

FURTHER SUBMISSION



TELEPHONE 0800 327 646 | WEBSITE WWW.FEDFARM.ORG.NZ

Further submission in support of, or in opposition to, submission on notified proposed policy statement or plan, change or variation

Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To: **New Plymouth District Council**
E – districtplan@npdc.govt.nz

From: Federated Farmers of New Zealand

On the: **Proposed New Plymouth District Plan**

Date: **25 August 2020**

Contact: **Hilary Walker**
Regional Policy Advisor

Federated Farmers of New Zealand
PO Box 447
HAMILTON 3240

P: 0800 327 646
E: hwalker@fedfarm.org.nz

Name of person making further submission:
Federated Farmers of New Zealand

This is a further submission in support of and opposition to submissions on the following proposed plan,

Proposed New Plymouth District Plan:

Where Federated Farmers submitted on the same point as any other submitter it stands by its original submission. This Further Submission seeks only to provide Federated Farmers views on points raised by other submitters that are not already covered in our original submission.

I am

- *a person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest;*
- *a person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has.*

Grounds for further submission:

Federated Farmers of New Zealand is a representative body for farmers, so both represents a relevant aspect of the public interest and has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest that the general public has

I support and oppose the submission of:

Submitters stated in the schedule attached to this further submission.

The particular parts of the submissions I support and oppose are:

Variously stated with respect to respective submitters in the schedule attached to this further submission.

The reasons for my support and opposition are:

Variously stated with respect to respective submitters in the schedule attached to this further submission.

I seek that the whole or part of the submission be accepted or rejected:

As variously stated with respect to respective submitters in the schedule attached to this further submission.

I wish to be heard in support of my further submission. If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.

I acknowledge that by taking part in this public submission process the submission (including names and addresses) will be made public.



Hilary Walker

Hilary Walker on behalf of Federated Farmers of New Zealand
(person authorised to sign on behalf of person making further submission)

Date: 25 October 2020

Electronic Address for Service: hwalker@fedfarm.org.nz

Telephone: 0800 327 646

Postal Address: Federated Farmers of New Zealand
L5 169 London Street
PO Box 447
Hamilton 3240

Contact person: Hilary Walker - Senior Regional Policy Advisor

Proposed District Plan Chapter (Provision)	Sub No/ Point No	Submitter	Summary of submission	Support or oppose	Reason	Decision sought
ASW / Objectives / ASW-O1	459.230	Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	Add a new objective to the ASW chapter that provides for and gives effect to strategic objectives HC-3 and TW-11.	Oppose	The submitter has not provided any proposed wording to enable an assessment of the merits or impacts this relief may have on our members.	That the submission is rejected
ASW / Policies / ASW-P1	126.13	Taranaki Fish & Game Council - Allen Stancliff	Amend Policy ASW-P1 as follows: "Allow activities on the surface of water, including customary activities by tangata whenua, <u>except where restrictions are necessary to while avoiding, remedying or mitigating adverse effects on the natural character, ecological, cultural, amenity, and recreational values of waterbodies.</u> "	Oppose	It is unclear what this amendment achieves over and above the notified policy	That the submission is rejected
ASW / Policies / ASW-P2	459.232	Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	Supports Policy ASW-P2 (manage structures on the surface of water) but seeks an amendment to require engagement of tangata whenua expertise rather than the outcomes of consultation.	Oppose	It is unclear what the amendment achieves over and above the notified policy	That the submission is rejected
ASW / Rules / ASW-R1	126.14	Taranaki Fish & Game Council - Allen Stancliff	Amend Rule ASW-R1 as follows: All Zones: Activity Status: PER <u>Where</u> 1. <u>The activity does not have adverse effects on the natural character, ecological, cultural, amenity and recreation value of the waterbody.</u> Activity status where compliance not achieved: N/A <u>RDIS or DIS</u>	Oppose	It is an unreasonable requirement for an activity to have no adverse effects. The amendment does not strike the right balance between resource use and resource protection.	That the submission is rejected
CE	487.2a	The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated - Tom Kay, Regional Manager	Add new policy and rules to give effect to Policies 11, 13 and 15 of the NZCPS, and to ensure they apply to the full Coastal Environment overlay. Clarify the relationship between the Coastal Environment chapter to other chapters. Identify areas of high natural character areas and show these on the planning map overlays, and include policy and rules to avoid, remedy or mitigate effects on high natural character areas.	Oppose	FFNZ considers that it is inappropriate to add any sites located on private land without direct landowner consultation in the first instance. There are very restrictive land use controls which apply to these overlays and as such, a rigorous identification process with meaningful consultation is necessary. With regards to giving effect to the NZCPS, the submitter has not provided any proposed wording to enable an assessment of the merits or impacts this relief may have on our members.	That the submission be rejected

CE / Objectives / New objective	582.45	New Plymouth District Council - Juliet Johnson	Add a new objective to recognise activities within the coastal environment that are important to the social, economic and cultural well-being of people and communities and provide for appropriate, subdivision, use, development and occupation of the coastal environment. Consequential amendment to Policy CE-P7 to allow consideration of the positive effects associated with an activity.	Support	For the reasons stated by the submitter.	That the submission is accepted.
CE / Objectives / New objective	534.106	Te Kāhui o Taranaki Trust - Wharehoka Wano	Add new objective regarding integrated management of use and development that spans mean high water springs jurisdictional boundaries between NPDC and TRC.	Oppose	The submitter has not provided any proposed wording to enable an assessment of the merits or impacts this relief may have on our members.	That the submission is rejected
CE / Policies / CE- P3	487.84	The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated - Tom Kay, Regional Manager	Amend Policy CE-P3 to remove the word “appropriately” or amend the policy so there is no conflict with the directive avoid requirements of the NZCPS.	Oppose	FFNZ's supports the approach of notified policy	That the submission is rejected.
CE / Policies / CE- P4	487.90	The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated - Tom Kay, Regional Manager	Amend policy CE-P4 as follows: “Manage the scale, location, establishment and design of activities within the Coastal Environment that have the potential to adversely affect coastal natural character, landscape, amenity, historic, cultural and ecological values, <u>indigenous biodiversity</u> and/or that have the potential to increase or be vulnerable to coastal hazards, including:...”	Oppose	The amendment is unnecessary, effects on indigenous biodiversity can be considered within the ecological values attribute	That the submission be rejected

CE / Policies / CE-P5	549.20	Taranaki Regional Council - Fred McLay	Amend Policy CE-P5 as follows: "Avoid activities within the Coastal Environment that: 1. are likely to will result in <u>significant</u> adverse effects on coastal natural character, landscape, amenity, historic, cultural and ecological values; and/or that 2. will be vulnerable to risks from coastal hazards and climate change; and/or 3. that are likely to will create or increase hazard risks to people, property and the environment, including: 1a. significant hazardous facilities; 2b. quarries; 3c. large scale renewable electricity generation activities; 4d. petroleum exploration and petroleum production; and/or 5e. hazard sensitive activities."	Support	For reasons stated by the submitter.	That the submission be accepted.
CE / Policies / CE-P6	487.81	The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated - Tom Kay, Regional Manager	Amend Policy CE-P6 as follows: "Only allow <u>consider the</u> <u>establishment of</u> hard protection structures in the Coastal Environment when: 1. the use of 'soft' protection options, such as beach re-nourishment and planting, will be ineffective; 2. any adverse effects on natural character, indigenous biodiversity and amenity values will be avoided, or when avoidance is not possible, <u>appropriately</u> mitigated or remedied; 3. " Add policy direction into the Coastal Environment chapter to clearly direct the avoidance of significant adverse effects consistent with Policies 11, 13 and 15 of the NZCPS, which can be considered alongside this policy and ensure the NZCPS is given effect to.	Oppose in part	With regards to giving effect to the NZCPS, the submitter has not provided any proposed wording to enable an assessment of the merits or impacts this relief may have on our members.	That the submission to include new policy direction is rejected
CE / Policies / CE-P7	459.240	Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	Amend Policy CE-P7 as follows: "Ensure activities are not located inappropriately within the Coastal Environment, having regard to: 1. ... 2. the outcomes of any consultation. <u>Any expert advice received from Tangata Whenua, including with respect to ...</u> "	Oppose	It is unclear what this relief sought achieves over and above the notified policy wording	That the submission is rejected.

CE / Policies / CE-P7	487.80	The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated - Tom Kay, Regional Manager	Amend Policy CE-P7 as follows: "Ensure activities are not located inappropriately within the Coastal Environment, having regard to: 1. the effects of the activity and its impact on the particular natural character, landscape, amenity, historic, <u>indigenous biodiversity</u> and ecological values and/or recreational values of the area; ... <u>8. The activity is not within an outstanding natural landscape, feature or character site/area, or a significant natural area.</u> <u>9. the protection of indigenous biological diversity."</u>	Oppose	The amendments are unnecessary, the effects of activities on those values are already covered under CE-P7 (1).	That the submission is rejected
CE / Policies / CE-P8	487.79	The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated - Tom Kay, Regional Manager	Amend policy CE-P8 as follows: "Require activities within the Coastal Environment to <u>avoid or otherwise</u> minimise any adverse landscape, <u>ecological, indigenous biodiversity</u> , visual and amenity effects..."	Oppose	It is unclear what this relief achieves over and above the notified policy wording	That the relief sought is rejected
CE / Policies / CE-P9	487.78	The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated - Tom Kay, Regional Manager	Amend Policies CE-P9 and CE-P10, to combine into one policy, as follows: Where <u>Require</u> any proposed subdivision of land would create allotments adjoining mean high water springs, <u>provides for ensure</u> that an esplanade reserve or strip be provided at the time of subdivision or development along the mark of mean high water springs <u>to ensure</u> : 1. ... 11...."	Oppose	Subdivision of land can be undertaken for a number of reasons, it is inappropriate to provide for an esplanade reserve or strip in every instance.	That the relief sought is rejected
CE / Policies / New policy	338.2f	Nga Motu Marine Reserve Society Incorporated - Elise Smith	Add a new policy to the Coastal Environment chapter as follows: <u>Support development of resources and leadership for 'educational change for resilience' so the residents of NPDC adapt to a changing world.</u> <u>Work with cultural partners and scientific institutions.</u>	Support in part	The intention of the submission is understood however we consider that the leadership team needs to be broadened to include landowner representatives and other interested stakeholders	Accept the submission with the following amendment : Support development of resources and leadership for 'educational change for resilience' so the residents of NPDC adapt to a changing world. Work with <u>landowner representatives</u> , <u>cultural partners</u> , <u>interested stakeholders</u> and scientific institutions.

CE / Policies / New policy	487.87	The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated - Tom Kay, Regional Manager	Add policies to give effect to Policy 11 and 15 of the NZCPS into the Coastal Environment overlay chapter.	Oppose	With regards to giving effect to the NZCPS, the submitter has not provided any proposed wording to enable an assessment of the merits or impacts this relief may have on our members.	That the submission is rejected
CE / Rules / CE-R28	550.110	Department of Conservation - Nardia Yozin	Amend the matters of discretion to Rule CE-R28, by adding additional matters, as follows: "8. <u>The protection, maintenance and/or enhancement of natural features and landforms, waterbodies, indigenous vegetation, habitats of indigenous fauna, historic heritage, sites of significance to tangata whenua, archaeological sites or identified features.</u> 9. <u>The measures to avoid, remedy, or mitigate any adverse effects on the particular cultural, spiritual and/or heritage values, interests or associations of importance to tangata whenua as kaitiaki and mana whenua that are associated with the land being subdivided.</u> "	Oppose	These matters are appropriately addressed within the subdivision chapter	That the submission is rejected
CE / Rules / CE-R36	568.119	Powerco Limited - Cheryl Cleary	Amend the activity status of Rule CE-R36 to Restricted Discretionary and add clear and reasonable matters of discretion.	Oppose in part	Unable to support this submission as the submitter has not provided any proposed wording to enable an assessment of the merits or impacts this relief may have on our members.	That the submission is rejected.
CE / Rules / CE-R4	338.2g	Nga Motu Marine Reserve Society Incorporated - Elise Smith	Amend Rule CE-R4 to better protect natural values or provide integrated management, and provide for more holistic approaches.	Oppose	With incorporation of FFNZ relief sought the notified rule strikes the right balance between resource use and resource protection. The submitter has not provided any proposed wording to enable an assessment of the merits or impacts this relief may have on our members.	That the submission is rejected
CE / Rules / CE-R4	487.88	The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated - Tom Kay, Regional Manager	Amend Rule CE-R4 to ensure any associated indigenous vegetation disturbance is within 2m of the utility, track, drain, or structure being maintained.	Oppose	The blanket buffer zone approach is not supported. It may not be practical in every instance and does not strike the right balance between resource use and resource protection	That the submission is rejected.

CE / Rules / CE-R5	487.89	The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated - Tom Kay, Regional Manager	Amend Rule CE-R5 as follows: (Permitted) "Where: 1. all underlying zone rules and effects standards are complied with.; <u>and</u> 2. <u>The activity is not within 10 meters of a Scheduled SNA."</u>	Oppose	The blanket buffer zone approach is not supported. It may not be practical in every instance and does not strike the right balance between resource use and resource protection	That the submission is rejected
CE / Rules / CE-R7	338.2h	Nga Motu Marine Reserve Society Incorporated - Elise Smith	Did not state.	Oppose	Unable to support this submission as the submitter has not provided any proposed wording to enable an assessment of the merits or impacts this relief may have on our members.	That the submission is rejected
CE / Rules / Coastal Erosion Hazard Area Rules	381.4	Reeve Barnett	Amend the activity status of rules in the Coastal Erosion Hazard Areas to discretionary.	Oppose	The notified rules adopt an approach planning response	That the submission is rejected.
CL	311.71	Climate Justice Taranaki Incorporated - Catherine Cheung	NPDC needs to work with Taranaki Regional Council, as they are the main regulator of contaminant discharges, to ensure that NPDC has all information to manage landuse. In addition, stronger planning and regulatory efforts are needed to prevent contamination and its impacts before land is contaminated. Council should avoid use of chemicals that could contaminate land and harm to humans and biodiversity. Specifically ask Council to stop spraying glyphosate-based chemicals on public lands, particularly playgrounds and recreation spaces.	Oppose	As stated by the submitter it is a regional council function to regulate contaminant discharges.	That the submission is rejected
CL / Objectives / CL-O1	459.99a	Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	Amend Objective CL-O1 to ensure the relationship and effects areas of significant natural features and landforms, waterbodies, indigenous biodiversity, historic heritage and sites and areas of significance to Māori are considered.	Oppose	Unable to support this submission as the submitter has not provided any proposed wording to enable an assessment of the merits or impacts this relief may have on our members. Further, it seems as though the matters raised are more appropriately addressed across other chapters of the proposed plan.	That the submission is rejected.

CL / Policies / CL-P1	459.99b	Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	Amend Policy CL-P1 to ensure the relationship and effects areas of significant natural features and landforms, waterbodies, indigenous biodiversity, historic heritage and sites and areas of significance to Māori are considered.	Oppose	Unable to support this submission as the submitter has not provided any proposed wording to enable an assessment of the merits or impacts this relief may have on our members. Further, it seems as though the matters raised are more appropriately addressed across other chapters of the proposed plan.	That the submission is rejected.
CL / Policies / CL-P2	459.99c	Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	Amend Policy CL-P2 to ensure the relationship and effects areas of significant natural features and landforms, waterbodies, indigenous biodiversity, historic heritage and sites and areas of significance to Māori are considered.	Oppose	Unable to support this submission as the submitter has not provided any proposed wording to enable an assessment of the merits or impacts this relief may have on our members.	That the submission is rejected.
CL / Policies / CL-P2	551.63	The Oil Companies (Z Energy, Mobil Oil New Zealand, BP Oil New Zealand) - Matthew Thode (4Sight Consulting)	Amend Policy CL-P2 as follows: Ensure that contaminated land or potentially contaminated land is suitable for the intended use by requiring investigation, remediation or management where necessary at the time of land use change, subdivision or re-development so as to minimise the risk to human health from the contamination.	Support	For reasons stated by the submitter	That the submission is accepted.
Definitions / AGRICULTURAL, PASTORAL AND HORTICULTURAL ACTIVITIES	459.30	Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	Amend the definition for agricultural, pastoral and horticultural activities as follows: means the use of land and/or buildings for rural land uses where the primary purpose is to produce livestock, crops and other agricultural produce...and includes: • agricultural, pastoral/livestock farming, dairying and horticulture;... • wood lots up to 5ha in area;...	Oppose	The submission is understood but we consider that the relief sought could be more appropriately addressed with specific rules relating to forestry planting on sites of significance to Māori and historic heritage, rather than as an amendment to this definition.	That the submission is rejected

Definitions / BANK (OF A SIGNIFICANT WATERBODY OR NATURAL WATERBODY)	459.33	Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	Seeks amendment to definition for bank (of a significant waterbody or natural waterbody) so that all waterbodies are considered significant.	Oppose	Within the proposed plan different implementation methods have been applied to significant waterbodies and waterbodies. It is acknowledged that all waterbodies are connected and have important values which is why there are land use controls designed to ensure the adverse effects of activities on the values of waterbodies are avoided, remedied or mitigated. It would be inappropriate to elevate all waterbodies to a significance status without an assessment being undertaken as provided for in WB-P1.	That the submission is rejected
Definitions / BIODIVERSITY OFFSET	459.42	Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	Amend the definition for biodiversity offset as follows: means a measurable conservation outcome resulting from actions designed to compensate for significant residual adverse biodiversity effects arising from activities after avoidance, remediation, and mitigation measures have been taken. The goal of biodiversity offsets is to achieve no net loss and preferably a net gain of indigenous biodiversity values.	Oppose	The notified definition more accurately reflects the principle	That the submission is rejected
Definitions / BIODIVERSITY OFFSET	487.6a	The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated - Tom Kay, Regional Manager	Amend the definition for biodiversity offset as follows: means a measurable conservation outcome resulting from actions designed to compensate for significant residual adverse biodiversity effects arising from activities after avoidance, remediation, and mitigation measures have been taken.	Oppose	The notified definition more accurately reflects the principle	That the submission is rejected

Definitions / BIODIVERSITY OFFSET	544.22	Trustpower Limited - Shelby Managh	Amend the definition for biodiversity offset as follows: means a measurable conservation outcome resulting from actions designed to compensate for significant residual adverse <u>indigenous</u> biodiversity effects arising from activities after avoidance, remediation, and mitigation measures have been taken. The goal of <u>indigenous</u> biodiversity offsets is to achieve no net loss and preferably a net gain of indigenous biodiversity values.	Support	The amendments provide useful clarification and focus.	That the submission is accepted
Definitions / COASTAL EROSION HAZARD AREA	311.90	Climate Justice Taranaki Incorporated - Catherine Cheung	Did not state.	Oppose	The submitter has not provided any proposed wording to enable an assessment of the merits or impacts this relief may have on our members.	That the submission is rejected
Definitions / FARM QUARRIES	550.21	Department of Conservation - Nardia Yozin	Amend the definition for farm quarries as follows: means an open pit or excavation from which domestic quantities of soil, stone, sand, gravel or mineral is extracted for farming activities on the same site. It does not include earthworks, <u>indigenous vegetation or habitat of indigenous fauna disturbance</u> or the use of land and accessory buildings for offices, workshops and car parking areas associated with the operation of the	Oppose	The submission is difficult to follow in its logic. It is more appropriate to address any submitter concerns relating to indigenous vegetation or habitat disturbance within the land use controls sections, not as an exclusion to a tenuously linked definition.	That the submission is rejected
Definitions / HELICOPTER LANDING AREA	457.5	Horticulture New Zealand - Jordyn Landers	Add a new exclusion as follows: ... It does not include: <u>9. Areas used for intermittent use of aircraft for primary production purposes within the Rural Production Zone.</u>	Support	For reasons stated by the submitter	That the submission is accepted
Definitions / INDIGENOUS VEGETATION DISTURBANCE	499.1	Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust - Malcolm Lucas	Amend the definition for indigenous vegetation disturbance as follows: means disturbance, damage to and/or destruction or felling of indigenous vegetation, including trees, shrubs, grasses and other plants by any means including cutting, burning, crushing or spraying, <u>and also includes detrimentally affecting the distribution or quality of water that affects the indigenous vegetation.</u>	Oppose	The relief sought is more appropriately addressed under Regional Council RMA functions	That the submission is rejected.

Definitions / INDIGENOUS VEGETATION DISTURBANCE	487.10	The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated - Tom Kay, Regional Manager	<p>1. Amend the definition for indigenous vegetation disturbance as follows: means disturbance, damage to and/or destruction or <u>the clearance, removal or felling of indigenous vegetation, including trees, shrubs, grasses and other plants by any means including excavating, cutting, burning, crushing or spraying.</u></p> <p>2. Amend all references to “removal of indigenous vegetation” and “clearance of indigenous vegetation” to read “indigenous vegetation disturbance”.</p> <p>3. Add a new definition for vegetation disturbance as follows: <u>means damage to and/or destruction or the clearance, removal or felling of vegetation, including trees, shrubs, grasses and other plants by any means including excavating, cutting, burning, crushing or spraying; includes indigenous vegetation disturbance.</u></p> <p>4. Amend all references to “vegetation clearance” to read</p>	Oppose	The relief sought has the potential to apply associated implementation methods more broadly than Council intended and what was supported by cost, benefit analysis.	That the submission is rejected.
Definitions / New definition / ANCILLARY RURAL WORKS	457.15	Horticulture New Zealand - Jordyn Landers	<p>Add a new definition for ancillary rural earthworks as follows: <u>Means earthworks or land disturbance associated with agricultural, pastoral and horticultural activities, including:</u> <u>1. cultivation, land preparation (including establishment of sediment and erosion control measures), for planting and growing operations;</u> <u>2. harvesting of agricultural and horticultural crops (farming) and forests (forestry); and</u> <u>3. maintenance and construction of facilities typically associated with agricultural, pastoral and horticultural activities, including, but not limited to, farm tracks, stock races, silage pits, farm drains, farm effluent ponds, feeding pads, fencing and sediment control measures.</u></p>	Support	For reasons outlined by the submitter.	That the submission is accepted.

Definitions / New definition / ARTIFICIAL CROP PROTECTION STRUCTURE	457.10	Horticulture New Zealand - Jordyn Landers	Add a new definition for artificial crop protection structure as follows: <u>open structures that are used to protect crops from damage. Includes:</u> • <u>bird netting; and</u> • <u>wind break netting.</u> <u>Excludes:</u> • <u>greenhouses.</u>	Support	For reasons outlined by the submitter.	That the submission is accepted
Definitions / New definition / CROP SUPPORT STRUCTURE	457.11	Horticulture New Zealand - Jordyn Landers	Add a definition for crop support structure as follows: <u>Means open pervious, structures with the primary purpose to provide support for horticultural crops. Crop support structures are stand-alone unattached to any building.</u>	Support	For reasons outlined by the submitter.	That the submission is accepted
Definitions / New definition / CULTIVATION	457.3	Horticulture New Zealand - Jordyn Landers	Add a definition for cultivation as follows: <u>Means the alteration or disturbance of land (or any other matter constituting the land including soil, clay, sand and rock), for the purpose of sowing, growing or harvesting of pasture or crops.</u>	Support	For reasons outlined by the submitter.	That the submission is accepted
Definitions / New definition / HIGHLY PRODUCTIVE LAND	457.12	Horticulture New Zealand - Jordyn Landers	Add a new definition for highly productive land consistent with the direction of the draft National Planning Standard for High Productive Land and the relevant consequential amendments.	Support	For reasons outlined by the submitter.	That the submission is accepted
Definitions / New definition / OUTSTANDING NATURAL CHARACTER	565.21	Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning Limited)	Add a definition for outstanding natural character as follows: <u>means all landscapes identified as an outstanding natural character on planning maps, and contained in SCHED10: Schedule of Outstanding Natural Character Areas.</u>	Support	For reasons outlined by the submitter.	That the submission is accepted
Definitions / New definition / OUTSTANDING NATURAL LANDSCAPES	565.20	Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning Limited)	Add a definition for outstanding natural landscapes as follows: <u>means all landscapes identified as an outstanding natural landscapes on planning maps, and contained in SCHED8: Schedule of Natural Features and Landscapes.</u>	Support	For reasons outlined by the submitter.	That the submission is accepted

Definitions / New definition / SIGNIFICANT INDIGENOUS VEGETATION	549.21b	Taranaki Regional Council - Fred McLay	Add new definition for "significant indigenous vegetation".	Oppose in part	Unable to support this submission as the submitter has not provided any proposed wording to enable an assessment of the merits or impacts this relief may have on our members.	That the submission is accepted if clarified it relates to SCHED6 sites only
Definitions / New definition / SIGNIFICANT RESIDUAL EFFECT	487.6b	The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated - Tom Kay, Regional Manager	Add a new definition for significant residual effect as follows: <u>Significant residual effect, means any measurable effect arising from activities after avoidance, remediation, and mitigation measures have been taken.</u>	Oppose	The submitter reasoning is difficult to understand - their relief sought for ECO-P6 does not reference 'significant residual effects'. A new definition is unnecessary.	That the submission is rejected.
Definitions / RURAL CHARACTER	209.15	New Zealand Pork Industry Board - Hannah Ritchie	Amend the definition for rural character as follows: is the combination of elements and characteristics that make an area 'rural' rather than 'urban'. Rural character includes the key elements of spaciousness, vegetation of varying types, low density built form and open space between buildings, production orientated, working environment, <u>including the associated sounds and smells of PRIMARY PRODUCTION activities</u> , and typically lacks urban infrastructure such as kerb and channel, street lighting, solid fences and footpaths.	Support	For reasons outlined by the submitter.	That the submission is accepted.
Definitions / SIGNIFICANT NATURAL AREA	550.24	Department of Conservation - Nardia Yozin	Amend the definition for significant natural area as follows: means all indigenous vegetation <u>which meets the criteria outlined in ECO-P1</u> , identified as a significant natural area on planning maps, <u>or</u> and contained in SCHED6: Schedule of Rural Significant Natural Areas and/or SCHED7: Schedule of Urban Biodiversity Areas.	Oppose	The notified planning approach is predicated on SNAs being identified and mapped. The relief sought, which has the potential to extend the implementation methods much more broadly, is not appropriate in this context.	That the relief sought is rejected
Definitions / SITE	475.4a	Landpro Limited - Kathryn Hooper	Amend the definition for 'Site' used throughout the AS/SASM rules to relate to the 'scheduled site' archaeological site or site of significance to Māori, not the wider definition of 'site'.	Support	For reasons outlined by the submitter.	That the submission is accepted

Definitions / UPGRADING	565.27	Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning Limited)	Amend the definition for upgrading as follows: for network utilities, means increasing the carrying capacity, efficiency, security, or safety of a network utility, where it does not result in a permanent change to the location of a structure.	Oppose	FFNZ recognises the need to provide for infrastructure but considers that the term upgrading needs to be sufficiently defined to ensure affected landowners are not disadvantaged and the effects of the upgrade marginalised.	That the submission is rejected
Definitions / WATERBODY	487.5b	The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated - Tom Kay, Regional Manager	Amend the definition for waterbody to clarify that a bank of an outstanding waterbody is also captured by this definition [inferred].	Oppose	The notified definition is consistent with national planning standards and this is appropriate.	That the submission is rejected.
DP General	311.44	Climate Justice Taranaki Incorporated - Catherine Cheung	Supports a 'just transition' to a zero carbon economy as the goal of the nation and Taranaki. Considers New Plymouth has the opportunity and potential to become a modern, innovative, ecological city with a zero carbon footprint and a thriving local community. Provides link to a BBC story showcasing Vancouver, Copenhagen and Curitiba in Brazil as overseas examples.	Oppose	The submitter has not provided any proposed wording to enable an assessment of the merits or impacts this relief may have on our members.	That the submission is rejected.
DP General	565.5	Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning Limited)	1. Add a statement in Part 1 Introduction and General Provisions / How the Plan works that if an activity is not listed in the Plan, it is deemed to be a permitted activity as follows: <u>Any activity that is not listed as Permitted, Controlled, Restricted Discretionary, Discretionary or Prohibited is a permitted activity.</u> OR 2. Add a rule to each chapter in Part 2 District-wide matters that do not have a default catch-all rule as follows: <u>Any activity that is not listed as Permitted, Controlled, Restricted Discretionary, Discretionary or Prohibited is a permitted activity.</u>	Support	The amendment provides useful clarification and certainty.	That the submission is accepted.

DP General	565.6	Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning Limited)	1. Add a statement in Part 1 Introduction and General Provisions / How the Plan Works as follows, <u>If an activity is covered by more than one rule within a chapter, then the rule that applies is the rule that is more specific for the relevant activity.</u> and, 2. Update the zone chapters to make it clear when Network Utility provisions apply.	Support	The amendment provides useful clarification and certainty.	That the submission is accepted.
DP General	311.1a	Climate Justice Taranaki Incorporated - Catherine Cheung	Incorporate climate change concerns and resilience in other sections also, notably Natural Environment, Tangata Whenua, Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity, Energy, Network Utilities, Transport, and Rural Zones.	Oppose	The submitter has not provided any proposed wording to enable an assessment of the merits or impacts this relief may have on our members.	That the submission is rejected.
DP General	311.1b	Climate Justice Taranaki Incorporated - Catherine Cheung	Supports the call to declare a climate emergency and assess all planning, policy and development proposals with a climate change lens.	Oppose	The submitter has not provided any proposed wording to enable an assessment of the merits or impacts this relief may have on our members.	That the submission is rejected.
DP General	551.124a	The Oil Companies (Z Energy, Mobil Oil New Zealand, BP Oil New Zealand) - Matthew Thode (4Sight Consulting)	Remove the hyperlink to the RMA definition of 'site' from the SASM chapter where the word 'site' is used in the context of a site of significance to Māori rather than the record of title for a parcel of land.	Support	For reasons outlined by the submitter.	That the submission is accepted
DP General	565.2	Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning Limited)	Amend the hyperlinks to defined terms so they are correct and relevant.	Support	For reasons outlined by the submitter.	That the submission is accepted
DP General	565.3	Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning Limited)	Add hyperlinks to all defined terms.	Support	For reasons outlined by the submitter.	That the submission is accepted

DP General	565.36	Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning Limited)	1. Add a new section National Grid to Part 2: District-wide Matters / Energy, Infrastructure and Transport, which contains Objectives, Policies and Rules relevant to both the operation and development of the National Grid itself, as well as subdivision, buildings, structures and land use activities in close proximity to the National Grid. and, 2. Any consequential amendments, such as references in other parts of the Plan to "Network Utilities"	Support in part	FFNZ recognises there is some merit in the proposed approach however FFNZ wish to remain involved with this submission point as any new objectives, policies and rules are developed to enable an assessment of the merits or impacts this relief may have on our members.	That any development of a new planning response provides the opportunity for FFNZ involvement.
ECO	311.26	Climate Justice Taranaki Incorporated - Catherine Cheung	Amend Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity chapter to incorporate climate change concerns and resilience.	Oppose	The submitter has not provided any proposed wording to enable an assessment of the merits or impacts this relief may have on our members.	That the submission is rejected.
ECO	80.2	David McKay	Add provisions to recognise and protect unidentified significant geological features such as fossil sites	Oppose	Whilst FFNZ understands the intent of the submission the discovery protocols which are incorporated into the proposed plan should meet the relief sought.	That the submission is rejected.
ECO	532.5	Friends of Pukekura Park New Plymouth Inc - Adrienne Tatham	Include protected/covenanted land in the District Plan for protection.	Oppose	It is inappropriate to bring any new sites under the proposed SNA planning response without full landowner consultation and approval.	That the submission is rejected
ECO	475.8	Landpro Limited - Kathryn Hooper	Allow minor vegetation clearance, track work, earthworks, and structures associated with providing public access as a permitted activity in SNA's.	Support	This approach is consistent with FFNZ's view that minor works should be allowed within SNAs if it helps to further the landowner's goals for the site and creates public good opportunities.	That the submission is accepted.
ECO	354.2	Pauline Sutton	Ensure landowners are not required to fence or eradicate pests and weeds in SNAs.	Support	This approach is consistent with FFNZ's position.	That the submission is accepted.

ECO	487.1a	The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated - Tom Kay, Regional Manager	Retain a regulatory approach to indigenous vegetation disturbance in the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity chapter but amend provisions to ensure Council actively carries out their function to maintain indigenous biodiversity, as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Add a new policy to the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity chapter to give effect to NZCPS Policy 11. • Add new rules to restrict indigenous vegetation disturbance outside of scheduled SNAs. Set a vegetation clearance limit consenting trigger for applying the significance criteria under Policy ECO-P1 to identify whether vegetation contains significant values before making a decision on whether it can be cleared. • Ensure activity rules in district wide and area specific matters are subject to indigenous vegetation clearance limits in the ECO chapter. • Add covenanted areas which meet the significance criteria in Policy ECO-P1 to the SNA schedules and overlay maps. Amend the definition of "Significant Natural Areas" to include areas meeting the criteria under Policy ECO-P1, so that SNAs are not 	Oppose	FFNZ considers the notified plan, subject to our respective submission points, strikes the right balance between resource use and resource protection while ensuring NPDC meets their RMA responsibilities. In FFNZ view many of the concerns expressed in this submission are either already adequately addressed by the proposed plan or require a significant departure from the planning approach, without any cost/ benefit analysis provided to support the position.	That the submission is rejected.
ECO / Objectives / ECO-O1	544.66	Trustpower Limited - Shelby Managh	Amend Objective ECO-O1 as follows: "Areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna are protected <u>from inappropriate subdivision, use and development</u> , and where appropriate, enhanced."	Support	For reasons outlined in the submission	That the submission is accepted
ECO / Objectives / New objective	566.54	Waka Kotahi New Zealand Transport Agency - Mike Wood (Principal Planner)	Add a new objective, as follows: " <u>Recognise some activities can locate within significant natural areas where effects are suitably remedied, mitigated, offset or, in specific circumstances, managed by</u>	Support	The relief is consistent with FFNZ's position.	That the submission is accepted
ECO / Overview	487.24	The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated - Tom Kay, Regional Manager	Amend the Overview, including the heading, so that the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity chapter is clearly an overlay chapter and that the provisions of this chapter are relevant for activities under other chapters in the plan. Amend the planning maps and schedules to include already protected land.	Oppose	FFNZ's view is that it is inappropriate to bring any new sites under the proposed SNA planning response without full landowner consultation and approval. The relationship between the chapters of the plan is already explained.	That the submission is rejected.

ECO / Policies / ECO-P1	533.96	Ngāti Maru - Anaru Marshall	Amend Policy ECO-P1 (significance criteria for identification and mapping of SNAs) to include the use of Mātauranga Māori.	Oppose	The criteria for identification of SNAs has been well established through case law. The submitter has not provided any detail as to how this may be implemented or any indication as to whether the new criteria is likely to influence the number of sites with potential to reach SNA status. Without this information FFNZ is unable to assess the merits or impacts this relief may have on our members.	That the submission is rejected.
ECO / Policies / ECO-P1	499.3	Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust - Malcolm Lucas	Amend Policy ECO-P1 to include a ground-truthing stage to the SNA identification process.	Support	The submission is consistent with FFNZ's position.	That the submission is accepted
ECO / Policies / ECO-P1	549.21c	Taranaki Regional Council - Fred McLay	Amend Policy ECO-P1 as follows: "Identify and map areas of significant indigenous vegetation and indigenous habitat, <u>to identify significant natural areas</u> , where it meets one or more of the following	Support	For reasons stated by the submitter	That the submission is accepted
ECO / Policies / ECO-P1	459.185	Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	Amend Policy ECO-P1 to add Mātauranga Māori as a criteria for determining SNAs.	Oppose	The criteria for identification of SNAs has been well established through case law. The submitter has not provided any detail as to how this may be implemented or any indication as to whether the new criteria is likely to influence the number of sites with potential to reach SNA status. Without this information FFNZ is unable to assess the merits or impacts this relief may have on our members.	That the submission is rejected.
ECO / Policies / ECO-P1	520.57	Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga - Marlene Benson	Amend Policy ECO-P1 to include the following wording: "Ensure Mātauranga Māori informs <u>the identification of natural areas, including any Tangata Whenua values and interests and the mauri of ecosystems.</u> <u>The presence of taonga species and their habitats.</u> "	Oppose	The criteria for identification of SNAs has been well established through case law. The submitter has not provided any detail as to how this may be implemented or any indication as to whether the new criteria is likely to influence the number of sites with potential to reach SNA status. Without this information FFNZ is unable to assess the merits or impacts this relief may have on our members.	That the submission is rejected
ECO / Policies / ECO-P1	487.37	The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated - Tom Kay, Regional Manager	Supports in part Policy ECO-P1 (significance criteria for identification and mapping of SNAs) but seeks amendments to better give effect to the RPS and to maintain indigenous biodiversity outside identified areas.	Oppose	The notified provisions give effect to the RPS and meet Council's obligations with regards indigenous biodiversity both within and outside identified areas.	That the submission is rejected.

ECO / Policies / ECO-P2	338.3c	Nga Motu Marine Reserve Society Incorporated - Elise Smith	Amend Policy ECO-P2 to include additional data relating to community engagement.	Oppose	The submitter has not provided any proposed wording to enable an assessment of the merits or impacts this relief may have on our members	That the submission is rejected
ECO / Policies / ECO-P2	568.83	Powerco Limited - Cheryl Cleary	Amend Policy ECO-P2 as follows: "Maintain indigenous habitats and ecosystems which are not identified as significant natural areas by: 1. ... <u>6. allowing the operation, maintenance and minor upgrading of existing infrastructure or network utilities;</u> <u>7. restricting the establishment of new infrastructure unless the infrastructure or network utility is of regional significance and there is no practicable alternative;</u> <u>8. having regard to the functional and locational needs of a network activity; and</u> <u>9. formalising protection and active management of significant natural areas when a subdivision or the establishment of a new activity or a subdivision occurs on land with a significant natural area."</u>	Oppose	The relief sought does not read as a policy. The issues are better addressed within the land use controls sections.	That the submission is rejected.
ECO / Policies / ECO-P2	487.38	The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated - Tom Kay, Regional Manager	Amend Policy ECO-P2 to include: <u>"restricting the disturbance of ecosystems, habitats and areas that provide buffering of habitats of indigenous vegetation and fauna."</u> Add rules to restrict indigenous vegetation disturbance outside of Scheduled SNAs, such that the rule triggers a consent requirement over specified thresholds.	Oppose	FFNZ considers the notified plan, subject to our respective submission points, strikes and appropriate balance between resource use and resource protection while ensuring NPDC meets their RMA responsibilities. In FFNZ view many of the concerns expressed in this submission are either already adequately addressed by the proposed plan or require a significant departure from the planning approach, without any cost/ benefit analysis provided to support the position.	That the submission is rejected.
ECO / Policies / ECO-P3	499.4	Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust - Malcolm Lucas	Amend Policy ECO-P3 as follows: Manage the activities which can occur within affect sites containing significant natural areas, and/or in the Coastal Environment, which have the potential to adversely affect indigenous biodiversity, including: 1. new tracks and fences 2. subdivisions 3. <u>water takes and discharges</u>	Oppose	The relief sought relates to regional council functions	That the submission is rejected.

ECO / Policies / ECO-P3	487.22	The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated - Tom Kay, Regional Manager	Amend Policy ECO-P3 as follows: "Manage the activities which can occur within sites containing <u>Scheduled significant natural areas or an area meeting the criteria in Policy ECO-P1</u> and/or in the Coastal Environment which have the..."	Oppose	The amendment to extend the application of ECO-P3 is inconsistent with the planning approach of the proposed plan and is not supported.	That the submission is rejected.
ECO / Policies / ECO-P4	487.36	The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated - Tom Kay, Regional Manager	Amend Policy ECO-P4 as follows: <u>Consider the disturbance</u> Avoid the removal of indigenous vegetation in <u>Scheduled significant natural areas or an area meeting the criteria in Policy ECO-P1</u> and/or in the Coastal Environment, unless where it is necessary: 1. ... Amend the rules to provide a permitted activity rule with conditions limiting adverse effects to no more than minor, including when considered cumulatively.	Oppose	The amendment to extend the application of ECO-P4 is inconsistent with the planning approach of the proposed plan and is not supported.	That the submission is rejected.
ECO / Policies / ECO-P5	550.74	Department of Conservation - Nardia Yozin	Amend Policy ECO-P5 Clause 8 as follows: "whether significant residual adverse effects can be addressed through biodiversity off-setting, or, where 'no net loss' cannot reasonably be achieved, addressed through environmental compensation measures, proposed or agreed to by the applicant."	Oppose	The application should apply to significant residual adverse effects. Residual effects are what is left after measures have been taken to avoid, remedy or mitigate effects. It is inappropriate to require further management of residual effects.	That the submission is rejected.
ECO / Policies / ECO-P5	338.3f	Nga Motu Marine Reserve Society Incorporated - Elise Smith	1. Amend Policy ECO-P5 to include data requirements, and 2. Retain Policy ECO-P5(7) as notified.	Oppose	The submitter has not provided any proposed wording to enable an assessment of the merits or impacts this relief may have on our members	That the submission is rejected.
ECO / Policies / ECO-P5	533.101	Ngāti Maru - Anaru Marshall	Amend Policy ECO-P5 to ensure appropriate engagement with tangata whenua informs decision making.	Oppose	It is unclear how the application of this relief sought will be implemented. The submitter has not provided any proposed wording to enable an assessment of the merits or impacts this relief may have on our members. It may relate more to co-governance arrangements or processes.	That the submission is rejected.
ECO / Policies / ECO-P5	534.80	Te Kāhui o Taranaki Trust - Wharehoka Wano	Amend Policy ECO-P5 to ensure appropriate engagement with tangata whenua informs decision making.	Oppose	It is unclear how the application of this relief sought will be implemented. The submitter has not provided any proposed wording to enable an assessment of the merits or impacts this relief may have on our members. It may	That the submission is rejected.

					relate more to co-governance arrangements or processes.	
ECO / Policies / ECO-P5	459.180	Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	Amend Policy ECO-P5 to broaden scope for requiring tangata whenua engagement.	Oppose	It is unclear how the application of this relief sought will be implemented. The submitter has not provided any proposed wording to enable an assessment of the merits or impacts this relief may have on our members. It may relate more to co-governance arrangements or processes.	That the submission is rejected.
ECO / Policies / ECO-P5	487.44	The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated - Tom Kay, Regional Manager	Delete Policy ECO-P5.	Oppose	Deletion of Policy ECO-P5 is inconsistent with the planning approach of the proposed plan and is not supported.	That the submission is rejected.
ECO / Policies / ECO-P6	311.84	Climate Justice Taranaki Incorporated - Catherine Cheung	Opposes Policy ECO-P6 (biodiversity offsets), because opposes biodiversity offsetting in general. Considers offsets could be misused as an excuse to cause avoidable damage, with particular concern for cumulative effects and highly vulnerable and irreplaceable biodiversity components. Concerns about interpretation of more than minor adverse effects to be addressed through offsetting in Clause 4.	Oppose	Deletion of Policy ECO-P6 is inconsistent with the planning approach of the proposed plan and is not supported.	That the submission is rejected.
ECO / Policies / ECO-P6	459.179	Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	Amend Policy ECO-P6(2) as follows: "the goal of the biodiversity offsets is no net loss and, preferably, a net gain of biodiversity". Amend ECO-P6 to require eco-sourced plants be utilised.	Oppose	The amendments would create an inconsistent and inappropriate goal for biodiversity offsetting which is not supported.	That the submission is rejected.
ECO / Policies / ECO-P7	550.71	Department of Conservation - Nardia Yozin	Add new activity to Policy ECO-P7 as follows: " <u>7. controlling pest plants and animals.</u> "	Oppose in part	The intention of the submission is understood however FFNZ considers pest management could be more appropriately referenced within ECO-P7(1) not as a stand-alone requirement.	That the amendment is incorporated into ECO-P7(1) not as a stand alone
ECO / Policies / ECO-P7	338.3h	Nga Motu Marine Reserve Society Incorporated - Elise Smith	Retain Policy ECO-P7 but consider strengthening to more actively engage with the community on science-based projects. Add new maps related to dog control bylaws, to protect indigenous fauna.	Oppose	The submission may have some merit but the submitter has not provided any proposed wording to enable an assessment of the merits or impacts this relief may have on our members	That the submission is rejected.

ECO / Policies / ECO-P7	499.6	Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust - Malcolm Lucas	Add the following to Policy ECO-P7: <u>7. providing rates remission to landowners who protect areas of indigenous biodiversity on their property by way of conservation covenant.</u>	Support	The submission is consistent with FFNZ's position	That the submission is accepted.
ECO / Policies / New policy	550.72	Department of Conservation - Nardia Yozin	Add a new policy to the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity chapter as follows: <u>Only consider environmental compensation, as a positive effect to be balanced against the adverse effects of an activity, where:</u> <u>1. the compensation is proposed to address residual adverse effects after taking steps to first:</u> <u>a. avoid adverse effects; then</u> <u>b. minimise adverse effects as far as practicable; by</u> <u>i. mitigating effects and then remedying effects that cannot be mitigated; and</u> <u>ii. ensuring that any on-site rehabilitation or restoration measures will occur as soon as practicable; then</u> <u>c. offset adverse effects in accordance with Policy ECO-P6;</u> <u>2. the environmental compensation is as close as possible to meeting the criteria for a biodiversity offset as set out in Policy ECO-P6.</u>	Neutral	The relief sought introduces a new planning approach and FFNZ seek to remain involved as the process develops to enable an assessment of the merits or impacts this relief may have on our members and ensure our members interests are considered.	Neutral
ECO / Rules / Activities Rules	126.20	Taranaki Fish & Game Council - Allen Stancliff	Add a new general clearance rule, or expand ECO-R2 (general clearance rule for the Coastal Environment) to control indigenous vegetation disturbance and maintain indigenous habitats and ecosystems which are not identified as significant natural areas.	Oppose	The relief sought is inconsistent with the planning approach of the proposed plan and is not supported.	That the submission is rejected.
ECO / Rules / ECO-R1	138.1	Brent Cathie	Amend Rule ECO-R1 to allow owners to carry out minor removal of vegetation to enhance amenity and recreation values of SNAs, and to recognise existing use rights.	Support	The submission is consistent with FFNZ's position	That the submission is accepted.

ECO / Rules / ECO-R1	550.70	Department of Conservation - Nardia Yozin	Amend Rule ECO-R1 as follows: Activity status: PER Where the vegetation disturbance is: 1. within an area subject to an Open Space Covenant under the Queen Elizabeth II National Trust Act 1977, a Ngā Whenua Rahui Kawenata, a Conservation Covenant under the Reserves Act 1977 or the Conservation Act 1987 or a Heritage covenant under the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014 and the vegetation disturbance is provided for in that covenant or order; and/or 2. necessary for the maintenance and repair of existing tracks, fences, drains, structures and infrastructure, including network utilities; and/or 3. necessary to remove vegetation that endangers human life or existing buildings or structures; and/or 4. required for the construction of new fences and trap lines <u>associated</u> <u>with</u> for conservation <u>activities-</u> purposes to exclude stock or pest animals, and associated pest management work; and/or 5. gathering plants in accordance with Māori customs and values;	Oppose	The relief sought is inconsistent with the planning approach of the proposed plan and is counter- productive.	That the submission is rejected.
ECO / Rules / ECO-R1	499.7	Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust - Malcolm Lucas	Amend Rule ECO-R1 as follows: "Where the vegetation disturbance is: 1. Within a <u>conservation covenant...</u> and the vegetation disturbance is provided for in that covenant or order; and/or 2. ... 6. the removal or clearance of manuka or kanuka only which is under 25 years old."	Oppose in part	FFNZ supports retention of the notified conditions as they relate to ECO-R1	That the amendment in relation to ECO-R1(1) is accepted and the amendment to ECO-R1(6) is rejected

ECO / Rules / ECO-R1	487.41	The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated - Tom Kay, Regional Manager	Amend the conditions of Rule ECO-R1 as follows: "1. within an area subject to an Open Space Covenant under the Queen Elizabeth II National Trust Act 1977, a Ngā Whenua Rahui Kawenata, a Conservation Covenant under the Reserves Act 1977 or the Conservation Act 1987 or a Heritage covenant under the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014 and the vegetation disturbance is provided for in that covenant or order; and/or <u>12. disturbance is necessary for the maintenance and repair within 2 meters of existing tracks, fences, drains, structures and infrastructure, including network utilities; and/or</u> <u>3. necessary to remove vegetation that endangers human life or within 5m of existing buildings or structures; and/or</u> <u>4. required for the construction of new fences and trap lines for conservation activities purposes to exclude stock or pest animals, and associated pest management work; and/or</u> <u>gathering plants in accordance with</u>	Oppose	FFNZ opposes the blunt buffer zone approach and deletion of ECO-R1(1) which is designed to avoid duplicated controls and processes. FFNZ supports retention of the notified conditions as they relate to ECO-R1	That the submission is rejected.
ECO / Rules / ECO-R1	565.114	Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning Limited)	Amend the activity status of Rule ECO-R1 from Non-Complying to Discretionary.	Support	For reasons outlined by the submitter.	That the submission is accepted.
ECO / Rules / ECO-R2	487.40	The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated - Tom Kay, Regional Manager	Amend Rule ECO-R2 as follows: "1. the extent of indigenous vegetation disturbed and/or cleared per site does not exceed an area of 100m in area in any five 10 year period; and..."	Oppose	The relief sought sets an unreasonably onerous condition	That the submission is rejected.
ECO / Rules / ECO-R3	550.68	Department of Conservation - Nardia Yozin	Amend the activity status of Rule ECO-R3 to Restricted Discretionary Activity.	Oppose	The notified activity status and matters of control are appropriate to meet Council's RMA responsibilities and avoid unnecessary duplication with other legislation.	That the submission is rejected.

ECO / Rules / ECO-R3	499.8	Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust - Malcolm Lucas	Amend the "Activity status where compliance not achieved" of Rule ECO-R3 from Discretionary to Non-Complying.	Oppose	The relief sought sets an unreasonably high burden. Policies should be strong enough to ensure that an application for inappropriate clearance within an SNA is able to be declined.	That the submission is rejected.
ECO / Rules / ECO-R3	487.45	The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated - Tom Kay, Regional Manager	Delete Rule ECO-R3.	Oppose	No reasoning is provided as to why Rule ECO-R3, which provides a useful method to avoid unnecessary duplicated controls, should be deleted.	That the submission is rejected.
ECO / Rules / ECO-R4	487.39	The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated - Tom Kay, Regional Manager	Amend the heading of Rule ECO-R4 to clarify it applies to Scheduled SNAs. Amend the activity status to discretionary/DIS and Add an activity status of NC for non-compliance. Amend Condition 1 by deleting: "conservation or".	Oppose	The notified planning approach is supported.	That the submission is rejected.
ECO / Rules / ECO-R4	544.75	Trustpower Limited - Shelby Managh	Amend Rule ECO-R4 as follows: "1. the tracks and fences are not for conservation, pest control or <u>human</u> safety purposes."	Support	For reasons outlined by the submitter.	That the submission is accepted.
ECO / Rules / ECO-R5	499.10	Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust - Malcolm Lucas	Delete Rule ECO-R5.	Oppose	The notified planning approach is supported.	That the submission is rejected.
ECO / Rules / ECO-R5	487.48	The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated - Tom Kay, Regional Manager	Delete Rule ECO-R5.	Oppose	The notified planning approach is supported.	That the submission is rejected.

ECO / Rules / ECO-R6	499.11	Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust - Malcolm Lucas	Amend Rule ECO-R6(1) by adding the following controlled activity standard: " <u>6. the Significant Natural Area is contained within a single allotment.</u> " Consequential amendments to "Activity status where compliance is not achieved".	Oppose	The notified planning approach is supported. No explanation is given as to why the values of a site can't be protected under different allotments.	That the submission is rejected.
ECO / Rules / ECO-R6	499.12	Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust - Malcolm Lucas	Amend Rule ECO-R6(2) by adding the following standard: " <u>6. the Significant Natural Area is contained within a single allotment.</u> " Consequential amendments.	Oppose	The notified planning approach is supported. No explanation is given as to why the values of a site can't be protected under different allotments.	That the submission is rejected.
ECO / Rules / ECO-R6	487.43	The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated - Tom Kay, Regional Manager	Amend the heading of Rule ECO-R6 to read: " <u>Subdivision of land containing a Scheduled significant natural area or an area meeting the criteria in Policy ECO-P1.</u> " Amend the activity classification from CON to RDIS with NC where compliance is not achieved. Replace the matters for control with those for discretion. Delete the activity classification for (2) Rural production zone. Retain the activity classification for	Oppose	The amendment to extend the application of ECO-R6 is inconsistent with the planning approach of the proposed plan and is not supported.	That the submission is rejected.

ECO / Rules / New rule	550.63	Department of Conservation - Nardia Yozin	<p>Add a new rule as follows:</p> <p><u>Activity: Indigenous vegetation disturbance</u></p> <p><u>Zone: All Zones</u></p> <p><u>Activity status: PER</u></p> <p><u>Where:</u></p> <p><u>the extent of indigenous vegetation disturbed and/or cleared per site does not exceed an area of 250m2 in area in any five year period; and</u></p> <p><u>the vegetation disturbance does not contain any values listed in ECO-P1.</u></p> <p><u>The disturbance is in accordance with a New Plymouth District Council Reserve Management Plan</u></p> <p><u>Activity status where compliance not achieved: RDIS</u></p> <p><u>the vegetation disturbance does not contain any values listed in ECO-P1.</u></p> <p><u>Matters over which discretion is restricted:</u></p> <p><u>The location and purpose of the proposed disturbance and potential adverse effects on indigenous biodiversity, including fragmentation and loss of biodiversity.</u></p> <p><u>Whether any proposed indigenous vegetation disturbance associated with the activity will result in loss of habitat that supports or provides a</u></p>	Oppose	FFNZ considers the notified planning approach with regards to indigenous vegetation disturbance generally strikes the appropriate balance between resource use and resource protection while ensuring NPDC meets their RMA responsibilities. The relief sought requires a significant departure from the planning approach, without any cost/ benefit analysis provided to support the position.	That the submission is rejected
ECO / Rules / New rule	487.46a	The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated - Tom Kay, Regional Manager	<p>Add new rules:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ●ECO-R4A Indigenous vegetation disturbance not specifically provided for under rules ECO-R1A to R3 is a Discretionary activity. ●ECO-R4B Activities within Scheduled SNAs specifically provided for under rules ECO-R1A to R4 are a Non-complying activity. 	Oppose	FFNZ considers the notified planning approach with regards to indigenous vegetation disturbance generally strikes the appropriate balance between resource use and resource protection while ensuring NPDC meets their RMA responsibilities. The relief sought requires a significant departure from the planning approach, without any cost/ benefit analysis provided to support the position.	That the submission is rejected

ECO / Rules / New rule	487.46b	The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated - Tom Kay, Regional Manager	Add a new permitted activity rule (PER) with a discretionary classification (DIS) for non-compliance as follows: <u>“ECO-R1A Indigenous vegetation disturbance outside of Scheduled SNAs,</u> <u>Activity status: PER Where,</u> <u>1. no more than 100m2 of indigenous vegetation is disturbed in any 10 year period, and/or</u> <u>2. the disturbance is not within 20m of a scheduled SNA. Activity status where compliance not achieved: DIS”.</u>	Oppose	FFNZ considers the notified planning approach with regards to indigenous vegetation disturbance generally strikes the appropriate balance between resource use and resource protection while ensuring NPDC meets their RMA responsibilities. The relief sought requires a significant departure from the planning approach, without any cost/ benefit analysis provided to support the position.	That the submission is rejected
ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P7	548.32	Greymouth Petroleum Limited - Tanya Hansen	Amend Policy ENGY-P7 as follows: 1. any effects external to the activity’s site... 2. <u>Minimising the impact on the operation of existing rural industry;</u> ... 6. adequate separation from identified features and sensitive activities to ensure <u>minimise</u> conflict between activities, and to ensure that adverse effects and reverse sensitivity effects are minimised;	Support	The relief sought is a useful amendment	That the submission is accepted.
ENGY / Policies / ENGY-P7	459.54	Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	Amend Policy ENGY-P7 as follows: 5. Adequate separation <u>Ensure there is a minimum separation of 500m from areas of significant natural features and landforms, waterbodies, indigenous biodiversity, historic heritage, and site and areas of significance to Māori and sensitive</u>	Oppose	The blanket buffer zone planning response is not supported. FFNZ support the principle of applying separation distances between petroleum activities and sensitive activities and managing risks to people and property. However, in our experience, the best outcomes in Taranaki have occurred where rather than applying blanket separation distances, conversation and agreements have taken place between the oil companies and the affected landowners. This allows for a tailored solution that suits both parties and takes account of their individual situations. District Plan provisions should allow for these conversations and agreements to continue. It is a risk that by applying blanket setback distances, these will replace individual arrangements, which in our experience have produced better outcomes and facilitate ongoing communication between the parties.	That the submission is rejected

ENG / Policies / ENGY-P8	459.50	Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	Amend Policy ENGY-P8 as follows: 1. Ensuring adequate setbacks Ensure there is a minimum separation of 500m from areas of significant natural features and landforms, waterbodies, indigenous biodiversity, historic heritage, and site and areas of significance to Māori and sensitive features...	Oppose	FFNZ support the principle of applying separation distances between petroleum activities and sensitive activities and managing risks to people and property. However, in our experience, the best outcomes in Taranaki have occurred where rather than applying blanket separation distances, conversation and agreements have taken place between the oil companies and the affected landowners. This allows for a tailored solution that suits both parties and takes account of their individual situations. District Plan provisions should allow for these conversations and agreements to continue. It is a risk that by applying blanket setback distances, these will replace individual arrangements, which in our experience have produced better outcomes and facilitate ongoing communication between the parties.	That the submission is rejected
ENG / Policies / ENGY-P9	459.51	Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	Delete Policy ENGY-P9 in its entirety.	Oppose	It is practical and appropriate for the district plan to have a planning response for this potential outcome.	That the submission is rejected
ENG / Rules / ENGY-R2	459.69	Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	Amend the activity status in Rule ENGY-S5 from permitted to discretionary. Submitter also seeks amendments to Standard ENGY-S5.	Oppose	The notified planning approach is supported	That the submission is rejected
ENG / Rules / ENGY-R2	520.39	Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga - Marlene Benson	Amend Rule ENGY-R2 to ensure that seismic surveys are not permitted activity on or within 50 m of any sites or areas of significance to Māori as listed in Schedule 3 – this could be achieved by referencing an additional effect standard.	Oppose	FFNZ support the principle of applying separation distances between petroleum activities and sensitive activities and managing risks to people and property. However, in our experience, the best outcomes in Taranaki have occurred where rather than applying blanket separation distances, conversation and agreements have taken place between the oil companies and the affected landowners. This allows for a tailored solution that suits both parties and takes account of their individual situations. District Plan provisions should allow for these conversations and agreements to continue. It is a risk that by applying blanket setback distances, these will replace individual arrangements, which in our experience have produced better outcomes and facilitate	That the submission is rejected Ensure that provisions enable individual agreements to be made and ongoing conversation between oil companies and neighbouring / host landowners.

					ongoing communication between the parties.	
ENGY / Rules / ENGY-R5 and R6	459.81 459.78	Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	Amend ENGY-R5 and R6 to add effects standard requiring no activities within 500m of historic heritage, SASM, and other features. Add new matter of discretion to include consideration of adverse effects on historic heritage, SASM, and other features to ensure their protection.	Oppose	FFNZ support the principle of applying separation distances between petroleum activities and sensitive activities and managing risks to people and property. However, in our experience, the best outcomes in Taranaki have occurred where rather than applying blanket separation distances, conversation and agreements have taken place between the oil companies and the affected landowners. This allows for a tailored solution that suits both parties and takes account of their individual situations. District Plan provisions should allow for these conversations and agreements to continue. It is a risk that by applying blanket setback distances, these will replace individual arrangements, which in our experience have produced better outcomes and facilitate ongoing communication between the parties.	That the submission is rejected Ensure that provisions enable individual agreements to be made and ongoing conversation between oil companies and neighbouring / host landowners.
ENGY / Rules / ENGY-S3	459.102	Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	Amend Standard ENGY-S3 to include an effects standard and/or matters of discretion to address the tangata whenua relationships with culture traditions with ancestral lands, waterbodies, sites, areas and landscapes and other taonga of significance and associated cultural values to be protected.	Oppose	Greater clarity should be provided as to how this relief sought may be implemented.	That the submission is rejected.
ENGY / Rules / ENGY-S4	459.98	Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	Amend Standard ENGY-S4 to include an effects standard and/or matters of discretion to address the tangata whenua relationships with culture traditions with ancestral lands, waterbodies, sites, areas and landscapes and other taonga of significance and associated cultural values to be protected.	Oppose	Greater clarity should be provided as to how this relief sought may be implemented.	That the submission is rejected.
EW	522.87	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga - Caroline Rachlin	Amend the Earthworks Chapter to include recognition and protection of heritage and cultural values.	Oppose	The notified plan includes activity standards and conditions designed to achieve this outcome.	That the submission is rejected
EW / Objectives / New objective	457.38a	Horticulture New Zealand - Jordyn Landers	Add a new objective relating to biosecurity: <u>To minimise the risk of biosecurity incursions in the district and enable response to any biosecurity incursions.</u>	Support	For the reasons outlined by the submitter	That the submission is accepted

EW / Objectives / New objective	520.166	Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga - Marlene Benson	Add new objective as follows: <u>To protect significant natural features, indigenous biodiversity, waterbodies, historic heritage and sites and areas of significance to Māori from the adverse effects of earthworks and land disturbance</u> <u>Recognise that only Tangata Whenua of the New Plymouth District can identify their relationship, associations and values with sites or areas of importance to Māori.</u>	Oppose	These outcomes are addressed within other chapters of the notified plan.	That the submission is rejected
EW / Policies / EW-P1	565.189	Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning Limited)	Amend Policy EW-P1 as follows: Allow earthworks that are associated with the construction, maintenance and repair and/or upgrade of permitted, anticipated and/or existing authorised the following activities, while ensuring the scale, volume and effects of earthworks are appropriate, including those for: ...	Oppose	FFNZ considers the notified policy provides important direction and is supported.	That the submission is rejected.
EW / Policies / EW-P2	519.16	Ngāti Tawhirikura Hapū - Ngamata Skipper	Amend Policy EW-P2 by identifying that broad-scale alteration of natural landform will not be supported by tangata whenua.	Oppose	FFNZ considers that the opportunity for expressing the stated position is best provided on a case by case basis during relevant notified resource consent applications.	That the submission is rejected.
EW / Policies / EW-P3	551.101	The Oil Companies (Z Energy, Mobil Oil New Zealand, BP Oil New Zealand) - Matthew Thode (4Sight Consulting)	Amend Policy EW-P3 as follows: Require earthworks and any associated retaining structures to be appropriately designed, located and undertaken to avoid or minimise <u>remedy or mitigate adverse effects to the extent practicable</u> by: ...	Support	For reasons outlined by the submitter.	That the submission is accepted
EW / Policies / EW-P4	459.243	Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	Delete Policy EW-P4 in its entirety.	Oppose	In FFNZ view the notified provision provides important policy direction and certainty for plan users.	That the submission is rejected
EW / Policies / EW-P6	519.20	Ngāti Tawhirikura Hapū - Ngamata Skipper	Amend Policy EW-P6 by identifying that broad-scale alteration of natural landform will not be supported by tangata whenua.	Oppose	FFNZ considers that the opportunity for expressing the stated position is best provided on a case by case basis during relevant notified resource consent applications.	That the submission is rejected
EW / Policies / EW-P7	519.11b	Ngāti Tawhirikura Hapū - Ngamata Skipper	Amend Policy EW-P7 by identifying that broad-scale alteration of natural landform will not be supported by tangata whenua.	Oppose	FFNZ considers that the opportunity for expressing the stated position is best provided on a case by case basis during relevant notified resource consent applications.	That the submission is rejected

EW / Policies / New policy	457.38b	Horticulture New Zealand - Jordyn Landers	Add a new policy relating to biosecurity: <u>Enable disposal of infected material for biosecurity purposes and treatment of areas to manage incursions of unwanted organisms.</u>	Support	For reasons outlined by the submitter.	That the submission is accepted
EW / Rules / EW-R1	457.35	Horticulture New Zealand - Jordyn Landers	Amend Rule EW-R1 as follows: ... Where: 1. all Earthworks Effects Standards are complied with <u>(excluding EW-S2 - Maximum cut depth or fill height, which does not apply to these</u>	Support	For reasons outlined by the submitter.	That the submission is accepted
EW / Rules / EW-R10	551.103	The Oil Companies (Z Energy, Mobil Oil New Zealand, BP Oil New Zealand) - Matthew Thode (4Sight Consulting)	Delete Rule EW-R10 in its entirety or address through a building consent process.	Support	For reasons outlined by the submitter.	That the submission is accepted
EW / Rules / EW-R11	457.41	Horticulture New Zealand - Jordyn Landers	Retain Rule EW-R11 subject to any consequential amendments required as a result of HortNZ's submission on Ancillary Rural Earthworks.	Support	For reasons outlined by the submitter.	That the submission is accepted
EW / Rules / EW-S4	499.15	Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust - Malcolm Lucas	Amend Standard EW-S4 as follows: For the duration of earthworks or land disturbance <u>Until the site of the earthworks or land disturbance has been reinstated in accordance with EW-S4</u> , measures must be implemented to prevent silt or sediment from entering the stormwater system, overland flow paths, or roads, <u>or Significant Natural Areas.</u>	Oppose	FFNZ considers that potential earthworks effects on SNAs are already adequately addressed with the notified plan.	That the submission is rejected
EW / Rules / EW-S4	520.183	Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga - Marlene Benson	Amend Standard EW-S4 as follows: For the duration of earthworks or land disturbance, measures must be implemented to prevent silt or sediment from entering the stormwater system, <u>waterbody</u> , overland flow paths, or roads.	Oppose	The concerns are more appropriately addressed under regional council plans. Effects of land use on water quality is a regional function beyond the scope of the district council's control.	That the submission is rejected

EW / Rules / EW-S5	551.108	The Oil Companies (Z Energy, Mobil Oil New Zealand, BP Oil New Zealand) - Matthew Thode (4Sight Consulting)	<p>Amend Standard EW-S5 as follows:</p> <p>...</p> <p>3. Wait for and enable an inspection of the site</p> <p>a. Wait for and enable an inspection of the site by the relevant authority or agency.</p> <p>b. Following site inspection and consultation with all relevant parties (including owner and consent holder), the Council will determine the area within which work must cease and any changes to controls on discharges of contaminants.</p> <p>...</p> <p>4. Recommencement of work</p> <p>a. Work within the area determined by the Council in (2) above must not recommence until all of the following requirements, so far as relevant to the discovery, have been met:</p> <p>l. ...</p> <p>b. <u>v.</u> Resource consent has been granted to any alteration or amendment to the earthworks or land disturbance that may be necessary to avoid the sensitive materials and that is not otherwise</p>	Support	For reasons stated by the submitter	That the submission is accepted.
EW / Rules / New rule	457.37	Horticulture New Zealand - Jordyn Landers	Add a permitted activity rule for Ancillary Rural Earthworks, that are not subject to the Earthworks Effects Standards.	Support	For reasons outlined by the submitter.	That the submission is accepted
EW / Rules / New rule	457.38c	Horticulture New Zealand - Jordyn Landers	<p>Add a new Permitted Earthworks Rule as follows:</p> <p><u>Earthworks for burying of material infected by unwanted organisms as declared by MPI Chief Technical Officer or an emergency declared by the Minister under the Biosecurity Act 1993.</u></p> <p>And consequential amendments to the rule and policy framework: For vegetation clearance, a permitted activity rule:</p> <p><u>Removal of material infected by unwanted organisms as declared by MPI Chief Technical Officer or an emergency declared by the Minister under the Biosecurity Act 1993.</u></p>	Support	For reasons outlined by the submitter.	That the submission is accepted

FUZ / Objectives / FUZ-O3	563.586	Kāinga Ora - Homes and Communities - Dr Claire Kirman	Amend objective FUZ-O3 as follows: The predominant rural character of the Future Urban Growth zone is retained <u>until structure planning has taken place, which</u> includes:	Support	For reasons outlined by the submitter.	That the submission is accepted
FUZ / Policies / FUZ-P7	457.64	Horticulture New Zealand - Jordyn Landers	Amend policy FUZ-P7 as follows: Require that any structure plan prepared for the purposes of enabling Future Urban Zone land to transition into urban zoned land, provides for comprehensive, coordinated and efficient development and that it addresses, as appropriate, the following matters: 1. the impact on existing activities and the ability to manage any potential conflict between existing activities and future activities as the area transitions to an urban area, <u>including the potential for reverse sensitivity effects at the urban/rural interface;</u>	Support	For reasons outlined by the submitter.	That the submission is accepted
FUZ / Rules / FUZ-S2	457.65	Horticulture New Zealand - Jordyn Landers	Amend standard FUZ-S2 as follows: Matters of discretion if compliance not achieved: <u>8. Potential reverse sensitivity effects on agricultural, pastoral and horticultural activity or highly productive land in a Rural Zone</u>	Support	For reasons outlined by the submitter.	That the submission is accepted

GIZ / Objectives and Policies / New objective and policy	204.10	J Swaps Contractors - Katie Treadaway	Add a new objective and policy to the General Industrial Zone as follows: <u>GIZ-Ox</u> <u>Avoid reverse sensitivity effects on permitted and lawfully established activities within the General Industrial Zone, particularly as a result of subdivision and land use activities involving residential and other activities sensitive to the lawful operation of industry activities.</u> <u>GIZ-Px</u> <u>Avoid reverse sensitivity effects on lawfully established activities within the General Industrial Zone through the location, siting and design of sensitive land use activities within close proximity to this existing industrial zone, including on-site mitigation measures, screening and set-back requirements.</u>	Support	For reasons outlined by the submitter.	That the submission is accepted
GIZ / Overview	204.11	J Swaps Contractors - Katie Treadaway	Amend the General Industrial Zone Overview as follows: ... Lower standards of amenity are characteristic of industrial zones and out-of-zone activities locating in industrial zones can lead to reverse sensitivity effects. <u>Reverse sensitivity effects can also arise at zone boundaries and incompatible activities such as rural residential lifestyle blocks or intensified residential activities should be avoided.</u>	Support	For reasons outlined by the submitter.	That the submission is accepted
GIZ / Policies / GIZ-P8	204.9	J Swaps Contractors - Katie Treadaway	Amend Policy GIZ-P8 as follows: GIZ-P8: Require industrial activities located on sites adjoining ... zones to minimise adverse effects on sites within those zones ... <u>New sensitive land use activities proposed within close proximity to the General Industrial Zone must avoid reverse sensitivity through location, siting and design, including on-site mitigation measures, screening and set-back requirements.</u>	Support	For reasons outlined by the submitter.	That the submission is accepted

HAZS / Policies / HAZS-P2	511.16	Todd Energy Limited - Nik Pyselman	Amend Policy HAZS-P2 as follows: Allow the operation, maintenance, upgrade and redevelopment of existing significant hazardous facilities within the Major Facilities Zone, Port Zone, General Industrial Zone and Rural Production Zone, provided the existing level of <u>offsite</u> risk is not increased. or extended.	Support	For reasons outlined by the submitter.	That the submission is accepted
HC / Strategic Objectives	113.1	John Powell PhD (architecture)	Amend Proposed District Plan by: • significantly increasing in the number of heritage items (buildings and structures); and • significantly increasing in the number of listed heritage items across the New Plymouth District, including within smaller towns and -	Oppose	FFNZ considers that it is inappropriate to add any heritage items located on private land without direct landowner consultation in the first instance. There are very restrictive controls which apply to these items and as such, a rigorous identification process with meaningful consultation is necessary.	That the submission is rejected
HC / Strategic Objectives / HC-1	49.1	Louise James	Amend Strategic Direction - Historic and Cultural Objective HC-1 as follows: ... are recognised and protected <u>taking into consideration that tangata whenua heritage and cultural importance has preference over European.</u>	Oppose	The RMA does not prioritise section 6 matters of national importance. Where matters of national importance compete, the consent authority must weigh the significance of the competing interests of the particular case. In some cases, it may be that Māori culture and heritage values may be more important than European, however, this is something that should be based on a case by case basis and not a blanket rule.	That the submission is rejected
HC / Strategic Objectives / HC-1	348.1	Mike Gooch	Amend Proposed District Plan by: • the application of Category A protection to many more buildings; and • the provision of funding to assist building owners to restore heritage	Oppose	FFNZ considers that it is inappropriate to add any heritage items located on private land without direct landowner consultation in the first instance. There are very restrictive controls which apply to these items and as such, a rigorous identification process with meaningful consultation is necessary.	That the submission is rejected
HC / Strategic Objectives / HC-1	544.28	Trustpower Limited - Shelby Managh	Amend Strategic Direction - Historic and Cultural Objective HC-1 as follows: The district's heritage and cultural values contribute to the district's sense of place and identity, and are recognised and protected.	Support	For reasons outlined by the submitter.	That the submission is accepted

HC / Strategic Objectives / HC-2	563.145	Kāinga Ora - Homes and Communities - Dr Claire Kirman	Amend Strategic Direction - Historic and Cultural Objective HC-2 as follows [inferred]: The cultural, spiritual and/or historical values associated with historic heritage and sites and areas of significance to Māori are protected <u>from inappropriate subdivision, use and development.</u>	Support	For reasons outlined by the submitter.	That the submission is accepted
HC / Strategic Objectives / HC-2	544.29	Trustpower Limited - Shelby Managh	Amend Strategic Direction - Historic and Cultural Objective HC-2 as follows: The cultural, spiritual and/or historical values associated with historic heritage and sites and areas of significance to Māori are protected <u>where practicable.</u>	Support	For reasons outlined by the submitter.	That the submission is accepted
HH / Objectives / HH-O1	522.13	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga - Caroline Rachlin	Amend HH-O1 as follows: The District's historic heritage is recognised, <u>conserved and</u> protected, and maintained.	Oppose	Protection may not be appropriate or required in every situation	That the submission is rejected
HH / Objectives / HH-O1	544.87	Trustpower Limited - Shelby Managh	Amend HH-O1 as follows: Historic heritage is recognised, <u>and where appropriate</u> protected and maintained.	Support -	The relief sought is more consistent with RMA s6(f) direction.	That the submission is accepted

HH / Overview	582.18	New Plymouth District Council - Juliet Johnson	<p>Amend the advice note in the Historic Heritage Chapter above the Archaeological Sites rules to read as follows (or use wording with similar effect):</p> <p>...</p> <p>c. "for sites that have 'silent file' status, anywhere within these sites <u>are accurate to the land parcel containing the site and the extent of the site will be treated as the area within a 200m radius of the site's centroid marker</u>. unless the extent of the site is otherwise confirmed to the applicant and Council by iwi or hapū who have mana whenua over the site, in which case anywhere within the confirmed extent."</p> <p>Amend the Overview to read as follows (or use wording with similar effect):</p> <p>"The location of sites that have 'silent file' status are accurate to the land parcel and the extent of the site will be treated as the area within a 200m radius of the site's centroid marker <u>comprising that land parcel.</u>"</p>	Oppose	The concern is that the amendments may control land use activities within the land parcel that will not cause adverse effects on the site. Improved certainty for landowners and a more targeted planning response is sought.	FFNZ wish to remain involved as the submission is developed
HH / Policies / HH-P1	317.3	Hamish Crimp	Retain HH-P1 as notified but increase the number of heritage buildings and items scheduled (see comments in SCHED1 section).	Oppose	FFNZ considers that it is inappropriate to add any heritage items located on private land without direct landowner consultation in the first instance. There are very restrictive controls which apply to these items and as such, a rigorous identification process with meaningful consultation is necessary.	That the submission is rejected

/ Rules / Archaeological Sites	459.103	Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	Amend HH-R10 to HH-R18 to three rules only as follows: HH-R10 – Any activity on or within 50m of the extent of an archaeological site: 1) Gardening; 2) Maintaining existing fence lines outside of the extent of an archaeological site; and HH-R11 – Over height buildings between 100m and 50m from the extent of an archaeological site; and HH-R12 – Subdivision of land containing all or part of an archaeological site. s.33 transfer of powers to an iwi authority for the consideration of these rules.	Oppose	The proposed new planning approach is not supported	That the submission is rejected
/ Rules / HH- R2	484.40	Johnson Resource Management Limited - Helen Johnson	Amend HH-R2 to provide for structures (other than buildings) and impervious surfaces as permitted activities where they do not affect the heritage values of the building or item.	Support	For reasons stated by the submitter	That the submission is accepted
HH / Rules / HH- R3	484.39	Johnson Resource Management Limited - Helen Johnson	Amend HH-R3 to provide for structures (other than buildings) and impervious surfaces as permitted activities where they do not affect the heritage values of the building or item.	Support	For reasons stated by the submitter	That the submission is accepted
HH / Rules / HH- R4	484.38	Johnson Resource Management Limited - Helen Johnson	Amend HH-R4 to provide for structures (other than buildings) and impervious surfaces as permitted activities where they do not affect the heritage values of the building or item.	Support	For reasons stated by the submitter	That the submission is accepted

HH / Rules / HH-R9	459.115	Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	Amend HH-R9 as follows: All zones Activity status: NC RDIS <u>Where:</u> <u>1. all underlying zone Effects Standards are complied with.</u> <u>Matters over which discretion is restricted:</u> <u>1. The building or item is a risk to safety or property or is in a significant state of disrepair; and</u> <u>2. The cost of remedying the risk is prohibitive; or</u> <u>3. The building or item can be demolished in part without adverse effect on the heritage values for which the item was scheduled.</u> Activity status where compliance not achieved: N/A	Support	The amendments introduce useful provisions	That the submission is accepted
HH / Rules / New rule	522.39	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga - Caroline Rachlin	Add rules for heritage areas.	Oppose	The submitter has not provided any proposed wording to enable an assessment of the merits or impacts this relief may have on our members.	That the submission is rejected
HH / Rules / New rule	544.92	Trustpower Limited - Shelby Managh	Insert a new rule as follows: <u>Activities ancillary to the functioning and use of a scheduled heritage building or item including:</u> <u>• Erection of a structure</u> <u>• Installation of an impervious surface area (excluding roofs)</u> <u>• Relocation of a structure</u> Activity status: CONTROLLED <u>Where:</u> <u>1. all underlying zone Effects Standards are complied with.</u> <u>Matters over which control is restricted:</u> <u>1. The importance attributed to the heritage building or item by the wider community and Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga;</u> <u>2. Whether the location, size, height and/or design of the new structure will impact on the setting of the heritage building or item and/or whether it will compromise its heritage values;</u> <u>3. Whether there are opportunities to enhance the physical condition of the heritage building or item, its heritage values and the public's appreciation of those values;</u> <u>4. The extent to which the existing</u>	Support	For reasons outlined by the submitter	That the submission is accepted

NE / Strategic Objectives / NE-5	533.38	Ngāti Maru - Anaru Marshall	Amend Strategic Objective NE-5 as follows: A well-functioning and resilient natural environment is <u>restored and sustained so that it provides</u> for the social, economic and cultural well-being of communities and for the needs of future generations.	Oppose	The notified Objective is supported	That the submission is rejected
NE / Strategic Objectives / New strategic objective	550.30	Department of Conservation - Nardia Yozin	Add a new Strategic Objective NE-A (new) as follows: <u>The intrinsic values of ecosystems and outstanding natural features and landscapes in the district are protected and enhanced.</u>	Support in part	Support with amendment. <u>The intrinsic values of ecosystems and outstanding natural features and landscapes in the district are protected and enhanced where possible.</u>	That the submission is accepted with FFNZ amendment
NE / Strategic Objectives / New strategic objective	563.169	Kāinga Ora - Homes and Communities - Dr Claire Kirman	Add a new Strategic Objective as follows: <u>The quality of freshwater and coastal water is maintained where it is excellent or good and progressively improved over time where it is degraded.</u>	Oppose	Land use to manage water quality is a Regional Council function	That the submission is rejected
NE / Strategic Objectives / New strategic objective	487.32b	The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated - Tom Kay, Regional Manager	Seeks a new Strategic Objective as follows: <u>Resource management decisions safeguard the life-supporting capacity of air, water, soil, and ecosystems.</u>	Oppose	The addition is unnecessary and inappropriate to consider elements of Part 5(2) in isolation.	That the submission is rejected
NE / Strategic Objectives / New strategic objective	487.33	The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated - Tom Kay, Regional Manager	Add the following strategic objectives: ● <u>NE-8 Significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna are protected.</u> ● <u>NE-9 The survival chances of rare, endangered, or vulnerable species of indigenous plant or animal communities are maintained or enhanced.</u> ● <u>NE-10 the outstanding natural features and landscapes are protected.</u> ● <u>NE-11 the natural character of the coast environment and of the surface and margins of freshwater waterbodies is preserved.</u>	Oppose	The matters raised are already incorporated into the notified strategic objectives	That the submission is rejected

NFL	459.155	Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	Transfer powers under RMA Section 33 to the iwi authority for the management of the use and development of Taranaki Mounga.	Oppose	It is inappropriate to use the district plan as a mechanism to trigger s33 transfer of powers	That the submission is rejected
NFL / Policies / NFL-P4	487.57	The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated - Tom Kay, Regional Manager	Amend Policy NFL-P4 as follows: "3. clearance of indigenous vegetation disturbance". Amend rules to include limits to indigenous vegetation disturbance beyond scheduled SNAs, in accordance with Forest and Bird's submission against the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity chapter.	Oppose	The amendments are inconsistent with the notified planning approach and not supported	That the submission is rejected
NFL / Policies / NFL-P5	520.90	Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga - Marlene Benson	Amend Policy NFL-P5 as follows: "4. the outcomes of any consultation <u>with and/or expert advice received from tangata whenua ...</u> "	Oppose	If is difficult to understand what the amendments achieve over and above the notified policy	That the submission is rejected
NFL / Rules / NFL-R11	550.87	Department of Conservation - Nardia Yozin	Amend Rule NFL-R11 as follows: Move Clause 1(e) (structures for network utilities, agricultural, pastoral and horticultural activities and accessory buildings) from permitted activity to be a restricted discretionary activity, with the following "Matters over which discretion is restricted: <u>1. The functional and operational needs of, and benefits derived from, the network utility, including the potential impact on the levels of service or health and safety if the work is not undertaken;</u> <u>2. The effects of the structure on indigenous biodiversity, natural features and landscapes and riparian margin values; or</u> <u>3. If the proposed structure will exacerbate natural hazard risks.</u> <u>Activity status where compliance not achieved: DIS"</u>	Oppose	Inconsistent with FFNZ submission and an unnecessary departure from the notified planning approach	That the submission is rejected
NFL / Rules / NFL-R4	487.63	The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated - Tom Kay, Regional Manager	Either amend the activity status of Rule NFL-R4 to Controlled (and add matters relevant to effects of earthworks), or add the following permitted clause: <u>"The activity and associated earthworks are not within 20m of a waterbody or within the coastal</u>	Oppose	Inconsistent with FFNZ submission and an unnecessary departure from the notified planning approach	That the submission is rejected

NFL / Rules / NFL-R8	487.55	The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated - Tom Kay, Regional Manager	Either amend the activity status of Rule NFL-R8 to Controlled (and add matters relevant to effects of earthworks), or add the following permitted clause: <u>"The activity and associated earthworks are not within 20m of a waterbody or within the coastal</u>	Oppose	Inconsistent with FFNZ submission and an unnecessary departure from the notified planning approach	That the submission is rejected
NFL / Rules / NFL-R9	487.54	The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated - Tom Kay, Regional Manager	Amend Rule NFL-R9 by adding conditions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • to manage land stability and sedimentation effects; and • that the rule does not apply within a scheduled SNA. 	Oppose	Inconsistent with FFNZ submission and an unnecessary departure from the notified planning approach	That the submission is rejected
NH / Policies / NH-P4	568.76	Powerco Limited - Cheryl Cleary	Amend Policy NH-P4 as follows: <p>"... <u>10. Whether there is an operational or functional need for the activity to be located within the hazard area and/or whether any practicable and operationally feasible alternatives are available to avoid the activity occurring in the hazard area.</u>"</p>	Support	For reasons outlined by the submitter	That the submission is accepted

H / Rules / New rule	544.103	Trustpower Limited - Shelby Managh	<p>Add a new rule to the Natural Hazards chapter as follows: <u>NH R11 Earthworks on a site containing or adjoining a natural waterbody or significant waterbody is a PERMITTED activity in Rural Zones.</u> <u>Where:</u> <u>the earthworks are set back at least 10 metres from any significant waterbody; and</u> <u>the earthworks are set back at least 5 metres from any other natural waterbody.</u> <u>This rule does not apply to:</u> 1. Earthworks permitted by a rule in a Regional Plan or resource consent issued by Taranaki Regional Council, or ... i. lawfully established renewable electricity generation activities. <u>Activity status where compliance not achieved: Restricted Discretionary Matters over which discretion is restricted:</u> <u>Whether the activity would create new or exacerbate existing natural hazards, including flooding or stream bank erosion.</u></p>	Support	The relief sought provides useful amendments and adds certainty to the plan	That the submission is accepted
NU / Objectives / NU-03	457.27	Horticulture New Zealand - Jordyn Landers	<p>Amend Objective NU-03 as follows: The efficient operation, maintenance, repair or upgrading of network utilities is not constrained or compromised by other activities <u>to the extent reasonably possible.</u></p>	Support	For the reasons outlined by the submitter	That the submission is accepted
U / Policies / New policy	565.53	Transpower New Zealand Limited - Carolyn Wratt (Wratt Resource Management Planning Limited)	<p>Add the following new policy to the NU Chapter: <u>NU-PZ</u> <u>Recognise that the National Grid may require a location within sensitive natural environments where there are no practicable alternatives available, and the network utilities will result in significant social and/or economic benefits</u></p>			

<p>NU / Policies / NU-P4</p>	<p>547.36 and all related submission points which seek relief to replace proposed NPDP provisions with the dNPS-NU</p>	<p>Chorus New Zealand Limited - Andrew Kantor and Tom Anderson</p>	<p>Delete Policy NU-P4 in its entirety, and replace it with IE-P4 from the dNPS-NU: <u>IE-P4 Adverse effects of network utilities</u> <u>Manage the adverse effects of network utilities on the environment by:</u> <u>1. recognising that the adverse effects of the ongoing operation, maintenance, repair, upgrade and removal of existing network utilities are typically insignificant or minor by enabling these activities to occur without the need for planning approvals;</u> <u>2. avoiding, remedying or mitigating the adverse effects of substantial upgrades to, or the development of new network utilities, including effects on:</u> <u>a. natural and physical resources;</u> <u>b. amenity values;</u> <u>c. sensitive activities;</u> <u>d. the safe and efficient operation of other network utilities;</u> <u>e. the health, well-being and safety of people and communities.</u> <u>3. managing the potential adverse effects of noise, vibration,</u></p>	<p>Oppose</p>	<p>It is inappropriate to change the notified planning response to implement a draft. The draft NPS-NU has no legal standing in its current form and is subject to change.</p>	<p>That the submission and all related submission points seeking to implement the draft NPS-NU are rejected.</p>
------------------------------	--	--	--	---------------	--	--

/ Policies / NU-P4	569.36 and all related submission points which seek relief to replace proposed NPDP provisions with the dNPS-NU	Two Degrees Networks Limited - Ben Blakemore and Tom Anderson	Delete Policy NU-P4 in its entirety, and replace it with IE-P4 from the dNPS-NU: <u>IE-P4 Adverse effects of network utilities</u> <u>Manage the adverse effects of network utilities on the environment by:</u> <u>1. recognising that the adverse effects of the ongoing operation, maintenance, repair, upgrade and removal of existing network utilities are typically insignificant or minor by enabling these activities to occur without the need for planning approvals;</u> <u>2. avoiding, remedying or mitigating the adverse effects of substantial upgrades to, or the development of new network utilities, including effects on:</u> <u>a. natural and physical resources;</u> <u>b. amenity values;</u> <u>c. sensitive activities;</u> <u>d. the safe and efficient operation of other network utilities;</u> <u>e. the health, well-being and safety of people and communities.</u> <u>3. managing the potential adverse effects of noise, vibration,</u>	Oppose	It is inappropriate to change the notified planning response to implement a draft. The draft NPS-NU has no legal standing in its current form and is subject to change.	That the submission and all related submission points seeking to implement the draft NPS-NU are rejected.
NU / Policies / NU-P6	457.26	Horticulture New Zealand - Jordyn Landers	Amend Policy NU-P6 as follows: ... 5. maintains ongoing access to conductors and support structures for maintenance and upgrading works <u>retain existing level of access to conductors and support structures in agreement with the landowner</u>	Support	For reasons outlined by the submitter	That the submission is accepted
NU / Rules / NU-R22	457.30	Horticulture New Zealand - Jordyn Landers	Amend Rule NU-R22 as follows: <u>Effects on affected landowners</u>	Support	For reasons outlined by the submitter	That the submission is accepted
NU / Rules / NU-R3	457.33	Horticulture New Zealand - Jordyn Landers	Amend Rule NU-R3 as follows: <u>any alterations to conductors retain the same voltage unless the line was constructed to operate at a higher voltage</u>	Support	For reasons outlined by the submitter	That the submission is accepted
NU / Rules / NU-R33	457.40	Horticulture New Zealand - Jordyn Landers	Amend Rule NU-R33 as follows: Add to NU-R33 3)d) OR is a structure where Transpower has given written approval in accordance with clause 2.4.1 of NZECP34:2001.	Support	For reasons outlined by the submitter	That the submission is accepted

A / Objectives / New objective	520.116	Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga - Marlene Benson	Add a new Public Access Objective as follows: <u>Mātauranga Māori informs the identification, management and maintenance of public access in the coastal environment, and along waterbodies</u>	Oppose in part	Public Access provisions need to recognise that it is only appropriate to facilitate public access where there is an identified public need for it, and the circumstances appropriately allow for it.	That the submission only applies to public land
PA / Objectives / PA-O1	126.15	Taranaki Fish & Game Council - Allen Stancliff	Amend Objective PA-O1 as follows: Public access is provided <u>to and</u> along the coast and <u>to and</u> along waterbodies with high recreational, scenic or amenity values.	oppose	Public Access provisions need to recognise that it is only appropriate to facilitate public access where there is an identified public need for it, and the circumstances appropriately allow for it.	That the submission only applies to public land
PA / Policies / New policy	544.115	Trustpower Limited - Shelby Managh	Add new Public Access policy as follows: <u>Public access to and along the margins of lakes and rivers may in certain circumstances be restricted to:</u> <u>(a) protect public health and safety;</u> <u>(b) protect significant infrastructure and network utilities</u>	Support	For reasons outlined by the submitter	That the submission is accepted
Planning Maps / Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	582.58	New Plymouth District Council - Juliet Johnson	1. Remove Significant Natural Areas on land parcels administered under other legislation. 2. As a consequential amendment, amend SCHED6 (Schedule of Rural Significant Natural Areas) and SCHED7 (Schedule of Urban Biodiversity Areas).	Support	These amendments are consistent with FFNZ position	That the submission is accepted

RLZ / Policies / New policy	520.162	Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga - Marlene Benson	Add a new policy to the Rural Lifestyle Zone as follows (or similar): RLZ-PX Production activities should <u>not lessen but enhance, encourage and protect the rural indigenous biodiversity and environment in particular any remaining areas of wetlands</u>	Oppose	It is unclear what production activities the submitter is referring to, or what is meant by the term rural indigenous biodiversity	That the submission is rejected
RLZ / Policies / RLZ-P5	459.270	Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	Amend policy RLZ-P5 as follows: Require activities to minimise any adverse visual effects by: 1...; <u>9. engaging tangata whenua expertise to inform any proposals.</u>	Oppose	Tangata whenua engagement is not required in every instance and seems unnecessary in the context of Policy RLZ-P5	That the submission is rejected
RLZ / Rules / RLZ- R11	550.121	Department of Conservation - Nardia Yozin	Amend rule RLZ-R11 as follows: Activity status: CON-RDIS Where: 1. the site(s) on which the goats are kept is located within 2km of: Egmont National Park and the contiguous areas of land administered by the Department of Conservation as shown on the planning maps; <u>a. listed in SCHED6 – Schedule of Rural Significant Natural Areas;</u> <u>b. Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape ‘Mount Taranaki and Kaitake and Pouakai mountain ranges’ listed in SCHED8 – Schedule of Natural Features and Landscapes;</u> <u>c. Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape ‘Waihi Steam to Pariokariwa Point, including Parininihi’ listed in SCHED8 – Schedule of Natural Features and Landscapes;</u> <u>d. Any public conservation land, administered by the Department of Conservation; and</u> ... Matters over which discretion control is restricted reserved : <u>1. Number of goats being kept on the property;</u>	Oppose	The notified planning approach is supported	That the submission is rejected
RLZ / Rules / RLZ- S11	286.11	Vaughan Redshaw	Amend standard RLZ-S11 to allow driveways and right of ways to be surfaced with 'all weather surfaces', such as metal.	Support	For reasons outlined by the submitter	That the submission is accepted

RLZ / Rules / RLZ-S2	457.54	Horticulture New Zealand - Jordyn Landers	Amend rule RLZ-S2 as follows: Minimum building setbacks Matters of discretion if compliance not achieved: 1...; <u>8. Potential reverse sensitivity effects on agricultural, pastoral and horticultural activity or highly productive land in a Rural Zone</u>	Support	For reasons outlined by the submitter	That the submission is accepted
RPROZ	459.297	Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	Amend objective, policy, rule, effects standards, permitted activity standards and matters of discretion to address submission and aligns with section 6 (e) of the RMA.	Oppose	The submitter has not provided any proposed wording to enable an assessment of the merits or impacts this relief may have on our members.	That the submission is rejected
RPROZ / Objectives / RPROZ-O5	311.57	Climate Justice Taranaki Incorporated - Catherine Cheung	Amend objective RPROZ-O5 as follows: <u>...3."the phasing out of intensive indoor farming and oil and gas activities are supported, to facilitate the transition to sustainable productive landuse including cottage</u>	Oppose	The relief sought is opposed	That the submission is rejected
RPROZ / Objectives / RPROZ-O7	457.57	Horticulture New Zealand - Jordyn Landers	Amend objective RPROZ-O7 as follows: Sensitive activities....conflict with primary production, <u>such that rural activities and the productive potential of highly productive land is not constrained.</u>	Support	For reasons outlined by the submitter	That the submission is accepted
RPROZ / Policies / New policy	477.19	Aggregate & Quarry Association of New Zealand ("AQA") - Graeme Mathieson	Add new policy RPROZ-PX policy as follows: <u>Provide for mineral extraction activities within appropriate areas to ensure a secure supply of extractable mineral for the continued development of New Plymouth and surrounding areas, while requiring they are established and operated in ways which avoid, remedy or mitigate significant adverse effects on the environment.</u>	Support	For reasons outlined by the submitter	That the submission is accepted

RPROZ / Policies / New policy	204.6	J Swaps Contractors - Katie Treadaway	Add a new policy to the RPROZ chapter as follows: <u>To ensure that subdivision and development in, or adjacent to:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>existing commercial, industrial and mineral extraction activities</u> • <u>land zoned for commercial, industrial, or mineral extraction activities</u> • <u>existing infrastructure, including the state highway network and airport.</u> <u>is designed and located to avoid, remedy or mitigate reverse sensitivity effects on existing or permitted activities. Such effects can include noise, odour, spray drift and dust, vibration and traffic.</u>	Support	For reasons outlined by the submitter	That the submission is accepted
RPROZ / Policies / New policy	520.191	Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga - Marlene Benson	Add new policy RPROZ-PX as follows: <u>Production activities should not lessen but enhance, encourage and protect the rural indigenous biodiversity and environment in particular any remaining areas of wetlands.</u>	Oppose	It is unclear what production activities the submitter is referring to, or what is meant by the term rural indigenous biodiversity	That the submission is rejected
RPROZ / Policies / RPROZ-P2	477.9	Aggregate & Quarry Association of New Zealand ("AQA") - Graeme Mathieson	Amend policy RPROZ-P2 as follows: ...1. the activity is compatible with the character and the amenity of the rural area <u>associated with it's predominant use for primary production</u> ; 2. the activity will limit or constrain the establishment and operation of agricultural, pastoral and , horticultural <u>and other primary production</u> activities; 3. the activity will reduce the potential for versatile land to be used for <u>primary production</u> productive purposes and in a sustainable manner; ...5. adverse effects can be <u>avoided, remedied or mitigated internalised within the activity's site</u> ; and potentially compatible activities include: ...6. <u>mineral extraction activities</u> mining ...9. quarries	Support	For reasons outlined by the submitter	That the submission is accepted

RPROZ / Policies / RPROZ-P6	459.275	Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	<p>Amend policy RPROZ-P6 as follows: Ensure large scale primary production.... 4. <u>Ensure tangata whenua expertise to advise with respect to whether the activity may compromise cultural, spiritual and/or historic values and interests or associated of importance to tangata whenua, and if so, the outcome of any consultation with tangata whenua as kaitiaki and mana whenua, including with respect to mitigation options.</u> ...6. methods for avoiding adverse effects on identified features, including archaeological sites, historic heritage, sites and areas of significance to Māori....</p> <p>The amendment to this policy should be reflected in the matters over which control is reserved and discretion is restricted.</p>	Oppose	The notified policy adopts an approach response.	That the submission is rejected
RPROZ / Rules / RPROZ-R1	457.58	Horticulture New Zealand - Jordyn Landers	<p>Amend rule RPROZ-R1 as follows: 1. Where all Rural Production Effects Standards are complied with <u>(except for Artificial Crop Protection Structures or Crop Support Structures, RPROZ-S2 Minimum building setbacks, RPROZ-S4 Height in relation to boundary, and RPROZ-S6 Maximum gross floor area do not apply).</u> <u>Or alternatively amend to clarify that artificial crop protection structures and crop support structures are not buildings).</u> OR amend to clarify that ACPS and CSS are not buildings</p>	Support	For reasons outlined by the submitter	That the submission is accepted

RPOZ / Rules / RPROZ-R14	457.48	Horticulture New Zealand - Jordyn Landers	Amend rule RPROZ-R14 as follows: ... Matters over which discretion is restricted: 1. ... 12. Location and sensitivity to <u>agricultural, pastoral and horticultural activities</u> , intensive indoor primary production, mining, quarries and/or oil and gas activities and whether any conflict, reverse sensitivity effects and/or increased risks to people, property and the environment arise.	Support	For reasons outlined by the submitter	That the submission is accepted
RPROZ / Rules / RPROZ-R15	457.53	Horticulture New Zealand - Jordyn Landers	Amend rule RPROZ-R15 as follows: ... Matters over which discretion is restricted: 1. ... 12. Location and sensitivity to <u>agricultural, pastoral and horticultural activities</u> , intensive indoor primary production, mining, quarries and/or oil and gas activities and whether any conflict, reverse sensitivity effects and/or increased risks to people, property and the environment arise.	Support	For reasons outlined by the submitter	That the submission is accepted
RPROZ / Rules / RPROZ-R16	457.56	Horticulture New Zealand - Jordyn Landers	Amend rule RPROZ-R16 as follows: ... Matters over which discretion is restricted: 1. ... 12. Location and sensitivity to <u>agricultural, pastoral and horticultural activities</u> , intensive indoor primary production, mining, quarries and/or oil and gas activities and whether any conflict, reverse sensitivity effects and/or increased risks to people, property and the environment arise.	Support	For reasons outlined by the submitter	That the submission is accepted

RPROZ / Rules / RPROZ-R17	457.66a	Horticulture New Zealand - Jordyn Landers	Amend rule RPROZ-R17 as follows: ... Matters over which discretion is restricted: 1. ... 12. Location and sensitivity to <u>agricultural, pastoral and horticultural activities</u> , intensive indoor primary production, mining, quarries and/or oil and gas activities and whether any conflict, reverse sensitivity effects and/or increased risks to people, property and the environment arise.	Support	For reasons outlined by the submitter	That the submission is accepted
RPROZ / Rules / RPROZ-R18	457.66b	Horticulture New Zealand - Jordyn Landers	Amend rule RPROZ-R18 as follows: ... Matters over which discretion is restricted: 1. ... 12. Location and sensitivity to <u>agricultural, pastoral and horticultural activities</u> , intensive indoor primary production, mining, quarries and/or oil and gas activities and whether any conflict, reverse sensitivity effects and/or increased risks to people, property and the environment arise.	Support	For reasons outlined by the submitter	That the submission is accepted
RPROZ / Rules / RPROZ-R19	457.66c	Horticulture New Zealand - Jordyn Landers	Amend rule RPROZ-R19 as follows: ... Matters over which discretion is restricted: 1. ... 12. Location and sensitivity to <u>agricultural, pastoral and horticultural activities</u> , intensive indoor primary production, mining, quarries and/or oil and gas activities and whether any conflict, reverse sensitivity effects and/or increased risks to people, property and the environment arise.	Support	For reasons outlined by the submitter	That the submission is accepted

RPROZ / Rules / RPROZ-R20	457.66d	Horticulture New Zealand - Jordyn Landers	Amend rule RPROZ-R20 as follows: ... Matters over which discretion is restricted: 1. ... 12. Location and sensitivity to <u>agricultural, pastoral and horticultural activities</u> , intensive indoor primary production, mining, quarries and/or oil and gas activities and whether any conflict, reverse sensitivity effects and/or increased risks to people, property and the environment arise.	Support	For reasons outlined by the submitter	That the submission is accepted
RPROZ / Rules / RPROZ-R21	457.66e	Horticulture New Zealand - Jordyn Landers	Amend rule RPROZ-R21 as follows: ... Matters over which discretion is restricted: 1. ... 12. Location and sensitivity to <u>agricultural, pastoral and horticultural activities</u> , intensive indoor primary production, mining, quarries and/or oil and gas activities and whether any conflict, reverse sensitivity effects and/or increased risks to people, property and the environment arise.	Support	For reasons outlined by the submitter	That the submission is accepted
RPROZ / Rules / RPROZ-R22	583.37	Fonterra Farm Source - Aaron Edwards (BTW Company Limited)	Amend rule RPROZ-R22 as follows: Commercial service activities <u>(excluding farming and agricultural suppliers)....</u>	Support	For reasons outlined by the submitter	That the submission is accepted
RPROZ / Rules / RPROZ-R22	457.66f	Horticulture New Zealand - Jordyn Landers	Amend rule RPROZ-R22 as follows: ... Matters over which discretion is restricted: 1. ... 12. Location and sensitivity to <u>agricultural, pastoral and horticultural activities</u> , intensive indoor primary production, mining, quarries and/or oil and gas activities and whether any conflict, reverse sensitivity effects and/or increased risks to people, property and the environment arise.	Support	For reasons outlined by the submitter	That the submission is accepted

RPROZ / Rules / RPROZ-R35	209.20	New Zealand Pork Industry Board - Hannah Ritchie	Amend rule RPROZ-R35 to exclude mobile shelters, if they are to be included in the definition of a building.	Support	For reasons outlined by the submitter	That the submission is accepted
RPROZ / Rules / RPROZ-R9	550.123	Department of Conservation - Nardia Yozin	Amend rule RPROZ-R9 as follows: Activity status: CON <u>RDIS</u> Where: 1. the site(s) on which the goats are kept is located within 2km of: Egmont National Park and the contiguous areas of land administered by the Department of Conservation as shown on the planning maps; a. <u>listed in SCHED6 – Schedule of Rural Significant Natural Areas;</u> b. <u>Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape ‘Mount Taranaki and Kaitake and Pouakai mountain ranges’ listed in SCHED8 – Schedule of Natural Features and Landscapes;</u> c. <u>Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape ‘Waihi Steam to Pariokariwa Point, including Parininihi’ listed in SCHED8 – Schedule of Natural Features and Landscapes;</u> d. <u>Any public conservation land, administered by the Department of Conservation; and</u> 2. all Rural Production Zone Effects Standards are complied with. Matters over which discretion control is <u>restricted reserved</u> : 1. <u>Number of goats being kept on the</u>	Oppose	The notified planning approach is appropriate	That the submission is rejected
RPROZ / Rules / RPROZ-R9	484.96	Johnson Resource Management Limited - Helen Johnson	Amend rule RPROZ-R9 so that the keeping of goats outside of 2km of the national park is a permitted activity.	Support	For reasons outlined by the submitter	That the submission is accepted
RPROZ / Rules / RPROZ-S1	467.50	Tegel Foods Limited - John Russell	Amend standard RPROZ-S1 as follows: Maximum structure height... <u>Excludes: Grain and feed silos (and associated structures), where the height shall not exceed 25m</u>	Support	For reasons outlined by the submitter	That the submission is accepted
RPROZ / Rules / RPROZ-S2	457.49	Horticulture New Zealand - Jordyn Landers	Retain standard RPROZ-S2 but amend the matters of discretion to include: ...x. <u>Potential reverse sensitivity effects on agricultural, pastoral and horticultural activity or highly productive land.</u>	Support	For reasons outlined by the submitter	That the submission is accepted

RPROZ / Rules / RPROZ-S3	457.50	Horticulture New Zealand - Jordyn Landers	Amend standard RPROZ-S3 to clarify that the standard does not unreasonably restrict shelter belts on horticultural properties.	Support	For reasons outlined by the submitter	That the submission is accepted
SASM / Objectives / SASM-O1	551.111	The Oil Companies (Z Energy, Mobil Oil New Zealand, BP Oil New Zealand) - Matthew Thode (4Sight Consulting)	Amend Objective SASM-O1 to recognize that protection, per se, of sites and areas of significance to Māori will not be necessary or practicable in all circumstances as follows: Sites and areas of significance to Māori are recognised, and the <u>cultural, spiritual and/or heritage values of those sites and areas are protected and/or maintained.</u>	Support	For reasons outlined by the submitter	That the submission is accepted
SASM / Overview	582.28a	New Plymouth District Council - Juliet Johnson	Amend the advice note above the SASM rules to clarify what is meant by “on a scheduled site or area of significance to Māori” when it relates to sites with silent file status, and make consequential amendments to the SASM Overview section to reflect this, as follows: Note: ... c. “for sites that have ‘silent file’ status, <u>anywhere within these sites are accurate to the land parcel containing the site and the extent of the site will be treated as the area within a 200m radius of the site’s centroid marker.</u> unless the extent of the site is otherwise confirmed to the applicant and Council by iwi or hapū who have mana whenua over the site, in which case anywhere within the confirmed extent.” Overview - “The location of sites that have ‘silent file’ status are accurate to the land parcel and the extent of the site will be treated as the area <u>within a 200m radius of the site’s centroid marker</u> ”	Oppose	The concern is that the amendments may control land use activities within the land parcel that will not cause adverse effects on the SASM. Improved certainty for landowners and a more targeted planning response is sought.	FFNZ wish to remain involved as the submission is developed

SASM / Policies / SASM-P7	459.172	Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	Amend Policy SASM-P7 as follows: Support landowners to manage, maintain, and preserve <u>and protect</u> sites and areas of significance to Māori ...	Support in part	Protection may not be an option in all situations	Support landowners to manage, maintain, and preserve <u>or protect</u> sites and areas of significance to Māori ...
SASM / Policies / SASM-P8	459.173	Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	Amend Policy SASM-P8 as follows: Promote <u>Provide for</u> the provision or development of access for tangata whenua to sites and areas of significance to Māori, including...	Oppose	Access across private land may not be an option in all situations. The notified wording is supported	That the submission is rejected
SASM / Rules	582.28b	New Plymouth District Council - Juliet Johnson	Amend the advice note above the SASM rules to clarify what is meant by “on a scheduled site or area of significance to Māori” when it relates to sites with silent file status, and make consequential amendments to the SASM Overview section to reflect this, as follows: Note: ... c. “for sites that have ‘silent file’ status, anywhere within these sites are accurate to the land parcel containing the site and the extent of the site will be treated as the area within a 200m radius of the site’s centroid marker. unless the extent of the site is otherwise confirmed to the applicant and Council by iwi or hapū who have mana whenua over the site, in which case anywhere within the confirmed extent.” Overview - “The location of sites that have ‘silent file’ status are accurate to the land parcel and the extent of the site will be treated as the area within a 200m radius of the site’s centroid marker ”	Oppose	The concern is that the amendments may control land use activities within the land parcel that will not cause adverse effects on the SASM. Improved certainty for landowners and a more targeted planning response is sought.	FFNZ wish to remain involved as the submission is developed

SASM / Rules	582.29	New Plymouth District Council - Juliet Johnson	<p>Amend the advice note above the SASM rules to clarify what is meant by “on a scheduled site or area of significance to Māori” when it relates to sites with silent file status, and make consequential amendments to the SASM Overview section to reflect this, as follows:</p> <p>Note:</p> <p>...</p> <p>c. “for sites that have ‘silent file’ status, anywhere within these sites are accurate to the land parcel containing the site and the extent of the site will be treated as the area within a 200m radius of the site’s centroid marker. unless the extent of the site is otherwise confirmed to the applicant and Council by iwi or hapū who have mana whenua over the site, in which case anywhere within the confirmed extent.”</p> <p>Overview -</p> <p>“The location of sites that have ‘silent file’ status are accurate to the land parcel and the extent of the site will be treated as the area within a 200m radius of the site’s centroid marker comprising that land parcel.”</p>	Oppose	The concern is that the amendments may control land use activities within the land parcel that will not cause adverse effects on the SASM. Improved certainty for landowners and a more targeted planning response is sought.	FFNZ wish to remain involved as the submission is developed
SASM / Rules	459.174	Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	<p>Delete Rules SASM-R1 to SASM-R9 and replace as follows:</p> <p><u>R1 – Any activity on or within 50 metres of the extent of a site or area of significance to Māori excluding:</u></p> <p><u>1) Gardening;</u></p> <p><u>2) The grazing of livestock; and</u></p> <p><u>3) Maintaining existing fence lines outside of the extent of a SASM.</u></p> <p><u>R2 – Over height buildings between 100m and 50m from the extent of a site.</u></p> <p><u>R3 – Subdivision of land containing all or part of a site or area of significance to Māori.</u></p> <p><u>The activity status where there is non-compliance with these rules to be discretionary.</u></p> <p><u>A s.33 transfer of powers to an iwi authority for the implementation of these rules is recommended.</u></p>	Oppose	The proposed new planning approach is not supported	That the submission is rejected

SASM / Rules / SASM-R5	582.33	New Plymouth District Council - Juliet Johnson	Amend Rule SASM-R5 as follows: Erection of a structure on or within 50m of a scheduled site or area of significance to Māori Activity status: PER Where: 1. "No part of the structure is located on <u>or within 50m of</u> a scheduled site or area of significance to Māori; and" And amend the associated activity status for this rule from Permitted to	Oppose	FFNZ has concerns the amendments may capture farm fences unnecessarily. Buffer zones may not be required in all situations and should not be an automatic planning response.	FFNZ wish to remain involved as the submission develops
SASM / Rules / SASM-R6	582.34	New Plymouth District Council - Juliet Johnson	Amend Rule SASM-R6 as follows: Additions to a structure on or within 50m of a scheduled site or area of significance to Māori Activity status: PER Where: 1. "No part of the structure is located on <u>or within 50m of</u> a scheduled site or area of significance to Māori; and" And amend the associated activity status for this rule from Permitted to	Oppose	FFNZ has concerns the amendments may capture farm fences unnecessarily. Buffer zones may not be required in all situations and should not be an automatic planning response.	FFNZ wish to remain involved as the submission develops
SASM / Rules / SASM-R7	582.35	New Plymouth District Council - Juliet Johnson	Amend Rule SASM-R7 as follows: Relocation of a structure onto or within 50m of a scheduled site or area of significance to Māori Where: 1. "No part of the structure is located on <u>or within 50m of</u> a scheduled site or area of significance to Māori; and" And amend the associated activity status for this rule from Permitted to	Oppose	FFNZ has concerns the amendments may capture farm fences unnecessarily. Buffer zones may not be required in all situations and should not be an automatic planning response.	FFNZ wish to remain involved as the submission develops

SASM / Rules / SASM-R8	551.121	The Oil Companies (Z Energy, Mobil Oil New Zealand, BP Oil New Zealand) - Matthew Thode (4Sight Consulting)	Amend Rules SASM-R4 to SASM-R8 to remove the 50m buffer area around sites and, if necessary, amend the planning maps to include the extent of all sites incorporating any necessary buffer. Amend Rule SASM-R8 as follows: Earthworks on or within 50m of a scheduled site or area of significance to Māori, including earthworks associated with the clearance of trees and the erection of new structures, but excluding land disturbance provided for by SASM-R1 and earthworks associated with the maintenance and repair or upgrading of a network utility provided for by SASM-R4	Support in part	FFNZ agrees that buffer zones may not be required in all situations and should not be an automatic planning response.	FFNZ wish to remain involved as the submission develops
SCHED1 / Heritage Buildings and Items	238.1	Sarah Buist	Add significant heritage buildings, including houses, to SCHED1.	Oppose	It is not appropriate to add any private property to schedules without robust consultation and landowner approval.	That the submission is rejected
SCHED1 / Heritage Buildings and Items	297.100 and all other submission points seeking additions to the schedules	Heritage Taranaki Inc - Ivan Bruce	Add New Plymouth Technical School Technical Engineering Building (Former) (99 Liardet Street, New Plymouth) to SCHED1.	Oppose	It is not appropriate to add any private property to schedules without robust consultation and landowner approval.	That the submission is rejected
SCHED1 / Heritage Buildings and Items / New	522.134	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga - Caroline Rachlin	Add to SCHED1 and the planning maps Tongaporutu River Baches Historic Area (List Number 9318) as a Historic Heritage Area. Make associated and consequential changes to the policies and rules to ensure heritage area provisions are included to ensure protection and appropriate conservation of this area. As an alternative to adding the area to SCHED1 add a new schedule specifically for heritage areas.	Oppose	It is not appropriate to add any private property to schedules without robust consultation and landowner approval.	That the submission is rejected

SCHED1 /	297.3b	Heritage Taranaki	Revisit the decision to not schedule	Oppose	It is not appropriate to add any private property to schedules without robust consultation and landowner approval.	That the submission is rejected
SCHED3 / Archaeological Sites or Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori	520.79	Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga - Marlene Benson	Amend SCHED3 and the wording around silent files as follows: The location of sites that have a "silent file" status are accurate to the land parcel. and the extent of the site will be treated as the area within a 200m radius of the site's centroid	Oppose	The notified wording is important to provide some certainty for property owners.	That the submission is rejected
SCHED3 / Archaeological Sites or Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori / Site ID 2636	551.124b	The Oil Companies (Z Energy, Mobil Oil New Zealand, BP Oil New Zealand) - Matthew Thode (4Sight Consulting)	Clarify the extent of land covered by all verified sites of significance to Māori and archaeological sites by making the following changes or similar to the same effect: • amend the Proposed District Plan maps to clearly identify the spatial extent of all verified sites of significance to Māori and archaeological sites, including for any sites that may correlate to the legal property boundaries of the site on which they are located; or remove the hyperlink to the RMA definition of 'site' from the SASM chapter where the word 'site' is used in the context of a site of significance to Māori rather than the record of title for a parcel of land.	Support	For reasons outlined by the submitter	That the submission is accepted
SCHED3 / Archaeological Sites or Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori	475.4c	Landpro Limited - Kathryn Hooper	Clarify SCHED3 as follows: • Review the sites in Schedule 3 to ensure that all sites marked as SASM are indeed SASM sites. • Make it clearer that verified means verified by Hapū.	Support	It is important to ensure accuracy of all identified sites.	That the submission is accepted
SCHED3 / Archaeological Sites or Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori / New	317.9b	Hamish Crimp	Add to SCHED3 all known pre-1900 buildings within the district. NPDC has a list updated to mid-2019, although there are many more yet to be identified. Make publicly available and freely accessible the information used to determine the locations and extents of archaeological sites.	Oppose	It is not appropriate to add any private property to schedules without robust consultation and landowner approval.	That the submission is rejected

SCHED9 / Significant Waterbodies	549.4	Taranaki Regional Council - Fred McLay	Amend Schedule 9 so that 'Water Quality Values' is defined and that the features of each waterbody identified as having water quality values are described.	Support	This will be useful amendment	That the submission is accepted
CHED9 / Significant Waterbodies	549.5	Taranaki Regional Council - Fred McLay	Amend Schedule 9 to include all the wetlands within the New Plymouth District.	Oppose	FFNZ has concerns the broad definition of wetlands will elevate sites to a significance status incorrectly	That the submission is rejected
Satutory Context	459.344	Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	Review to consider transfer of powers particularly around heritage items, SASM, natural features and landscapes and waterbodies.	Oppose	The transfer of powers provided under s33 can have useful application, however there is concern that the matters covered by the relief sought are too broad. Transfers would need to be assessed on a case by case basis. FFNZ remain interested, in particular, with regards to s33(4) matters.	That the submission is rejected
Tangata Whenua	459.11	Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	Amend wording in the Tangata Whenua chapter and elsewhere as required throughout the Proposed District Plan to reflect the statutory requirement to engage tangata whenua as a cultural expert to inform any development and decision making processes.	Oppose	Whilst the matters raised in the submission point are acknowledged they seem to apply more directly to how NPDC are choosing to engage with co-governance opportunities rather than matters which can be addressed over and above what has already been provided for within the notified district plan provisions.	That the submission is rejected
Tangata Whenua	459.7	Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	Amend wording in the Tangata Whenua chapter to correctly reflect the process for notification of resource consent applications to iwi/ hapū within, adjacent to, or directly affecting a statutory area; and engagement of iwi/ hapū to inform the resource consent process. Suggest that pre-application discussions are beneficial.	support	The relief sought would help to improve certainty for plan users.	FFNZ wish to remain involved as this submission is developed
AN / Policies / TRAN-P19	311.20	Climate Justice Taranaki Incorporated - Catherine Cheung	Stringent rules, monitoring and enforcement on the ground are needed for high trip generator activities, particularly heavy vehicles.	Oppose	This submission point may be better applied in district council bylaw provisions	That the submission is rejected
TREE / Policies / New policy	520.76	Te Runanga o Ngāti Mutunga - Marlene Benson	Add a new policy to the Notable Trees Chapter as follows: <u>TREE-Px Recognise that only Tangata Whenua of the New Plymouth District can identify their relationship, associations and values with important trees or groups of trees within the district</u>	Support in part	The submission point is understood though FFNZ consider this can be acknowledged through the identification process within TREE – P1 rather than needing a new policy.	That the submission is rejected

TW / Strategic Objectives / TW-8	459.19	Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	Amend Strategic Direction - Tangata Whenua Objective TW-8 by adding the following text: <u>Provide opportunities for Tangata Whenua to actively participate in the sustainable management of natural and physical resources including ancestral lands, water, sites, wāhi tapu and other taonga in a way that does all of the following:</u> <u>a) recognises the role of Tangata Whenua as kaitiaki and provides for the practical expression of kaitiakitanga;</u> <u>b) builds and maintains partnerships and relationships with Tangata Whenua;</u> <u>c) provides for timely, effective and meaningful engagement with Tangata Whenua at all stages in the resource management process, including development of resource management policies and plans;</u> <u>d) recognises the role of kaumātua and pūkenga;</u> <u>e) recognises Tangata Whenua as specialists in the tikanga of their hapū or iwi and as being best placed to convey their relationship with their ancestral lands, water, sites, wāhi</u>	Oppose	Whilst the matters raised in the submission point are acknowledged they seem to apply more directly to how NPDC are choosing to engage with co-governance opportunities rather than matters which can be addressed over and above what has already been provided for within the notified district plan provisions.	That the submission is rejected.
IEWS / Objectives / IEWS-O1	459.190	Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	Amend Objective IEWS-O1, Policy IEWS-P1 and Planning Maps in collaboration with Tangata Whenua to add further viewshafts of - significance to iwi/hapū.	Neutral	FFNZ is only concerned to ensure that where any viewshafts are extended over private land in rural zones, the underlying rules of that zone will apply.	Ensure any new viewshafts which extend over private land in rural zones, the underlying rules of that zone will apply.
IEWS / Policies / New policy	520.53	Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga - Marlene Benson	Add a new Policy as follows: <u>Collaborate with tangata whenua to identify viewshafts that have cultural significance, including views to and from Marae, Pa, Tongi, waterbodies, Maunga Taranaki and the sea.</u> Add a new Policy as follows: <u>Collaborate with tangata whenua to provide interpretive material identifying significant cultural elements of viewshafts.</u>	Neutral	FFNZ is only concerned to ensure that where any viewshafts are extended over private land in rural zones, the underlying rules of that zone will apply.	Ensure any new viewshafts which extend over private land in rural zones, the underlying rules of that zone will apply.
WB	334.2	Erin Griffith	Add additional significant waterbodies to the the schedule to include waterbodies that feed into QEII wetlands and or remnants.	Oppose	It is inappropriate to bring any new waterbodies nder the proposed planning response without full public consultation.	That the submission is rejected

WB	459.182	Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	Amend the entire waterbodies section to apply the provisions for 'significant waterbodies' to all waterbodies, this includes the main stem as well as their tributaries irrespective of the underlying zone.	Oppose	The prioritised planning response is supported	That the submission is rejected
WB / Objectives / WB-O1	584.120	Bluehaven Commercial Limited - Jeremy Brophy (BTW Company Limited)	Amend WB-O1 to provide for different levels of value on differing waterbodies and more clarity to be provided on what is inappropriate activities.	Neutral	There may be some merit in the relief sought	FFNZ wish to remain involved if the submission is developed
WB / Objectives / WB-O4	520.70	Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga - Marlene Benson	Amend Objective WB-O4 as follows: "The relationship of tangata whenua and their traditions, values, interests associated with waterbodies are recognised and provided for <u>along with their ability to practice kaitiakitanga.</u> "	Neutral	The amendment has potential to create uncertainty, it may be more appropriate to clarify that practical expression of kaitiakitanga is achieved through timely, effective and meaningful engagement with Mana Whenua at appropriate stages in the resource management process.	FFNZ wish to remain involved if the submission is developed
WB / Overview	487.52	The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated - Tom Kay, Regional Manager	Amend the Overview of the Waterbodies chapter to clarify if the 'significant waterbodies' definition is the same as the 'outstanding waterbodies' definition under the NPSFM.	Neutral	There may be some merit in the relief sought	FFNZ wish to remain involved if the submission is developed
WB / Policies / WB-P1	549.8	Taranaki Regional Council - Fred McLay	Amend Policy WB-P1 to clarify 'water quality' values, or alternatively, define 'water quality' values.	Support	There may be some merit in the relief sought	FFNZ wish to remain involved as the submission is developed
WB / Policies / WB-P1	520.113	Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga - Marlene Benson	Amend Policy WB-P1 as follows: "Identify, map and schedule significant waterbodies for one or more of the following reasons....."	Oppose	The purpose of the policy is to identify and map significant waterbodies to enable a more targeted planning response.	That the submission is rejected
WB / Policies / WB-P3	568.98	Powerco Limited - Cheryl Cleary	Amend Policy WB-P3 as follows: Require that activities proposing to locate on sites adjoining a waterbody.... 2. the purpose of the activity and whether it has a functional <u>or operational</u> need to be located	Support	For reasons outlined by the submission	That the submission is accepted
WB / Policies / WB-P3	459.152	Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	Amend Policy WB-P3 to include the requirement to obtain expert cultural advice to inform a resource consent proposal.	Oppose	It is unclear what the relief sought is seeking over and above what is already enabled in the Policy	That the submission is rejected
WB / Policies / WB-P4	533.108	Ngāti Maru - Anaru Marshall	Amend Policy WB-P4 to require expert cultural advice to be obtained to inform the consideration of a resource consent.	Oppose	The relief sought may not be appropriate in every circumstance and as such is not necessary within the policy. It may be more appropriate when applied to	That the submission is rejected

					specific rules.	
WB / Policies / WB-P4	459.131	Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	Amend Policy WB-P4 to outline that tangata whenua places are more than just cultural and historical associations with a waterbody.	Oppose	It is unclear what amendments the submitter is seeking	That the submission is rejected
WB / Policies / WB-P4	520.111	Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga - Marlene Benson	Amend Policy WB-P4 as follows: "When any subdivision of land creates allotments containing or adjoining a significant waterbody...."	Oppose	It is not appropriate to apply the planning response more broadly to cover all waterbodies.	That the submission is rejected
WB / Policies / WB-P5	480.1	Taranaki Environmental Protection Association Inc - Keith Holswich	Amend Policy WB-P5 to ensure that only in the most exceptional of circumstances, can a waiver for an esplanade strip be applied for or granted, and only then, that an alternative access to the significant water body is retained.	Oppose	The concerns of the submitter are addressed within the notified policy	That the submission is rejected
/ Policies / WB-P5	487.61	The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated - Tom Kay, Regional Manager	Delete Policy WB-P5.	Oppose	The notified planning response is supported. Waivers are provided for in the RMA.	That the submission is rejected
WB / Rules	475.20	Landpro Limited - Kathryn Hooper	Amend waterbody provisions to allow vegetation clearance, track works, earthworks and structures within 5m of a waterbody and 10m of a significant waterbody as a permitted activity.	Support	The activities listed are required for stock exclusion and pest management purposes - leading to beneficial outcomes on water quality	That the submission is accepted
WB / Rules	475.3	Landpro Limited - Kathryn Hooper	Amend waterbody provisions to clarify: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • whether the rules apply to all waterways that meet the RMA definition, or limited to the ones shown as blue lines on the e-plan. • whether tracks, roads, paths or walkways over bridges, culverts or fords are permitted. • whether maintenance or upgrading of any track, road, pathway over a bridge, culvert or ford is permitted. • whether earthworks are permitted to occur near a waterbody when there is no flow (improve the definition of Waterbody). Seeks an exception to be provided for piped waterway in terms of both earthworks and esplanade requirements.	Support	The submission raised useful points	FFNZ wish to remain involved as the submission develops

/ Rules / New rule	338.1	Nga Motu Marine Reserve Society Incorporated - Elise Smith	Add a new rule to the Waterbodies chapter to provide catchment planning to ensure the return of surface water to ground, not through stormwater into rivers, restoring groundwater levels, and preventing pollution and sediment burden flowing out to the sea.	Oppose	The relief sought relates to regional council functions.	That the submission is rejected
WB / Rules / WB-R1	582.40	New Plymouth District Council - Juliet Johnson	Amend Rule WB-R1 as follows: "Erection of a building structure on a site containing or adjoining a natural waterbody or significant waterbody".	Oppose	The notified planning response is supported - there is concern a structure may capture fences	That the submission is rejected
WB / Rules / WB-R1	549.12	Taranaki Regional Council - Fred McLay	Amend Rule WB-R1 as follows: "(1) Commercial and Mixed Use Zones: Activity status: PER (2) Rural All Zones: Activity Status: PER Where: 1. the building is setback at least 10 metres from a significant waterbody, or 2. the building is setback at least 5 metres from any other natural waterbody.... (3) Open space and recreation zones, residential zones, general industrial zone, special purpose zones. Activity Status: PER.. "	Support	It may be appropriate to extend the application of the rule across all zones	That the submission is accepted
WB / Rules / WB-R1	459.128a	Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	Amend Rule WB-R1 to apply to all zones and waterbodies, and all pump stations.	Oppose	It is inappropriate to apply rule to all pump stations	That the submission is rejected
WB / Rules / WB-R2	549.13	Taranaki Regional Council - Fred McLay	Amend Rule WB-R2 as follows: "(1) Commercial and Mixed Use Zones: Activity status: PER (2) Rural All Zones: Activity Status: PER Where: 1. the building is setback at least 10 metres from a significant waterbody, or 2. the building is setback at least 5 metres from any other natural waterbody.... (3) Open space and recreation zones, residential zones, general industrial zone, special purpose zones. Activity Status: PER.. "	Support	It may be appropriate to extend the application of the rule across all zones	That the submission is accepted

WB / Rules / WB-R2	459.128b	Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	Amend Rule WB-R2 to apply to all zones and waterbodies, and all pump stations.	Oppose	The notified planning response is supported	That the submission is rejected
WB / Rules / WB-R2	520.107b	Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga - Marlene Benson	Amend Rule WB-R2 to apply to all zones and waterbodies as follows: "(1) Commercial and Mixed Use Zones: Activity status: PER (2) Rural All Zones: Activity Status: PER Where: 1. the building is setback at least 10 metres from a significant waterbody, or 2. the building is setback at least 5 metres from any other natural waterbody.... (3) Open space and recreation zones, residential zones, general industrial zone, special purpose zones. Activity Status: PER.. "	Oppose	The notified planning response is supported	That the submission is rejected
WB / Rules / WB-R2	487.72	The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated - Tom Kay, Regional Manager	Amend Rule WB-R3 as follows: "...(2) Rural Zones: Activity Status: PER Where: 1. the building is setback at least ±20 metres from a significant waterbody, or 2. the building is setback at least 205 metres from any other natural waterbody ..."	Oppose	The notified planning response is supported	That the submission is rejected
WB / Rules / WB-R3	582.41	New Plymouth District Council - Juliet Johnson	Amend Rule WB-R3 as follows: "Addition to a building structure on a site containing or adjoining a natural waterbody or significant waterbody".	Oppose	The notified planning response is supported - there is concern a structure may capture fences	That the submission is rejected
WB / Rules / WB-R3	499.18	Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust - Malcolm Lucas	Amend Rule WB-R3 to provide the following: <u>PER: Where the building is setback at least 20m from a waterbody.</u> <u>RDIS: Where the building is setback between 10 and 20 metres from water body...</u> Matters over which discretion is restricted: 1. The matters set out in WB-P1, WB-P2, WB-P3 and WB-P6. 2. Whether the activity would create new or exacerbate existing natural hazards, including flooding or stream bank erosion... <u>DIS: Where the building is within 10m of a water body.</u>	Oppose	The notified planning response is supported	That the submission is rejected

WB / Rules / WB-R3	459.128c	Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	Amend Rule WB-R3 to apply to all zones and waterbodies, and all pump stations.	Oppose	The notified planning response is supported	That the submission is rejected
WB / Rules / WB-R3	520.107c	Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga - Marlene Benson	Amend Rule WB-R3 to apply to all zones and waterbodies as follows: "(1) Commercial and Mixed Use Zones: Activity status: PER (2) Rural All Zones: Activity Status: PER Where: 1. the building is setback at least 10 metres from a significant waterbody, or 2. the building is setback at least 5 metres from any other natural waterbody.... (3) Open space and recreation zones, residential zones, general industrial zone, special purpose zones. Activity Status: PER.. "	Oppose	The notified planning response is supported	That the submission is rejected
WB / Rules / WB-R3	487.71	The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated - Tom Kay, Regional Manager	Amend Rule WB-R3 by increasing the setback to 20m.	Oppose	The notified planning response is supported	That the submission is rejected

WB / Rules / WB-R4	499.14	Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust - Malcolm Lucas	<p>Amend Rule WB-R4 activity status as follows: Activity status: PER Where:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. the earthworks are setback at least 120 metres from any significant waterbody; and 2. the earthworks are set back at least 5 metres from any other natural waterbody. <p>Activity status where compliance not achieved: RDIS: <u>Where earthworks are set back between 10 and 20 metres from a water body.</u></p> <p>Matters over which discretion is restricted:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The matters set out in WB-P1, WB-P2, WB-P3 and WB-P6. 2. Whether the activity would create new or exacerbate existing natural hazards, including flooding or stream bank erosion. <p><u>Activity status where RDIS compliance not achieved:</u></p>	Oppose	The notified planning response is supported	That the submission is rejected
B / Rules / WB- R4	549.9	Taranaki Regional Council - Fred McLay	<p>Amend Rule WB-R4 to clarify whether:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • any track, road, path or walkway over a bridge, culvert, ford that is installed at the time of the construction of the bridge, culvert, ford is permitted. • the maintenance or upgrading of any track, road, path or walkway that crosses over any bridge, culvert, ford is a permitted activity. • the maintenance of a community asset in public ownership/ management, providing it is within the scope of an adopted asset management plan. • Rule WB-R4 relates to aquifers and intermittently flowing waterbodies. Amend the definition of earthworks to include a volumetric limit. 	Neutral	The submitter has not provided any proposed wording to enable an assessment of the merits or impacts this relief may have on our members. FFNZ wish to remain involve as the submission response is developed	Neutral
WB / Rules / WB-R4	459.127	Te Kotahitanga o Te Atiawa Trust - Hemi Sundgren	Amend Rule WB-R4 to remove exceptions.	Oppose	The notified planning approach is supported	That the submission is rejected

WB / Rules / WB-R5	484.59	Johnson Resource Management Limited - Helen Johnson	Amend Rule WB-R5 as follows: "subdivision of land containing or adjoining a <u>natural</u> waterbody	Support	Useful amendment to provide clarification	That the submission is accepted
WB / Rules / WB-R5	582.42	New Plymouth District Council - Juliet Johnson	Amend Rule WB-R5 as follows: "Matters over which control is reserved: 1. The location, design, layout and proximity of allotments in relation to the significant waterbody and the extent to which the waterbody's identified values will be protected or maintained.... Matters over which discretion is restricted: 1. The location, design, layout and proximity of allotments in relation to the significant waterbody and the extent to which the waterbody's identified values will be protected or maintained."	Support	For reasons outlined by the submitter	That the submission is accepted
WB / Rules / WB-R6	533.102	Ngāti Maru - Anaru Marshall	Delete Rule WB-R5 and amend the title of Rule WB-R6 as follows: "Subdivision of land containing or adjoining a significant waterbody".	Oppose	The different planning response applied to significant waterbodies and waterbodies is appropriate.	That the submission is rejected