



FURTHER SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, A SUBMISSION ON NPDC'S NOTIFIED PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN

Clause 8 of Schedule 1 Resource Management Act 1991

To: New Plymouth District Council
Address: Private Bag 2025, New Plymouth 4342
Email: districtplan@npdc.govt.nz

Further Submitter Details

Name of further submitter: Tony Power
Contact person (if different from above) Meghan Barrett
Postal address: BTW Company Limited, PO Box 551, New Plymouth
Email address for service: Meghan.barrett@btw.nz
Phone number: 0274651375

The Council will serve all formal documents by email. Where there is no email address provided, the documents will be posted to the postal address stated above.

I am: (please tick relevant box)

- a) A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest.
(In this case, also specify the grounds for saying that you come within this category); or
- b) A person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest of the general public.
(In this case, also explain the grounds for saying that you come within this category); or
- c) The local authority for the relevant area.

Please state the grounds as to why you come within the category selected above:

The submissions if allowed would have significant implications for the submitters private property rights.

Council Hearing (please tick relevant box)

Do you wish to be heard in support of your further submission? Yes No
If others make a similar submission would you consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing? Yes No

Submission

The submission points, support or opposition, reasons and decisions sought are set out in the attached document.

Note: Any attachments to your submission should only be supporting information, not the submission.

ME Barrett

Signature of the person making further submission or the person authorised to sign on behalf of the person making further submission

20/08/2020
Date



Name of Further Submitter : Tony Power

My specific further submission(s) are as follows:

(Please only insert one further submission point per line. Add further sheets as required)

I support/oppose the submission of: <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are: <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			The reasons for my support / opposition are: <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed: <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Submission number 297 Heritage Taranaki Inc - Ivan Bruce 33 Scott St Moturoa NP 0413 Phone: 0274888215 Email: itmustbesointeresting@xtra.co.nz	Sub No. / Point No. 297.101	Support / Oppose Oppose	Provision SCHED1 / Heritage Buildings and Items	<p>The building does not meet the criteria as set out in the proposed objectives and policies. This is reinforced by NPDC's decision to <u>not</u> include the building in SCHED1 as part of its notified Proposed District Plan.</p> <p>The following assessment of heritage values has been completed, which supports the building not being listed in SCHED1:</p> <p>Historical:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The building is not considered to represent important themes or aspects relating to the history of New Zealand or of the city, district or region which can teach us about the past. <p>Importance to Community:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The building has no particular current importance to the community. The submitter incorrectly interprets the criteria of 'importance to the community' by indicating what it was historically used for. <p>Architecture and Construction Features:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - As a villa, the building is not considered to have particular architectural interest 	Oppose that the building (1/130-6/130 Carrington Street, New Plymouth) be listed as a Sched 1 building.

I support/oppose the submission of: <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are: <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			The reasons for my support / opposition are: <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed: <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
				<p>or to represent an important architectural period or style.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - It is considered that this building does not require protection to better understand the work of its architect, James Sanderson. Several buildings designed by Sanderson remain within New Plymouth. - The building is not particularly innovative in terms of design, construction or use, being a timber dwelling. - The draft 'Heritage Buildings Report' attached to Heritage Taranaki Inc's submission is considered to be out of date (note that is unclear exactly when this report was completed) and inaccurate, as a number of changes have been made to the building. <p>Setting and Context</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The building is largely not visible from any public space, which the exception of the vehicle access. Shops along Carrington Street and houses along Mill Road block almost all views of the site from public areas. <p>Archaeological values:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Due to the significant works that have been undertaken on the site and the building, it is considered that there is 	

I support/oppose the submission of: <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are: <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			The reasons for my support / opposition are: <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed: <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
				<p>limited scope for archaeological investigation.</p> <p>Rarity, representativeness and integrity:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - As a timber constructed villa, this building is not considered a rare example in New Plymouth. - The building has been significantly altered/modified. Particularly the internal layout, which is currently divided into four separate tenancies including necessary firewall modifications. Externally, the building has been altered with cement board having replaced areas of original rusticated weatherboards. The roof needs replacing. Soffits are rotting and the general building is regarded as being in a serious state of disrepair. 	
<p>Entire submission from:</p> <p>Heritage Taranaki Inc - Ivan Bruce</p> <p>33 Scott St Moturoa NP 0413 Phone: 0274888215 Email: itmustbesointeresting@xtra.co.nz</p>	<p>Sub No. / Point No.</p> <p>Entire submission</p>	<p>Support / Oppose</p> <p>Oppose</p>	<p>Provision</p> <p>Historic Heritage Chapter – all provisions</p>	<p>The submitter opposes the inclusion of 1/130-6/130 Carrington Street, New Plymouth within Schedule 1 of the Proposed District Plan.</p> <p>The submitter has not been consulted by Heritage Taranaki about the significant changes proposed to their property. This has not enabled a reasonable time period to consider all of the Historic Heritage provisions in the Proposed Plan and the implications for the submitter’s future plans for use and enjoyment of their property.</p>	<p>Oppose that the building (1/130-6/130 Carrington Street, New Plymouth) be listed as a Sched 1 building.</p>

I support/oppose the submission of: <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are: <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			The reasons for my support / opposition are: <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed: <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
Submission Number 377 from: Raymond John Stoddart 4 George Street, New Plymouth raystoddart@xtra.co.nz	Sub No. / Point No. 377 Entire submission	Support / Oppose Oppose	Provision Historic Heritage Chapter – all provisions	It is unclear within the submission that Mr Raymond Stoddart was seeking the building be listed as an archaeological site/Sched 3 site. Clarification is sought to understand the reasons for this.	Oppose that the building (1/130-6/130 Carrington Street, New Plymouth) be listed in Sched 3 as an archaeological site. <i>(for electronic form, add a new line below, press Tab)</i>

Note to Further Submitter

This further submission on the Proposed District Plan must be received by the New Plymouth District Council by **5pm on Tuesday 25 August 2020**.

To get your further submission to us, either:

Post to: New Plymouth District Council, Reply Paid DX, DX Box NX10026, New Plymouth.
 Attention: District Planning Team

Deliver to: Civic Centre, Liardet Street, New Plymouth
or to library and service centres at Bell Block, Inglewood or Waitara
Email to: districtplan@npdc.govt.nz
Visit our website: newplymouthnz.com/eplan

A copy of your further submission MUST be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the local authority. Contact details for all submitters can be found on our Proposed Plan page at newplymouthnz.com/eplan.

Please note all information provided in your submission, including your personal information, will be made publicly available.

Your submission (or part of it) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of it):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.