



## FURTHER SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, A SUBMISSION ON NPDC'S NOTIFIED PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN

Clause 8 of Schedule 1 Resource Management Act 1991

**To:** New Plymouth District Council  
**Address:** Private Bag 2025, New Plymouth 4342  
**Email:** districtplan@npdc.govt.nz

### **Further Submitter Details**

Name of further submitter: Katrina, Steven and Nina Garnett .....  
Contact person (if different from above)Katrina Garnett .....  
Postal address: 49 Clemow Road, Fitzroy New Plymouth .....  
Email address for service: findthegarnetts@gmail.com.....  
Phone number: 0210529630 .....

*The Council will serve all formal documents by email. Where there is no email address provided, the documents will be posted to the postal address stated above.*

### **I am:** (please tick relevant box)

- a) A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest.   
*(In this case, also specify the grounds for saying that you come within this category);*
- b) A person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest of the general public.   
*(In this case, also explain the grounds for saying that you come within this category);*
- c) The local authority for the relevant area.

Please state the grounds as to why you come within the category selected above:

\_\_We are the owners of 129 Lemon street, and currently have building consent to renovate the property.

### **Council Hearing** (please tick relevant box)

Do you wish to be heard in support of your further submission? Yes  No

If others make a similar submission would you consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing?  
Yes  No

### **Submission**

The submission points, support or opposition, reasons and decisions sought are set out in the attached document.

**Note:** Any attachments to your submission should only be supporting information, not the submission.

Katrina Garnett

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature of the person making further submission

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

or the person authorised to sign on behalf of the person making further submission

Name of Further Submitter Katrina, Steven and Nina Garnett

My specific further submission(s) are as follows:

*(Please only insert one further submission point per line. Add further sheets as required)*

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
297.54 Heritage Taranaki inc – Ivan Bruce	297.54	Oppose	Provision	<p>AS home owners this will place us under unnecessary cost to maintain a dwelling that is well past its lifespan. This is our daughters first home and we have a small budget to maintain the building to a standard that it will be able to be lived in – the changes that have been previously undertaken have not been maintained and the dwelling is in poor structural condition low to the ground and lacks proper drainage – the submission incorrectly states it has been replied in 1889 – only portions of it have been. It has not aged well and has numerous patch repairs – there is little left of the original character due to a number of alterations and internally there is nothing of notice that is original. It is unrealistic to expect a owner to adhere to historical restriction when these were not made known at the time of purchase despite a property report being paid for from the NPDC. There is little left of the house that does not require complete replacement. Parts of the house cladding have deteriorated to a level that cannot be maintained and the external walls have a number of different types of weatherboards most of which are not in keeping with the original. It would not be cost effective to</p>	<p>I would like this house to be removed from the proposal as the repairs and maintenance required to maintain the character or any historical features that remain are unrealistic. I propose that if Heritage Taranaki want these building retained they should purchase and fund the repairs themselves - it is unrealistic for any house of this age to be brought to building code and be of a liveable standard without significant changes and huge cost and for a home owner to have to meet any other code than the current building code is unfair.</p>

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
				retain the original cladding style. If at any stage further development was to be applied for and restricted– we would be forced to sell the property as it is and with Historical restrictions this would limit the potential purchasers.	
297.141 Heritage Taranaki Inc – Ivan Bruce	297.141	Oppose	Provision	There is no reason to assume that this site would be of any interest – the fact that the Novotel development found nothing of historical significance would seem to support this. By listing this site as proposed you are limiting any further development which due to the state of the current dwelling is required. The house is so low to the ground that any repiling or renovation will require ground work and this is unavoidable – to have to go through anything other than building consent is unrealistic.	There is no supporting evidence that this is of significance and there has been large development in all surrounding areas – if this address is affected then so should all on the street – where is the consistency? We want this address removed from the submission.

<b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	<b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			<b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)</i>
I					
	Sub No. / Point No.	Support / Oppose	Provision		<p style="text-align: right;"><i>(for electronic form, add a new line below, press Tab)</i></p>

**Note to Further Submitter**

This further submission on the Proposed District Plan must be received by the New Plymouth District Council by **5pm on Tuesday 25 August 2020**.

To get your further submission to us, either:

Post to: New Plymouth District Council, Reply Paid DX, DX Box NX10026, New Plymouth.

Attention: District Planning Team

Deliver to: Civic Centre, Liardet Street, New Plymouth

or to library and service centres at Bell Block, Inglewood or Waitara

Email to: [districtplan@npdc.govt.nz](mailto:districtplan@npdc.govt.nz)

Visit our website: [newplymouthnz.com/eplan](http://newplymouthnz.com/eplan)

A copy of your further submission MUST be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the local authority. Contact details for all submitters can be found on our Proposed Plan page at [newplymouthnz.com/eplan](http://newplymouthnz.com/eplan).

Please note all information provided in your submission, including your personal information, will be made publicly available.

Your submission (or part of it) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of it):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.