exclusion for earthworks associated with minor repairs and maintenance. It is not clear what scale of works are considered minor, similarly the impact of earthworks on different sites can be different.	Disallow the submission

**Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga**

Z Energy Limited - Sean Stirling (4Sight Consulting)	284.5	Oppose	SASM / Rules / SASM-R1	<p>The submission requests additional wording to the rule parameter permitting earthworks that are limited to an area that has previously been disturbed – this is not supported by Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga as in most cases the original earthworks were not monitored by hapu or iwi.</p> <p>Such a rule change also does not provide clarity for the period of time over which previous disturbance can have occurred which would make it difficult to enforce.</p>	Disallow the submission
Federated Farmers Taranaki - Mark Hooper, Provincial President	564.152	Oppose	SASM / Rules / SASM-R1	<p>The submission requests a number of other activities (like track maintenance and cropping) are provide for as permitted activities. These activities routinely interfere with SASM in the District. The consent process is the most appropriate process to manage the effects of those activities. As noted in the submission archaeological sites are often unknown to a farmer – this increases the need for these activities to be managed through a consent process.</p>	Disallow the submission
Port Taranaki Limited - Guy Roper	525.41	Oppose	SASM / Rules / SASM-R5-SASM-R7	<p>The submission requests that the rule trigger of 50m from the extent of a SASM be reduced. The location of the rule trigger takes into account the fact that the full extent of a site is not known at time the proposed plan was notified despite best endeavours. Often additional features on the periphery of sites are located at time of development which were previously unrecorded</p>	Disallow the submission



Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_\_ Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga

Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga - Caroline Rachlin	522.81	Support in part	SASM / Rules / SASM-R5-SASM-R7	The submission requests the rule parameters are amended to provide greater clarity regarding activity status and where the rule is triggered in relation to the extent of a SASM, and to ensure that these are consistent with relevant policy direction	Allow the submission in part
Federated Farmers Taranaki - Mark Hooper, Provincial President	564.164	Oppose	SASM / Rules / SASM-R8	The submission requests a number of other activities (like track maintenance and cropping) are provide for as permitted activities. These activities routinely interfere with SASM in the District. The consent process is the most appropriate process to manage the effects of those activities. As noted in the submission archaeological sites are often unknown to a farmer – this increases the need for these activities to be managed through a consent process.	Disallow the submission
Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning Limited)	565.104	Oppose	SASM / Rules / SASM-R8	The submission requests earthworks associated with network utilites are excluded from this rule. Irrespective of the activity, earthworks pose significant risk to the protection of historic heritage and as such must be managed through a resource consent process as set in our principal submission.	Disallow the submission.
Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning Limited)	565.105	Oppose	SASM / Rules / New Rule	The submission requests a new rule be added with respect to SASM into the network utilities chapter. Irrespective of the activity, earthworks pose significant risk to the protection of historic heritage and as such must be managed through a resource consent process as set in our principal submission.	Disallow the submission

**Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga**

New Plymouth District Council - Juliet Johnson	580.36	Support in part	SASM / Rules / New Rule	<p>The submission requests a new rule be added to regulate the demolition or destruction of a scheduled site of significance to Māori as follows: SASM-R7 – Demolition or destruction of a scheduled archaeological site All zones Activity Status: DIS</p> <p>It is considered the appropriate activity status for this activity must be prohibited.</p>	Allow the submission in part
--	--------	-----------------	-------------------------	---	------------------------------

<b>Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity</b>					
Taranaki Fish & Game Council - Allen Stancliff	126.18	Support	ECO / Policies / ECO-P1	Submission suggest an amendment to ECO – P1 that recognises the importance of protecting the linkages between wetlands, waterbodies and the coast – this is supported as it is in line with the Ngāti Mutunga Iwi Environmental Management Plan	Allow the submission
Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust - Malcolm Lucas	499.4	Support	ECO / Policies / ECO-P3	Submission asks for an addition to this policy that recognises the need to manage the potential adverse effects of water takes and discharges	Allow the submission
Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning)	565.120	Appose	ECO / Policies / New policy	<p>Submission asks for a new policy that asks for the adverse effects of the damage caused to vegetation by the location of new network utilities within SNA's</p> <p>This is apposed as it appears to give undue weight to the value of new network utilities against the need to protect indigenous biodiversity</p>	Disallow the submission

Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_\_ Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga

Kāinga Ora - Homes and Communities - Dr Claire Kirman	563.308	Appose	ECO / Rules / ECO-R1	<p>Ask for the activity status of vegetation disturbance in SNA's to be changed from Non-complying to Discretionary in order to allow for Urban intensification.</p> <p>This is apposed because it appears to give undue weight for the need for new housing against the need to protect the remaining areas of indigenous vegetation within urban areas and recognise the value they give to the communities that live there.</p>	Disallow the submission
Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning Limited)	565.114	Appose	ECO / Rules / ECO-R1	Submission asks for activity status of Rule ECO – R1 to be changed from Non-complying to Discretionary – this is not supported due to importance of protecting the remaining areas of indigenous biodiversity within the New Plymouth District	Disallow the submission
Powerco Limited - Cheryl Cleary	568.87	Appose	ECO / Rules / ECO-R1	The submission asks for the permitted status to be extended to 'upgrading' activities covered in part 2 including network utilities – this is not considered appropriate as there is no clear limit on the scale of work that could be included under this description	Disallow the submission
The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated - Tom Kay, Regional Manager	487.40	Support	ECO / Rules / ECO-R2	The submission seeks to change the provisions in ECO – R2 to allow 100m2 of indigenous vegetation to be disturbed per site every 10 years rather than 5 – this is supported due to the scarcity of indigenous vegetation remaining in the Coastal environment and its importance in providing a habitat for taonga species	Allow the Submission

**Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga**

Department of Conservation - Nardia Yozin	550.69	Support	ECO / Rules / ECO-R2	The submission seeks to extend rule ECO – R2 to apply to all zones – not just the Rural – this is supported due to the scarcity of indigenous vegetation remaining in the Coastal environment and its importance in providing a habitat for taonga species	Allow the Submission
Powerco Limited - Cheryl Cleary	568.88	Appose	ECO / Rules / ECO-R2	The submission seeks to increase the area permitted to be disturbed in the Coastal environment from 100m2 per site every five years to 500 m2 – this is not supported due to the scarcity of indigenous Coastal vegetation within Taranaki and because of the important habitat the remaining areas provide for taonga species	Disallow the submission
Taranaki Fish & Game Council - Allen Stancliff	126.19a	Support	ECO / Rules / ECO-R3 and R4 and R6	The submission seeks to include 'regionally distinctive species' alongside threatened or at risk species to the matters over which control is reserved – this is supported for the increased protection it will give to Ngāti Mutunga Taonga Species	Allow the submission
Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust - Malcolm Lucas	499.8	Support	ECO / Rules / ECO-R3	The submission seeks an amendment to the activity status of Rule ECO – R3 from discretionary to non-complying	Allow the submission
Department of Conservation - Nardia Yozin	550.63	Support	ECO / Rules / New rule	The submission outlines a new rule framework to maintain biodiversity while allowing some activities that will cause the disturbance of vegetation – it is supported as it clearly limits the amount of vegetation clearance that would be permitted within a five year period to 250m2	Allow the submission

**Natural Features and Landscapes**

**Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga**

Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	459.155	Support	NFL	<p>The submission outlines the attributes and significance of Taranaki Maunga and its surrounding landscape to Te Ati Awa iwi. Describes threats and iwi aspirations for restoration, as part of Taranaki Mounga project.</p> <p>Seeks a transfer of powers under RMA Section 33 to the future iwi authority likely to be established following a Treaty Settlement currently progressing, to be responsible for managing the impact of use and development of Ngā Mounga o Taranaki.</p>	Allow the submission
The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated - Tom Kay, Regional Manager	487.59	Support	NFL / Objectives / NFL-O1	The new objective as proposed would enable more integrated management of the coastal environment alongside the Regional Coastal Plan for Taranaki 2019.	Allow the submission
Powerco Limited - Cheryl Cleary	568.90	Oppose	NFL / Policies / NFL-P2	The submission requests additional wording to be added to the policy. The resulting policy wording is not considered to give effect to the provisions of the NZCPS and therefore should not be included.	Disallow the submission

<b>Waterbodies</b>					
Trustpower Limited - Shelby Managh	544.113	Oppose	WB	The NPS-FM 2020 sets out the policy framework for the management of freshwater in Aotearoa. The core principle of Te Mana o te Wai requires integrated management of freshwater across regional and local authorities. The waterbodies chapter assist NPDC in fulfilling those requirements.	Disallow the submission

**Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga**

Powerco Limited – Cheryl Cleary	568.97	Oppose	WB / Policies / WB-P2	The submission requests additional wording that reduces the clarity of the policy and is therefore not considered to be efficient.	Disallow the submission
Taranaki Regional Council - Fred McLay	549.10	Support	WB / Rules	The submission requests an advice note that states "The rules relate to the location of the waterbody and the maps are indicative of their location only. This is supported to provide clarity to plan users.	Allow the submission
Landpro Limited – Kathryn Hooper	475.3	Oppose	WB / Rules	The submission requests a number of clarifications in the rules relating to structures in waterbodies, and earthworks in proximity to waterbodies. The definition of water body is included in the Act which does not require repetition in this plan	Disallow the submission
Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust - Malcolm Lucas	499.16/17	Support	WB / Rules / WB-R1 and R2	The submission requests a setback of 20 metres for activities in relation to waterbodies - this is supported because of the extra protection it will offer to the waterbodies within the Ngāti Mutunga rohe.	Allow the submission

<b>SUB – Subdivision</b>					
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga - Caroline Rachlin	522.88	Support	SUB	The submission requests a change in activity status for subdivision where the site contains identified heritage buildings and items, scheduled archaeological sites and Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori to ensure the appropriate recognition of heritage and cultural values.	Allow the submission

**Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga**

Department of Conservation - Nardia Yozin	550.99	Support	SUB / Objectives / SUB-02	The submission requests the objective be amended to include reference to habitats of Indigenous fauna - this is supported as it adds clarity by seeking that the <b>habitat</b> of important indigenous fauna (which include taonga species of Ngāti Mutunga) are protected	Allow the submission
Department of Conservation - Nardia Yozin	550.100	Support	SUB / Policies / SUB-P2	The submission requests the policy amended to include a reference to habitats of indigenous fauna - - this is supported as it adds clarity by seeking that the <b>habitat</b> of important indigenous fauna (which include taonga species of Ngāti Mutunga) are protected	Allow the submission
Department of Conservation - Nardia Yozin	550.97	Support in part	SUB / Rules / SUB-R4	The submission requests the rule is amended to manage subdivision within 1km of the Egmont National Park and adjacent to Public Conservation Land as a discretionary activity, as well as introduce the wording 'habitats of indigenous fauna' to matters of control for all other subdivision in the rural production zone - - this is supported as it adds clarity by seeking that the <b>habitat</b> of important indigenous fauna (which include taonga species of Ngāti Mutunga) are protected	Allow the submission in part

<b>CE – Coastal Environment</b>					
New Plymouth District Council - Juliet Johnson	582.45	Support in Part	CE / Objectives / New Objective	The submission requests a new objective to better implement the provisions of the NZCPS and RPS with respect to development in the coastal environment. This is supported subject to specific wording being proposed and the alignment of the wording and the intention of the Ngāti Mutunga Iwi Management Plan	Allow the submission in part

**Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga**

Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning Limited)	565.162	Support in part	CE / Policies / CE-P2	The submission supports CE-P2 subject to a new policy being included which gives effect to the NPSET. This is supported in part subject to wording of the policy	Allow the submission in part
Powerco Limited - Cheryl Cleary	568.107	Oppose	CE / Policies / CE-P3	The submission requests network utilities are provided for in policy CE-P3 as opposed to CE-P4. This elevates how appropriate network utilities are in the coastal environment which is out of step with the provisions of the NZCPS. It is considered that CE-P4 is the appropriate policy setting to provide for network utilities in the coastal environment.	Disallow the submission
Powerco Limited - Cheryl Cleary	568.109	Oppose	CE / Policies / CE-P3	The submission requests network utilities are provided for in policy CE-P3 as opposed to CE-P4. This elevates how appropriate network utilities are in the coastal environment which is out of step with the provisions of the NZCPS. It is considered that CE-P4 is the appropriate policy setting to provide for network utilities in the coastal environment.	Disallow the submission
The Oil Companies (Z Energy, Mobil Oil New Zealand, BP Oil New Zealand) - Matthew Thode (4Sight Consulting)	551.135	Oppose	CE / Policies / CE-P5	The submission requests additional wording to which increases the ambiguity of the policy and reduces its effectiveness at avoiding adverse effects in the coastal environment, which in turn reduces the degree to which the policy gives effect to the NZCPS and therefore should be disallowed	Disallow the submission
Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning Limited)	565.160	Oppose	CE / Policies / CE-P5	The submission requests additional wording to the policy ('significant and permanent') which limits the scope of effects the policy would require avoidance of. Limiting the scope to permanent effects in particular is reduces the degree to which the policy	Disallow the submission



Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga

				gives effect to the NZCPS and therefore should be disallowed.	
Department of Conservation - Nardia Yoizin	487.79	Support	CE / Policies / CE-P8	The submission requests additional wording to the policy which is more consistent with the direction set in the NZCPS with respect to indigenous biodiversity.	Allow the submission
Powerco Limited - Cheryl Cleary	568.111	Oppose	CE / Policies / CE-P8	The submission requests additional wording that reduces the clarity of the policy, and is therefore not considered to be efficient.	Disallow the submission
Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning Limited)	565.158	Oppose	CE / Policies / New policy	The submission requests a new policy with respect to the national grid in the coastal environment. This is supported in part subject to specific wording changes which provide for the relationship of tangata whenua with the coastal environment through the implementation of the policy.	Support in part
Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning Limited)	565.159	Oppose	CE / Policies / New policy	The submission requests a new policy with respect to the national grid to recognise and provide for operation, maintenance and upgrading activities. National grid assets in the coastal environment) are located in sensitive environments where the upgrading of those facilities in the current location cannot be supported	Disallow the submission
Powerco Limited - Cheryl Cleary	568.108	Oppose	CE / Policies / New policy	The submission requests a specific policy for network utilities looking to locate in the coastal environment. The provisions of the policy are already provided for in the policy framework and it is considered that the policy proposed simply re-stated those requirements.	Disallow the submission

**Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga**

Powerco Limited - Cheryl Cleary	568.112	Oppose	CE / Rules / CE-R1	The submission requests additional wording to CE-R1 for activities that are provided for elsewhere in the rule framework.	Disallow the submission
Department of Conservation - Nardia Yozin	550.106	Support	CE / Rules / CE-R17	The submission supports rule CE-17 as notified.	Allow the submission
<b>EW – Earthworks</b>					
Ngāti Tawhirikura Hapū - Ngamata Skipper	519.12	Support	EW / Policies / EW-P1	The submission requests that the policy be amended to identify that in general tangata whenua will not support broad-scale alteration of natural landform.	Allow the submission
The Oil Companies (Z Energy, Mobil Oil New Zealand, BP Oil New Zealand) - Matthew Thode (4Sight Consulting)	551.102	Oppose	EW / Policies / EW-P4	The submission requests additional wording which are not considered appropriate in the context of the policy, nor are they consistent with the objectives of the P-NPDP relevant to archaeological sites and sites and areas of significance to Māori.	Disallow the submission
Chorus New Zealand Limited - Andrew Kantor and Tom Anderson	547.86	Oppose	EW / Rules / EW-R9	The submission requests changes to the rule parameter to increase the amount of open earthworks at any one time to 2500m <sup>2</sup> . The rationale for this change is to be consistent with the Auckland Unitary Plan. It is not clear why the NPDP would need to align with the provisions of the Auckland Unitary Plan.	Disallow the submission
Spark New Zealand Trading Limited - Graeme McCarrison and Tom Anderson	567.87	Oppose	EW / Rules / EW-R9	The submission requests changes to the rule parameter to increase the amount of open earthworks at any one time to 2500m <sup>2</sup> . The rationale for this change is to be consistent with the Auckland Unitary Plan. It is not clear why the NPDP would need to align with the provisions of the Auckland Unitary Plan.	Disallow the submission

**Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga**

Two Degrees Networks Limited - Ben Blakemore and Tom Anderson	569.87	Oppose	EW / Rules / EW-R9	The submission requests changes to the rule parameter to increase the amount of open earthworks at any one time to 2500m <sup>2</sup> . The rationale for this change is to be consistent with the Auckland Unitary Plan. It is not clear why the NPDP would need to align with the provisions of the Auckland Unitary Plan.	Disallow the submission
Bland & Jackson Surveyors Limited - Geoff Bland, Colin Jackson, Vaughan Maclean, Vaughan Redshaw, Mark Bland, Kasey Bellamy / 345	343.1a	Support in part	EW / Rules / EW-R10	The submission requests a change to the rule parameter to increase the level of permitted earthworks able to be undertaken at any one time. Any change to the quantity of earthworks must consider how natural landforms are to be retained, and the cumulative effects of successive earthworks on that landform.	Allow the submission in part
Vaughan Maclean	337.7	Oppose	EW / Rules / EW-R12	The regional freshwater plan regulates different effects resulting from earthworks than those within the scope of a district plan. It is not considered appropriate to rely on the regional freshwater plan to manage all effects generated by earthworks (e.g. on landform).	Disallow the submission
Bland & Jackson Surveyors Limited - Geoff Bland, Colin Jackson, Vaughan Maclean, Vaughan Redshaw, Mark Bland, Kasey Bellamy / 345	343.1b	Oppose	EW / Rules / EW-R12	The regional freshwater plan regulates different effects resulting from earthworks than those within the scope of a district plan. It is not considered appropriate to rely on the regional freshwater plan to manage all effects generated by earthworks (e.g. on landform).	Disallow the submission
Greymouth Petroleum Limited – Tanya Hansen	548.77	Oppose	EW / Rules / EW-R12	The regional freshwater plan regulates different effects resulting from earthworks than those within the scope of a district plan. It is not considered appropriate to rely on the regional freshwater plan to manage all effects	Disallow the submission

Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_\_ Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga

				generated by earthworks (e.g. on landform).	
Federated Farmers Taranaki - Mark Hooper, Provincial President	564.222	Oppose	EW / Rules / EW-R12	The regional freshwater plan regulates different effects resulting from earthworks than those within the scope of a district plan. It is not considered appropriate to rely on the regional freshwater plan to manage all effects generated by earthworks (e.g. on landform).	Disallow the submission
Federated Farmers Taranaki - Mark Hooper, Provincial President	564.223a	Oppose	EW / Rules / EW-S1	The regional freshwater plan regulates different effects resulting from earthworks than those within the scope of a district plan. It is not considered appropriate to rely on the regional freshwater plan to manage all effects generated by earthworks (e.g. on landform).	Disallow the submission
Bland & Jackson Surveyors Limited - Geoff Bland, Colin Jackson, Vaughan Maclean, Vaughan Redshaw, Mark Bland, Kasey Bellamy / 345	343.1c	Oppose	EW / Rules / EW-S2	No evidence is provided in the submission to justify why extra height is required for cut faces given the effects the provision is designed to manage.	Disallow the submission
Greymouth Petroleum Limited - Tanya Hansen	548.80	Oppose	EW / Rules / EW-S2	The submission looks to exempt oil and gas activities from this standard. It is not clear from the submission what the resource management reason is for this exclusion.	Disallow the submission
Federated Farmers Taranaki - Mark Hooper, Provincial President	564.223b	Oppose	EW / Rules / EW-S2	The regional freshwater plan regulates different effects resulting from earthworks than those within the scope of a district plan. It is not considered appropriate to rely on the regional	Disallow the submission

Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga

				freshwater plan to manage all effects generated by earthworks (e.g. on landform).	
Powerco Limited - Cheryl Cleary	568.125	Oppose	EW / Rules / EW-S2	The submission requests the rule parameter be amended to reference setback from the nearest site boundary, building or structure measured on the horizontal plane. This is not supported as the amended parameter does not address impacts of earthworks on natural landform (as one example).	Disallow the submission
Kāinga Ora - Homes and Communities - Dr Claire Kirman	563.380	Oppose	EW / Rules / EW-S3	The submission requests changes to EW-S3 including the reference to the use of native species that are of local stock (eco-sourced). These wording changes are not supported. Remediation that does not use native species, and those which are eco-sourced has the potential to result in further adverse effects on the environment with respect to the loss of indigenous biodiversity, and local genetic variants of native species.	Disallow the submission
Federated Farmers Taranaki - Mark Hooper, Provincial President	564.223c	Oppose	EW / Rules / EW-S3	The regional freshwater plan regulates different effects resulting from earthworks than those within the scope of a district plan. It is not considered appropriate to rely on the regional freshwater plan to manage all effects generated by earthworks (e.g. on landform).	Disallow the submission
Federated Farmers Taranaki - Mark Hooper, Provincial President	564.223e	Oppose	EW / Rules / EW-S3	The regional freshwater plan regulates different effects resulting from earthworks than those within the scope of a district plan. It is not considered appropriate to rely on the regional	Disallow the submission

Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga

				freshwater plan to manage all effects generated by earthworks (e.g. on historic heritage).	
First Gas Limited - Zane Wood	309.15	Oppose	EW / Rules / New rules	The submission requests a new rule to facilitate earthworks up to a depth of 400mm. Clarity whether this rule facilitates any earthworks on historic heritage and other areas identified in overlay chapters of the P-NPDP is required. The rule is not supported in the instance that it does facilitate earthworks on areas of historic heritage and other features noted in overlay sections of the P-NPDP.	Disallow the submission
First Gas Limited - Zane Wood	309.16	Oppose	EW / Rules / New rules	The submission requests a new rule to facilitate earthworks that exceed a depth of 400mm as a restricted discretionary activity. Clarity is required for this rule, with matters of discretion silent on the effects of earthworks on historic heritage and other areas identified in overlay chapters of the P-NPDP	Disallow the submission
Horticulture New Zealand - Jordyn Landers	457.37	Oppose	EW / Rules / New rule	The submission requests a new rule be added enabling ancillary earthworks to rural activities as a permitted activity, noting that horticulture can be adequately managed through the application of good practise and guidance at an industry level. It is not clear how this rule would work in practise, be enforceable or implement the objectives and policies of the P-NPDP	Disallow the submission
<b>RPROZ – Rural Production Zone</b>					

Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga

Susan Whelan	185.1	Appose	RPROZ / Zoning	<p>Submission asks that their property at Lower Kaipikari Road is rezoned from RPROZ to RLZ</p> <p>The proposed plan includes directive policies regarding growth which included engagement of mana whenua to provide cultural expertise to inform the re-zoning and subsequent development. Until such time as this process occurs then this is not appropriate, not does the relief sought in the submission give effect to the provisions of the proposed plan.</p>	Disallow the submission
Taylor Patrick Limited - Stefan Kiss	538.4	Appose	RPROZ / Zoning	<p>Submission opposes the RPROZ zoning at 33A Whakapaki Street, Urenui and seeks it is rezoned from RPROZ to RLZ and LRZ as shown on their attached plan L1.02</p> <p>The proposed plan includes directive policies regarding growth which included engagement of mana whenua to provide cultural expertise to inform the re-zoning and subsequent development. Until such time as this process occurs then this is not appropriate, not does the relief sought in the submission give effect to the provisions of the proposed plan</p> <p>Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga also have concerns that any necessary infrastructure is in place before any development such as that suggested in the proposal takes place.</p>	Disallow the submission

**Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga**

<p>DA and DG Keene Family Trust - Stefan Kiss (Taylor Patrick</p>	<p>268.1</p>	<p>Appose</p>	<p>RPROZ / Zoning</p>	<p>Submission opposes the RPROZ zoning at 33A Whakapaki Street, Urenui and seeks it is rezoned from RPROZ to RLZ and LRZ as shown on their attached plan L1.02</p> <p>The proposed plan includes directive policies regarding growth which included engagement of mana whenua to provide cultural expertise to inform the re-zoning and subsequent development. Until such time as this process occurs then this is not appropriate, not does the relief sought in the submission give effect to the provisions of the proposed plan</p> <p>Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga also have concerns that any necessary infrastructure is in place before any development such as that suggested in the proposal takes place.</p>	<p>Disallow the submission</p>
---	--------------	---------------	-----------------------	--	--------------------------------

<p><b>Part 4 Appendices and Schedules</b></p>					
<p><b>SCHED3 – Schedule of Archaeological Sites or Sites and Areas of Significance to Maori</b></p>					
<p>Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga - Marlene Benson</p>	<p>520.79</p>	<p>Support in Part</p>	<p>SCHED3 /</p>	<p>Further submission –</p> <p>During reviewing the proposed plan it has become apparent that two of the sites within the Ngāti Mutunga rohe no longer have the status of silent files</p>	<p>Relief sought - we request that the silent file status is extended to the following sites:</p> <p>604 – Tutanganui 605 - Te Urururu</p> <p>and make consequential amendment to other relevant provisions of the plan</p>



**Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_\_Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga**

Stephen and Carla Houghton	330.1	Oppose	SCHED3 / Archaeological Sites or Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori / Site IDs 433, 603	Submission asks for more information on the 433 and 603 or that they be removed from their property  Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga have met several times with the landowner and provided information about the importance and location of these sites to the Ngāti Mutunga iwi.	Disallow the submission
<b>SCHED4 – Notable Trees</b>					
Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga - Marlene Benson	520.122	Support in Part	SCHED4 / Notable Trees / New	Further submission on this point -	Relief sought  – ask that the schedule be amended to list one Ti Kouka tree only and the exact location of the tree will be provided in consultation with the land owner of 179 Kaipikari Road Upper – Legal Description Part Kaipikari 20 Block

Name of Further Submitter \_\_\_Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga

<b>SCHED9 – Schedule of Significant Waterbodies</b>					
Taranaki Regional Council - Fred McLay	549.5	Support	SCHED9 – SIG Water	<p>Submission asks that Schedule 9 to be amended to include all the wetlands within the New Plymouth District</p> <p>This is supported as it that recognises the importance that wetlands /reporepo have in protecting the mauri of the fresh water within our rohe</p>	Allow the submission